Do NSAIDs Inhibit Growth of Precancerous Cervical Cells *In Vitro*?

Dussadee Sakonlaya MD*, Pirath Tapanadechopone MD PhD*, Auragun Poomkokruk PhD**, Saengsoon Charoenvilaisiri PhD***

* Department of Clinical Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, Thammasat University, Pathumthani, Thailand ** Department of Preclinical Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, Thammasat University, Pathumthani,

Thailand

*** National Center for Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology, National Science & Technology Development Agency, Pathumthani, Thailand

Background: Cervical cancer is one of the most common cancers worldwide. A promising, novel strategy for cancer treatment is chemoprevention. Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) have chemopreventive effects on several cancers including those of cervix. There are few clinical trials of the effects of NSAIDs on precancerous cervical lesions but data from in vitro studies are lacking.

Objective: To study growth inhibitory effects of nonselective and selective NSAIDs on immortalized cervical cells in vitro. **Material and Method:** Cytotoxicity of ibuprofen and celecoxib on immortalized cervical cells was analyzed by Cell Proliferation (MTT) Assay. Propidium Iodide (PI) Assay was used to analyze apoptotic cell death.

Results: Ibuprofen and celecoxib had significant growth inhibitory effects with IC_{50} of 3.00 ± 0.44 mM and 30.00 ± 11.00 μ M, respectively. Both drugs significantly induced apoptosis.

Conclusion: Ibuprofen and celecoxib can inhibit growth and induce apoptotic cell death in immortalized cervical cells. Results from the present study highlight the need for further in vivo researches and clinical trials in search of novel strategies for cervical cancer prevention.

Keywords: Precancerous cervical cells, Cervical dysplasia, Immortalized cervical cells, Cervical intraepithelial neoplasia,, CIN, Squamous intraepithelial lesion, Cervical cancer, NSAIDs, Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, Ibuprofen, Celecoxib, Chemoprevention, Growth inhibition, Cancer prevention

J Med Assoc Thai 2012; 95 (Suppl. 1): S65-S73 Full text. e-Journal: http://jmat.mat.or.th

Cervical cancer is one of the most common cancers worldwide. According to the latest survey by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC), it is the second most common cancer among women in developing countries⁽¹⁾. Human Papilloma Virus (HPV) is currently considered to be its most important causative agent⁽²⁾. Cervical infection by HPVs can initially result in low-grade lesions termed mild dysplasia or cervical intraepithelial neoplasia grade I (CIN I). These lesions exhibit only mildly altered patterns of differentiation and many of them regress to normal⁽³⁾.

Correspondence to:

Sakonlaya D, Department of Pathology and Forensic Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Thammasat University, Pathumthani 12120, Thailand. Phone: 0-2926-9999 E-mail: dsmedtu@yahoo.com However, some of these lesions persist for several decades and may turn to be high-grade intraepithelial lesion (CIN II, III) or moderate to severe dysplasia, and eventually invasive cancer, if left untreated⁽⁴⁾. Standard treatment of CIN II, III include ablation and cervical conization⁽⁵⁾. However, these procedures may induce adverse reproductive consequences^(6,7), thus alternative treatment would be beneficial. Although HPV vaccine is a new strategy to prevent cervical cancer, its effects will be known after decades of follow and it will be too expensive for developing countries⁽⁸⁻¹⁰⁾. Another promising, novel strategy for cancer treatment is chemoprevention.

Chemoprevention is the use of natural or synthetic chemicals to prevent, suppress, or reverse the process of carcinogenesis^(11,12). In the group of synthetic chemicals, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) are commonly used⁽¹³⁾. NSAIDs are a chemically heterogenous group of compounds, characterized by varying degree of anti-inflammatory and analgesic activity. Mechanism of their therapeutic effects is the inhibition of prostaglandin (PG) synthesis. PGs are lipid mediators derived from arachidonic acid by the action of cyclooxygenase (COX)-1 and COX-2⁽¹⁴⁾. COX-1 plays a role in cytoprotection of gastric mucosa, platelet aggregation and renal blood flow. COX-2 expression is inducible by cytokines, tumor promoters, and mitogens⁽¹⁵⁾. NSAIDs can be classified by their ability to inhibit COX isoforms. Nonselective NSAIDs, such as aspirin, ibuprofen, inhibit both COX-1 and COX-2. Selective COX-2 inhibitors, like celecoxib do not significantly inhibit COX-1(14). Although NSAIDs have chemopreventive effects on several cancers(16-21) including cervix^(22,32), there are very few clinical trials of NSAIDs on precancerous cervical lesions(33,34) and data from in vitro studies are lacking. Our objective is to study growth inhibitory effects of nonselective and selective NSAIDs on immortalized cervical cells, the in vitro model of precancerous cervical lesion⁽³⁵⁾.

Material and Method

Cell Culture

The immortalized ectocervical squamous epithelium cells CRL-2614 (American Type Culture Collection, USA) were grown in keratinocyte serumfree medium with penicillin (50 IU/ml) and streptomycin (50 g/ml). SIF-56; a normal human fibroblast cell line, were grown in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) with penicillin (50 IU/ml) and streptomycin (50 g/ ml). Both cells were maintained at 37°C in a humidified incubator with a 5% CO₂ atmosphere. Cells were seeded at a density of 3.3×10^4 cells/cm² in the appropriate culture vessels 24 hours before drug treatment. After 24 hours, fresh medium containing ibuprofen or celecoxib of various concentrations was added and cells were incubated for 24 or 48 hours.

Drug Treatment

Ibuprofen (Sigma Chemical, St. Louis, MO) and celecoxib (Pharmacia, USA) were separately dissolved in 100% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) at various desired concentrations, filter-sterilized ($0.2 \,\mu$ M Millipore) and were stored in dark-colored bottles at -20°C as stock solutions. The stock was diluted to the required concentration immediately before use with growth media. The cells were exposed to the drug at different concentrations for 24 or 48 hours. Cells grown in media containing 0.1% DMSO served as control.

Cell Proliferation (MTT) Assay

Cells were seeded in 96-well plates at a density of 3.3 x 10⁴ cells/cm² (10⁴ cells/well) and were allowed to grow for 24 hours then fresh medium containing drugs at various concentrations was added and the plates were incubated for 24 or 48 hours. In each plate, different concentrations of each drug were applied in triplicate. At the end of the drug exposure period, the medium was removed from all the wells containing cells and 200 µl of fresh medium was fed to each well then the cells were allowed to grow for additional 24 hours. The plates were fed with 200 µl/well of fresh medium at the end of the growth period and 50 µl of MTT (3,4,5dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2-5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide) solution (Sigma Chemical Co, St. Louis, MO) was added to each well. The plates were then wrapped in aluminium foil and were incubated for 4 to 8 hours in a humidified atmosphere at 37°C. The medium containing MTT was removed from the wells and the remaining MTTformazan crystals were dissolved by adding 200 µl of DMSO with 25 µl glycine buffer to each well. The absorbance at a wavelength of 570 nm was recorded immediately using a microplate reader. The wells which contained medium and MTT but no cells, were used to blank the plate reader.

All experiments were performed in triplicate. The percentage of relative cell viability was calculated by using the following formula:

% relative cell viability = $\frac{\text{Optical density of treated sample}}{\text{Optical density of untreated sample}} \times 100$

For each drug, a graph of the absorbance (yaxis) against the concentration of drug (x-axis) was plotted. The mean absorbance reading from the blanks was used as the control absorbance and the IC_{50} was determined as the drug concentration that was required to reduce the absorbance to half that of the control. The data were then converted to a percentageinhibition curve.

Cellular Morphology

Cells were seeded in 6-well plates at a density of 3.3 x 10⁴ cells/cm² (3.3 x 10⁵ cells/well) and were allowed to grow for 24 hours then fresh medium containing drugs at the concentration of IC₅₀ or twofold of IC₅₀ was added and the plates were incubated for 24 hours at 37°C in a humidified incubator with a 5% CO₂ atmosphere. At the end of drug exposure time, changes in morphology of treated cells compared to those of untreated cells were observed under phasecontrast light microscope.

In Vitro Apoptotic Assay (Propidium Iodide (PI) Assay)

Cells were seeded in 6-well plates at a density of 3.3 x 10⁴ cells/cm² (3.3 x 10⁵ cells/well) and were allowed to grow for 24 hours then fresh medium containing drugs at the concentration of IC₅₀ or two-fold of IC₅₀ was added and the plates were incubated for 24 hours at 37°C in a humidified incubator with a 5% CO₂ atmosphere. The cells fed with culture medium containing 0.1% DMSO were used as controls.

To detect DNA strand breaks, which represent apoptosis, at the end of the drug exposure time the treated and untreated cells were collected from culture plates by trypsinization. The trypsinized cells and cells floating in medium were pooled together and the cell suspension was centrifuged 6 min at 200 x g at room temperature. After the supernatant was removed, cells were suspended in 0.5 ml PBS then cells were fixed by adding suspension to 4.5 ml of 70% ethanol in a 12 x 75mm tube on ice. Cells were centrifuged 5 min at 200 x g, ethanol was decanted, the cell pellet was suspended in 5 ml PBS and centrifuged 5 min at 300 x g, room temperature. Cell pellet was suspended in 1 ml of propidium iodide staining solution, containing propidium iodide (PI) 100 µg/ml; DNase-free RNase-A (Sigma Chemical, St. Louis, MO) $50 \mu g/ml$ and 0.1% (v/ml)v) Triton X-100 in PBS. After incubated in the dark for 15 min at 37°C the percentage of apoptotic cells was analyzed using the FACSCalibur Flow Cytometer (Becton Dickinson, USA) and CellQuest 3.0.1 software (Becton Dickinson, USA). All experiments were performed in duplicate.

Statistical analysis

Unless otherwise specified, data were presented as mean \pm standard error of mean (SEM). The analysis of variance (ANOVA) test was used to analyze the significance of differences between the observed data. P-value of less than 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

Results

Cell Proliferation (MTT) Assay

Both ibuprofen and celecoxib showed significant growth inhibitory effects on CRL-2614 cells. Percentage of cell viability of CRL-2614 after the treatment with ibuprofen at the concentration of 0.1, 0.5, 1 and 5 mM for 24 and 48 hours using the cells treated with 0.1% DMSO as the control was significantly decreased in dose-dependent manner (Fig. 1). The CRL-2614 cells treated with celecoxib at the concentration

of 1, 5, 10 and 50 μ M for 24 and 48 hours showed significant decrease in percentage of cell viability in dose-dependent manner compared to the control (Fig. 2). Cytotoxic effect of each drug on CRL-2614 cells and normal fibroblastic cells (SIF-56) were compared (Fig. 3, 4). Both ibuprofen and celecoxib showed less



Fig. 1 Effect of ibuprofen on cellular proliferation of CRL-2614 compared to untreated cells. CRL-2614 were seeded in 96-well plate at the density of 1 x 10^4 cells/well with keratinocyte serum-free medium containing ibuprofen at different concentrations of 0.1, 0.5, 1 and 5 mM for 24 and 48 h. After drug exposure, the cells were allowed to grow for 24 h and cell viability was determined by MTT assay. Each point represents the mean \pm SEM of data from three independent experiments







Fig. 3 Effect of ibuprofen on cellular proliferation of CRL-2614 compared to SIF-56; the normal human fibroblasts. Cells were seeded in 96-well plate at the density of 1 x 10⁴ cells/well with keratinocyte serum-free medium (CRL-2614) or DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS (SIF-56) containing ibuprofen at different concentrations for 24 h. After drug exposure, the cells were allowed to grow for 24 h and cell viability was determined by MTT assay. Each point represents the mean ± SEM of data from three independent experiments



Fig. 4 Effect of celecoxib on cellular proliferation of CRL-2614 compared to SIF-56; the normal human fibroblasts. Cells were seeded in 96-well plate at the density of 1 x 10⁴ cells/well with keratinocyte serum-free medium (CRL-2614) or DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS (SIF-56) containing celecoxib at different concentrations for 24 h. After drug exposure, the cells were allowed to grow for 24 h and cell viability was determined by MTT assay. Each point represents the mean ± SEM of data from three independent experiments

significant growth inhibitory effect on normal fibroblasts compared to the CRL-2614 cells.

To analyze the IC₅₀ value of each drug, the 24 hour-data were used and for CRL-2614 cells, the IC₅₀ value of ibuprofen and celecoxib was 3.00 ± 0.44 mM, and 30.00 ± 11.00 µM, respectively. In SIF-56 cells, the IC₅₀ value of ibuprofen and celecoxib was 5.87 ± 0.62

mM and $145.94 \pm 11.02 \,\mu\text{M}$, respectively (Table 1).

Cellular morphology

After incubated with drugs at concentration of IC50 and two-fold of IC50 for 24 hours, the treated cells revealed morphologic changes of apoptosis such as cytoplasmic blebs, and apoptotic bodies in dosedependent manner, compared to the control (Fig. 5A, 6A).

Propidium iodide assay

Apoptosis of CRL-2614 in response to ibuprofen and celecoxib at the concentration of IC_{50} and two-fold of IC_{50} was analyzed by PI assay and the results including the percentage of sub-G1 fraction of which represented the percentage of apoptotic cells were shown in Fig. 5B-C, and 6B -C, respectively.

Ibuprofen at the concentration of IC₅₀ (3 mM) and two-fold of IC₅₀ (6 mM) induced 0.69 \pm 0.18 and 4.46 \pm 0.98% of apoptosis, respectively. Celecoxib at the concentration of IC₅₀ (30 μ M) and two-fold of IC₅₀ (60 μ M) induced 0.68 \pm 0.38 and 5.77 \pm 0.49% of apoptosis, respectively. Compared to the control group, ibuprofen at the concentration of 6 mM significantly induced apoptosis of CRL-2614 cells (p = 0.025). In case of celecoxib, the dosage of two-fold of IC₅₀ (60 μ M) significantly induced the apoptotic cell death (p = 0.003).

Discussion

COX-2 overexpression has been demonstrated in various types of cancers and precancerous lesions including those of head and neck, skin, lung, liver, pancreas, urinary bladder, stomach and cervix⁽³⁶⁻⁴⁰⁾. Both classes of NSAIDs have been reported to inhibit several cancers *in vitro*, *in vivo* and at clinical level⁽¹⁶⁻²¹⁾. Most studies of chemopreventive effects of

Table 1. IC₅₀ values of ibuprofen and celecoxib against immortalized ectocervical cells (CRL-2614) and fibroblasts (SIF-56)

Drug	IC ₅₀	
	CRL-2614	SIF-56
Ibuprofen (mM) Celecoxib (µM)	$\begin{array}{c} 3.00 \pm 0.44 \\ 30.00 \pm 11.00 \end{array}$	$5.87 \pm 0.62 \\ 145.94 \pm 11.02$

Results represent the mean \pm SEM of data from three independent experiments



Fig. 5 Apoptosis of CRL-2614 in response to ibuprofen at the concentration of IC_{50} (3 mM) and two-fold of IC_{50} (6 mM). The cells were seeded in 6-well plate at the density of 3.3 x 105 cells/ well, fed with keratinocyte serum-free medium and were allowed to grow for 24 h then fresh medium containing ibuprofen at the concentration of 3 or 6 mM was added and plates were incubated for 24 h at 37°C in a humidified incubator with a 5% CO, atmosphere. After drug exposure, cells were trypsinized, pooled with nonadherent cells from the media, washed, stained with PI and percentage of apoptotic cells (sub-G1 fraction) was analyzed by flow cytometer. The cells fed with culture medium containing 0.1% DMSO were used as controls. (A) Cellular morphology of treated cells compared to the control, visualized by phasecontrast microscope. (B) Percentage of the cells in sub-G1 fraction. (C) Bar diagram comparing the percentage of apoptosis between the treated cells and control. The results are mean + SEM of two individual experiments: * p < 0.05

NSAIDs in cervical neoplasms have been focused on cancer cell lines or in invasive cancer patients. Studies in cervical cancer cell lines have shown growth inhibitory effect and apoptosis induction of celecoxib⁽²²⁻²⁴⁾ and aspirin⁽²⁵⁻²⁸⁾. There are few clinical trials in cervical cancer patients. Most of them focused on the effects of celecoxib in combination with chemoradiotherapy⁽²⁹⁻³¹⁾. Farrandina et al have demonstrated decreased expression of COX-2 and a cell proliferation index in tumor biopsies from the



Fig. 6 Apoptosis of CRL-2614 in response to celecoxib at the concentration of IC_{50} (30 μ M) and two-fold of IC₅₀ (60 μ M). The cells were seeded in 6-well plate at the density of 3.3 x 10⁵ cells/well, fed with keratinocyte serum-free medium and were allowed to grow for 24 h then fresh medium containing celecoxib at the concentration of 30 or 60 µM was added and plates were incubated for 24 h at 37°C in a humidified incubator with a 5% CO, atmosphere. After drug exposure, cells were trypsinized, pooled with nonadherent cells from the media, washed, stained with PI and percentage of apoptotic cells (sub-G1 fraction) was analyzed by flow cytometer. The cells fed with culture medium containing 0.1% DMSO were used as controls. (A) Cellular morphology of treated cells compared to the control, visualized by phasecontrast microscope. (B) Percentage of the cells in sub-G1 fraction. (C) Bar diagram comparing the percentage of apoptosis between the treated cells and control. The results are mean + SEM of two individual experiments: * p < 0.05

celecoxib-treated cervical cancer patients(32).

There are very few clinical studies of NSAIDs on precancerous cervical lesions. Farley et al ⁽³³⁾ reported the efficacy of daily 200-mg celecoxib in the regression of CIN II, III in a randomized double-blind placebocontrolled trial with 2-month intervals follow-up of colposcopy, cervical cytology and cervical biopsy for 6-month period. The treated group (n = 12) revealed significantly higher clinical response than those of the placebo group (n = 13) (75% versus 31%; p < 0.03). Moreover, 33% of the patients receiving celecoxib had complete pathologic response, compared to 15% of the control group. Another prospective, randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind study of 25-mg daily rofecoxib, a selective COX-2 inhibitor which already withdrawn from the market, in patients with CIN II and III for 6 months revealed higher regression rate in the treated group (n = 8) than that of the placebo group (n = 8) (25% versus 12.5%), but no statistical significance was demonstrated⁽³⁴⁾. However, studies of the effects of NSAIDs on the precancerous cervical epithelial cells *in vitro* are still lacking. Their results may support further application of these drugs for prevention of the malignant transformation of cervical precancerous lesions.

To study the effects of NSAIDs on the precancerous cervical cells, the authors used the immortalized ectocervical epithelium cell line CRL-2614 which has been established from human ectocervical epithelia immortalized by expression of human papillomavirus-16 E6/E7⁽³⁵⁾ as the *in vitro* model of the precancerous cervical lesion. Expression of HPV E6 and E7 viral oncogenes was shown to increase proliferation capacity of the epithelium but did not result in malignant transformation of the immortalized cell lines. In the literature, HPV-induced immortalized cervical epithelial cells have been used to study chemopreventive effects of cisplatin⁽⁴¹⁾, arsenic trioxide⁽⁴²⁾ and retinoic acid⁽⁴³⁾. In the present study, both nonselective NSAIDs, ibuprofen; and selective COX-2 inhibitor, celecoxib, show significant growth inhibitory effect on the immortalized ectocervical epithelium cell line with induction of apoptotic cell death. Results from the present study may be applied for further in vivo researches and clinical trials in search of new way of cervical cancer prevention. And to avoid the cardiovascular risks of the selective COX-2 inhibitors, more studies of non-selective NSAIDs should be considered. In addition, alternative drug administration methods such as topical application might be worth a try.

Acknowledgement

The present study was supported by the research fund from Faculty of Medicine, Thammasat University and Thailand Graduate Institute of Sciences and Technology (TGIST) by National Science & Technology Development Agency (NSTDA). The authors are deeply grateful to the National Center for Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology (BIOTEC) and the Division of Hematology, Department of Internal Medicine, Faculty of Medicine at Siriraj Hospital for providing instruments and technical supports; Yaowaluk U-pratya for valuable advice and support in flow cytometry technique; and Dr. Tanawan Kummalue for kindly providing SIF-56 cell line.

Potential conflicts of interest

None.

References

- Jemal A, Bray F, Center MM, Ferlay J, Ward E, Forman D. Global cancer statistics. CA Cancer J Clin 2011; 61: 69-90.
- Franceschi S. The IARC commitment to cancer prevention: the example of papillomavirus and cervical cancer. Recent Results. Cancer Res 2005; 166: 277-97.
- 3. Williamson AL, Passmore JA, Rybicki EP. Strategies for the prevention of cervical cancer by human papillomavirus vaccination. Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol 2005; 19: 531-44.
- 4. Ostor AG. Natural history of cervical intraepithelial neoplasia: a critical review. Int J Gynecol Pathol 1993; 12: 186-92.
- Wright TC Jr, Massad LS, Dunton CJ, Spitzer M, Wilkinson EJ, Solomon D. 2006 Consensus guidelines for the management of women with cervical intraepithelial neoplasia or adenocarcinoma in situ. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2007; 197: 340-5.
- Jakobsson M, Gissler M, Sainio S, Paavonen J, Tapper AM. Preterm delivery after surgical treatment for cervical intraepithelial neoplasia. Obstet Gynecol 2007; 109: 309-13.
- Hillard PA, Biro FM, Wildey L. Complications of cervical cryotherapy in adolescents. J Reprod Med 1991; 36: 711-6.
- Villa LL, Costa RL, Petta CA, Andrade RP, Ault KA, Giuliano AR, et al. Prophylactic quadrivalent human papillomavirus (types 6, 11, 16, and 18) L1 virus-like particle vaccine in young women: a randomised double-blind placebo-controlled multicentre phase II efficacy trial. Lancet Oncol 2005; 6: 271-8.
- 9. Harper DM, Franco EL, Wheeler CM, Moscicki AB, Romanowski B, Roteli-Martins CM, et al. Sustained efficacy up to 4.5 years of a bivalent L1 virus-like particle vaccine against human papillomavirus types 16 and 18: follow-up from a randomised control trial. Lancet 2006; 367: 1247-55.
- Sankaranarayanan R. HPV vaccination: the promise & problems. Indian J Med Res 2009; 130: 322-6.

- Gescher AJ, Sharma RA, Steward WP. Cancer chemoprevention by dietary constituents: a tale of failure and promise. Lancet Oncol 2001; 2: 371-9.
- 12. Duvoix A, Blasius R, Delhalle S, Schnekenburger M, Morceau F, Henry E, et al. Chemopreventive and therapeutic effects of curcumin. Cancer Lett 2005; 223: 181-90.
- 13. Chun KS, Surh YJ. Signal transduction pathways regulating cyclooxygenase-2 expression: potential molecular targets for chemoprevention. Biochem Pharmacol 2004; 68: 1089-100.
- 14. Fries S, Grosser T. The cardiovascular pharmacology of COX-2 inhibition. Hematology Am Soc Hematol Educ Program 2005; 445-51.
- 15. Thun MJ, Henley SJ, Patrono C. Nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs as anticancer agents: mechanistic, pharmacologic, and clinical issues. J Natl Cancer Inst 2002; 94: 252-66.
- Harris RE, Beebe-Donk J, Alshafie GA. Cancer chemoprevention by cyclooxygenase 2 (COX-2) blockade: results of case control studies. Subcell Biochem 2007; 42: 193-212.
- 17. Harris RE. Cyclooxygenase-2 (cox-2) blockade in the chemoprevention of cancers of the colon, breast, prostate, and lung. Inflammopharmacology 2009; 17: 55-67.
- Narayanan NK, Nargi D, Horton L, Reddy BS, Bosland MC, Narayanan BA. Inflammatory processes of prostate tissue microenvironment drive rat prostate carcinogenesis: preventive effects of celecoxib. Prostate 2009; 69: 133-41.
- Jacobs EJ, Rodriguez C, Mondul AM, Connell CJ, Henley SJ, Calle EE, et al. A large cohort study of aspirin and other nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and prostate cancer incidence. J Natl Cancer Inst 2005; 97: 975-80.
- Cooper K, Squires H, Carroll C, Papaioannou D, Booth A, Logan RF, et al. Chemoprevention of colorectal cancer: systematic review and economic evaluation. Health Technol Assess 2010; 14: 1-206.
- Cuzick J, Otto F, Baron JA, Brown PH, Burn J, Greenwald P, et al. Aspirin and non-steroidal antiinflammatory drugs for cancer prevention: an international consensus statement. Lancet Oncol 2009; 10: 501-7.
- 22. Kim SH, Song SH, Kim SG, Chun KS, Lim SY, Na HK, et al. Celecoxib induces apoptosis in cervical cancer cells independent of cyclooxygenase using NF-kappaB as a possible target. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol 2004; 130: 551-60.

- 23. Fukada K, Takahashi-Yanaga F, Sakoguchi-Okada N, Shiraishi F, Miwa Y, Morimoto S, et al. Celecoxib induces apoptosis by inhibiting the expression of survivin in HeLa cells. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2007; 357: 1166-71.
- 24. Kim SH, Hwang CI, Juhnn YS, Lee JH, Park WY, Song YS. GADD153 mediates celecoxib-induced apoptosis in cervical cancer cells. Carcinogenesis 2007; 28: 223-31.
- 25. Kim KY, Seol JY, Jeon GA, Nam MJ. The combined treatment of aspirin and radiation induces apoptosis by the regulation of bcl-2 and caspase-3 in human cervical cancer cell. Cancer Lett 2003; 189: 157-66.
- Kutuk O, Basaga H. Aspirin inhibits TNF alphaand IL-1-induced NF-kappaB activation and sensitizes HeLa cells to apoptosis. Cytokine 2004; 25: 229-37.
- 27. Lee SK, Park MS, Nam MJ. Aspirin has antitumor effects via expression of Calpain gene in cervical cancer cells. J Oncol 2008; 2008: 285374.
- 28. Xiang S, Sun Z, He Q, Yan F, Wang Y, Zhang J. Aspirin inhibits ErbB2 to induce apoptosis in cervical cancer cells. Med Oncol 2010; 27: 379-87.
- 29. Herrera FG, Chan P, Doll C, Milosevic M, Oza A, Syed A, et al. A prospective phase I-II trial of the cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitor celecoxib in patients with carcinoma of the cervix with biomarker assessment of the tumor microenvironment. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2007; 67: 97-103.
- 30. Gaffney DK, Winter K, Dicker AP, Miller B, Eifel PJ, Ryu J, et al. A Phase II study of acute toxicity for Celebrex (celecoxib) and chemoradiation in patients with locally advanced cervical cancer: primary endpoint analysis of RTOG 0128. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2007; 67: 104-9.
- Gaffney DK, Winter K, Dicker AP, Miller B, Eifel PJ, Ryu J, et al. Efficacy and patterns of failure for locally advanced cancer of the cervix treated with celebrex (celecoxib) and chemoradiotherapy in RTOG 0128. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2007; 69: 111-7.
- 32. Ferrandina G, Ranelletti FO, Legge F, Lauriola L, Salutari V, Gessi M, et al. Celecoxib modulates the expression of cyclooxygenase-2, ki67, apoptosisrelated marker, and microvessel density in human cervical cancer: a pilot study. Clin Cancer Res 2003; 9:4324-31.
- Farley JH, Truong V, Goo E, Uyehara C, Belnap C, Larsen WI. A randomized double-blind placebocontrolled phase II trial of the cyclooxygenase-2

inhibitor Celecoxib in the treatment of cervical dysplasia. Gynecol Oncol 2006; 103: 425-30.

- 34. Hefler LA, Grimm C, Speiser P, Sliutz G, Reinthaller A. The cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitor rofecoxib (Vioxx) in the treatment of cervical dysplasia grade II-III A phase II trial. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol 2006; 125: 251-4.
- 35. Fichorova RN, Rheinwald JG, Anderson DJ. Generation of papillomavirus-immortalized cell lines from normal human ectocervical, endocervical, and vaginal epithelium that maintain expression of tissue-specific differentiation proteins. Biol Reprod 1997; 57: 847-55.
- Koki AT, Masferrer JL. Celecoxib: a specific COX-2 inhibitor with anticancer properties. Cancer Control 2002; 9: 28-35.
- Hammes LS, Tekmal RR, Naud P, Edelweiss MI, Kirma N, Valente PT, et al. Up-regulation of VEGF, c-fms and COX-2 expression correlates with severity of cervical cancer precursor (CIN) lesions and invasive disease. Gynecol Oncol 2008; 110: 445-51.
- 38. Saldivar JS, Lopez D, Feldman RA, Tharappel-Jacob R, de la Rosa A, Terreros D, et al. COX-2 overexpression as a biomarker of early cervical carcinogenesis: a pilot study. Gynecol Oncol 2007; 107(Suppl 1): S155-62.

- Mitchell A, Newton JM, Brite K, Einspahr J, Ellis M, Davis J, et al. Cyclooxygenase 2 expression in cervical intraepithelial neoplasia and vulvar cancer. J Low Genit Tract Dis 2007; 11: 80-5.
- 40. Dursun P, Yuce K, Usubutun A, Ayhan A. Cyclooxygenase-2 expression in cervical intraepithelial neoplasia III and squamous cell cervical carcinoma, and its correlation with clinicopathologic variables. Int J Gynecol Cancer 2007; 17: 164-73.
- 41. Ding Z, Yang X, Chernenko G, Tang SC, Pater A. Human papillomavirus type 16-immortalized endocervical cells selected for resistance to cisplatin are malignantly transformed and have a multidrug resistance phenotype. Int J Cancer 2000; 87:818-23.
- Zheng J, Deng YP, Lin C, Fu M, Xiao PG, Wu M. Arsenic trioxide induces apoptosis of HPV16 DNAimmortalized human cervical epithelial cells and selectively inhibits viral gene expression. Int J Cancer 1999; 82: 286-92.
- 43. Shindoh M, Sun Q, Pater A, Pater MM. Prevention of carcinoma in situ of human papillomavirus type 16-immortalized human endocervical cells by retinoic acid in organotypic raft culture. Obstet Gynecol 1995; 85: 721-8.

ยาต้านการอักเสบที่ไม่ใช่สเตียรอยด์สามารถยับยั้งการเจริญของเซลล์เยื่อบุปากมดลูกระยะก่อน เป็นมะเร็งได้หรือไม่

ดุษฎี สกลยา, ไพรัตน์ ฐาปนาเดโซพล, อรกัญญ์ ภูมิโคกรักษ์, แสงสูรย์ เจริญวิไลศิริ

ภูมิหลัง: มะเร็งปากมดลูกพบมากเป็นอันดับต^{ุ้}นๆของโลก มีผลการวิจัยว่า ยาต[้]านการอักเสบที่ไม่ใช่สเตียรอยด*์* (NSAIDs) มีฤทธิ์ยับยั้งมะเร็งในหลายอวัยวะรวมทั้งปากมดลูก และมีการศึกษาผลของNSAIDs ทางคลินิกในผู้ป่วย ระยะก[่]อนเป็นมะเร็งปากมดลูก แต่ยังขาดข้อมูลการศึกษาระดับนอกกาย

วัตถุประสงค์: เพื่อศึกษาฤทธิ์ของ NSAIDs ต่อการเติบโตของเซลล์เยื่อบุปากมดลูกระยะก่อนเป็นมะเร็ง **วัสดุและวิธีการ**: วิเคราะห์ฤทธิ์ความเป็นพิษของยาไอบูโปรเฟน(ibuprofen)และซีลีคอกซิบ(celecoxib) ต่อเซลล์เยื่อบุปากมดลูกระยะก่อนเป็นมะเร็งโดย Cell Proliferation (MTT) Assay และวิเคราะห์ปริมาณการตาย แบบอะพอพโทซิส (apoptosis) โดย Propidium Iodide (PI) Assay.

ผลการศึกษา: ยาไอบูโปรเฟนและซีลีคอกซิบสามารถยับยั้งการเติบโตของเซลล์เยื่อบุปากมดลูกระยะก่อนเป็นมะเร็งได้ โดยมีค่า IC₅₀ = 3.00 ± 0.44 mM และ 30.00 ±11.00 **µ**M ตามลำดับ และยาทั้งสองยังเหนี่ยวนำให้เซลล์ดังกล่าว มีการตายแบบอะพอพโทซิส อย่างมีนัยสำคัญ

สรุป: ยาไอบูโปรเฟนและซีลีคอกซิบสามารถยับยั้งการเจริญของเซลล์เยื่อบุปากมดลูกในระยะก[่]อนเป็นมะเร็งได้ และทำให้เซลล์ตายแบบอะพอพโทซิส