WALAILAK JOURNAL

http://wjst.wu.ac.th

Morphological and Stomatal Guard Cell Characteristics of *in vitro Kaempferia rotunda* L. (Zingiberaceae) through Colchicine Induced Polyploidy

Sutthinut SOONTHORNKALUMP¹, Ngarmnij CHUENBOONNGARM^{2,*} Thaya JENJITTIKUL², Kanchit THAMMASIRI² and Puangpaka SOONTORNCHAINAKSAENG²

¹Master of Science Program in Plant Science, Department of Plant Science, Faculty of Science and Department of Pharmaceutical Botany, Faculty of Pharmacy, Mahidol University, Bangkok 10400, Thailand ²Department of Plant Science, Faculty of Science, Mahidol University, Bangkok 10400, Thailand

(*Corresponding author's e-mail: ngarmnij.chu@mahidol.ac.th)

Received: 23 September 2015, Revised: 7 January 2016, Accepted: 15 February 2016

Abstract

Kaempferia rotunda L. was introduced to plant improvement programs by polyploidy induction for developing new ornamental gingers. In order to improve some characteristics of *K. rotunda*, tetraploid plants were induced by *in vitro* culturing young shoots of *K. rotunda* on gelrite modified MS medium supplemented with 0.2 % colchicine for 4 days. After incubation, all of the explants were transferred to culture on shoot multiplication medium and subcultured until M_1V_4 generation for chimera segregation. Ploidy determinations were made by flow cytometry analysis. Stomatal guard cell size, leaf thickness, and chloroplast numbers in the stomata of polyploid plants were significantly increased when compared with diploid plants. However, difference of stomatal index was not found in all polyploid plants. Two solid lines of tetraploid plants, normal green and variegated dwarf, were generated. The leaves of the normal green group were thicker and wider than those of diploid plants. In contrast, the variegated dwarf group showed smaller leaves with chlorophyll mutation.

Keywords: Colchicine, flow cytometry, tetraploid, variegated leaf, plant improvement

Introduction

Kaempferia rotunda is a perennial herb which is widely distributed in tropical Asia [1]. Young leaves of *K. rotunda* are used as a vegetable [2], and rhizomes are used for curing stomachache, nausea, and dyspepsia in Ayurvedic medicine [3]. Rhizome extracts of *K. rotunda* are composed of several constituents, such as benzoate, *n*-pentadecane, camphene, and volatile oil [4]. Moreover, 2 antioxidant compounds, 2'-hydroxy-4,4'6'-trimethoxy-chalcone and (+)-crotepoxide, were also found [5]. Some species are also used as ornamental plants, such as *K. elegans* (Wall.) Baker and *K. pulchra* Ridl. [1]. With its attractive the leaf patterns and flowers, *K. rotunda* (Figure 1) has the potential to be developed as a new ornamental ginger after some improvement is made.

Colchicine is an alkaloid substance which has been found in the corm [6], leaf [7], and seed [8,9] of *Colchicum autumnale* L. The function of colchicine is to be an anti-mitotic spindle, by binding in specific sites on tubulin subunits. Thus, it inhibits microtubule polymerization. Since the 18th century, colchicine has been known to relieve inflammation resulting from gout [6]. In addition, colchicine has also been widely used in plant breeding to double the chromosomes, because the spontaneous autotetraploid formation rate is estimated at a low frequency [10]. This chromosome manipulation frequently increases

cell size and plant tissue thickness [11]. Previous results showed that the leaves and flowers of tetraploid plants were larger and more compact in growth habit, which are desirable characters for ornamental traits [12], than those of diploid plants. *In vitro* colchicine treatment was successful in generating many polyploid cultivars, such as *Lychnis senno* Siebold & Zucc. [13] *Anthurium andraeanum* cv. 'Arizona' [14], and *Gerbera jamesonii* 'Sciella' [15]. A few researches of tetraploid induction in Zingiberaceous plant have been reported, such as *Hedychium muluense* R.M.Sm. [16] and *Zingiber officinale* Roscoe [17]. However, the improvement of *K. rotunda* has never been reported. To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first *in vitro* tetraploid induction in *K. rotunda* by colchicine treatments which reports evidence of morphological and some anatomical changes and of chlorophyll mutation of polyploid *K. rotunda*.



Figure 1 Various parts of *Kaempferia rotunda*; vegetative part with leaves and initial stage of storage roots (A), flowers bloomed in summer (May - June) with white lateral staminode and purplish labellum (B), and dormant rhizomes with numerous fascicle roots produced in the winter season (C).

Walailak J Sci & Tech 2017; 14(3)

Materials and methods

Plant materials and tetraploid induction

In vitro K. rotunda plantlets were cultured on shoot multiplication medium, which is MS medium [18] supplemented with 17.8 μ M BA, 3 % sucrose, and 2 gL⁻¹ Gelrite. The pH was adjusted to 5.7 - 5.8 before autoclaving. All explants were cultured at 25±2 °C under cool white fluorescent light with 37 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ light intensity for 16 h light and 8 h dark of photoperiod. The 60 young shoots of *K. rotunda* at 1 - 1.5 cm long were cultured on solidified MS medium supplemented with 0.2 % (w/v) colchicine for 4 days in order to obtain the highest percentage of tetraploid plants [19]. All treated plantlets (M₁V₁) were subcultured monthly in shoot multiplication medium until M₁V₄ generation.

Ploidy level investigation by flow cytometry

The 2-step flow cytometry protocol [20] was used for preparing nuclei from *K. rotunda*. Fifteen *in vitro K. rotunda* plantlets of M_1V_4 generation, which originated from different lines, were randomly selected. The 1st - 3rd leaf from each shoot of 2-month-old plants were collected in aseptic conditions. About 1 cm² of each leaf was excised and 1 ml of cold Otto I buffer added before being chopped with a sharp razor blade in a plastic petri dish. The nuclear suspension was filtered with 46 µm pore size of nylon mesh and centrifuged at 3,000 rpm for 1 min. The supernatant was removed. Then, the precipitate was added with 100 µl of Otto I buffer at room temperature and gently shaken before being incubated for 15 min at 4 °C. One milliliter of Otto II buffer supplemented with RNase IIA, propidium iodide (at a final concentration of 50 ml ml⁻¹), and 2-mercaptoethanol (2 ml ml⁻¹) was added before being incubated for 30 min at room temperature. *In vitro* intact plants without colchicine treatment were represented as standard diploid plants. A minimum of 3,000 stained nuclei were recorded in each sample by using a BD Fluorescent activated cell sorter (BD FACSCaliburTM) flow cytometry (Becton Dickinson, USA).

Stomata characteristics and morphological observation

Ninety leaf samples from each ploidy level plant, including the control, were used for stomata investigation. The leaf scraping method was used for stomata determination. The fully expanded leaves at the $2^{nd} - 4^{th}$ positions from the shoot tip of M_1V_4 plants were excised. Then, the leaves were gently scraped at the abaxial surface. Data on stomatal guard cell size, stomata density, and chloroplast numbers were collected by observation with ×1,000 magnification under a light microscope. Stomatal index was determined with Royer's formula, SI (%) = [S × 100/(S+E)], where SI is the stomatal index, S is the number of stomata, and E is the number of epidermal cells [21].

Fifteen plants from each ploidy level of *in vitro Kaempferia rotunda* were randomly selected and morphological characteristics were determined. Data on leaf, shoot, and root number, plant height, leaf width, leaf thickness, and leaf and root length were collected. The percentage of variegated leaf emersion was displayed. The leaf color was determined by the color chart of The Royal Horticultural Society [22].

Statistical analysis

The average data on shoot numbers, plant height, leaf thickness, stomatal guard cell size, and density of each colchicine treatment were statistically compared using a completely randomized design (CRD) with 3 replications. Data were subjected to one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and the means were separated using Duncan's multiple range test (DMRT) at a 95 % confidence level.



Figure 2 Flow cytometry analysis histogram of various ploidy levels; diploid (control plants) showed a single sharp peak approximate to channel 260 (A). Two groups of tetraploid plants given a single peak arose at the same position around channel 520, which were derived from normal green (B) and variegated dwarf (C) plants. Mixoploid plants showed double peaks at channels 260 and 520, and could be assumed to be ploidy chimerism plants (D).

Results and discussion

The results of the flow cytometry analysis of colchicine treated plants showed 3 groups of ploidy levels: diploid, tetraploid, and mixoploid plants. From fifteen of the colchicine-treated plants, only 3 plants showed unchanged ploidy levels and 6 plants of tetraploid and mixoploid were obtained. A histogram of diploid plants showed a single peak approximate to channel 260 (Figure 2A). The peak of both tetraploid groups arose at around channel 520 (Figures 2B and 2C). The histogram of mixoploid plants showed double peaks, and could be assumed to be ploidy chimerism plants (Figure 2D).

Stomatal guard cell widths of diploid plants were significantly less than those of tetraploid and mixoploid plants. The results of stomatal guard cell length and chloroplast numbers per stomata in tetraploid and mixoploid plants (**Table 1**). These characteristics would indicate that the enlargement of cells of tetraploid plants has a larger and thicker organ such as leaves or flowers as noticed in *Gaura lindheimeri* Engelm. & A.Gray [23]. Even though changes in the anatomical characteristics of stomata to the stomatal index of all ploidy levels of *K. rotunda* was not altered. This was in contrast to the stomatal index of tetraploid plants. The results indicate that only stomata results could not specify tetraploid plants from mixoploid plants, as found in *Citrullus lanatus* (Thunb.) Matsum. & Nakai [26]. In *K. rotunda*, it could be assumed that stomata size and stomata density could not distinguish tetraploid

plants and mixoploid plants, while chloroplast number and leaf thickness were significantly increased in polyploid plants when compared with diploid plants. The number of chloroplasts has been reported as an efficient prescreening marker to identify diploidy and polyploidy in colchicine induced poplar and black locust [27]. Increasing leaf thickness was also exhibited in tetraploid of *Lespedeza formosa* (Vogel) Koehne [28]. From these results, chloroplast numbers in stomata and leaf thickness seemed to be a good early indicator to separate diploidy and polyploidy of *K. rotunda*.

Two stable characteristics of tetraploid plants, normal green leaf plants (G) and variegated dwarf plants (VD), appeared in M_1V_3 generation. The correlated results were found in *Miscanthus sinensis* Andersson, which gave a high rate of albinism after antimitotic treatment [29]. This may be a side effect of colchicine treatments that affects chromosome [30] and chloroplast DNA abnormality [31]. The highest width and thickness were found in G plants when compared to leaves of VD and control diploid plants. The VD plants had the highest shoot proliferation. However, the lowest in plant height, leaf length, root length, and root numbers were also found (**Table 1**). A comparison of morphology of *ex vitro* plants with different ploidy level plants is shown in **Figure 3**. Generally, tetraploid plants exhibit increased height and larger organs, but some previous researches examined more robust and shorter plants, such as *Eustoma grandiflorum* 'Blue poppy' [32]. Leaf color, which was determined by an RHS color chart, showed that the green color at code number 141A is shown in the control and normal green (G) plants. The VD leaves showed many colors; the variegated part was of the yellow-green group 144A, and the green part was of the yellow-green group 145A. The color of green group 135A was found in the leaves of mixoploid plants.

Table 1 Morphological and anatomical characteristics in different ploidy levels of *Kaempferia rotunda* in M₁V₄ generation.

	Group/Ploidy level			
Characteristics	Control (2n)	Normal green (4n)	Variegated dwarf (4n)	Mixoploid (2n + 4n)
Stomatal guard cell width (µm)	22.69±0.23 ^a	28.96±0.64 ^c	29.28±0.45°	25.88±1.60 ^b
Stomatal guard cell length (µm)	38.38±0.66 ^a	52.62±1.56 ^b	54.41 ± 0.62^{b}	47.33 ± 4.23^{b}
Stomata numbers/1 mm ²	32.60 ± 2.00^{b}	$21.70{\pm}0.80^{a}$	47.40±1.61°	29.79 ± 5.35^{ab}
Chloroplast numbers/ stomatal guard cell	$20.80{\pm}1.00^{a}$	$33.30{\pm}0.70^{b}$	32.00 ± 1.13^{b}	34.13 ± 5.02^{b}
Stomatal index	6.87 ± 0.40^{ns}	$7.60{\pm}0.49^{\text{ ns}}$	7.91 ± 0.30^{ns}	7.18±0.90 ^{ns}
Shoot numbers	$1.80{\pm}0.20^{a}$	$2.40{\pm}0.20^{a}$	$12.10\pm0.80^{\circ}$	$4.10{\pm}0.60^{b}$
Plant height (cm)	11.24 ± 0.70^{b}	12.68±3.21 ^b	$6.74{\pm}0.39^{a}$	$11.49{\pm}0.59^{b}$
Leaf numbers	4.00 ± 0.10^{a}	$4.60{\pm}0.20^{a}$	4.70 ± 0.30^{a}	$5.40{\pm}0.20^{b}$
Leaf length (cm)	8.89 ± 0.72^{b}	10.42 ± 2.21^{b}	5.44±0.22 ^a	$9.12{\pm}0.56^{b}$
Leaf width (cm)	$1.80{\pm}0.12^{a}$	$3.21 \pm 0.52^{\circ}$	$1.82{\pm}0.09^{a}$	2.15 ± 0.10^{b}
Leaf thickness (µm)	$481.67{\pm}12.80^{a}$	781.67±55.47 ^c	$648.33{\pm}46.96^{b}$	581.67 ± 33.08^{b}
Root numbers	11.00 ± 0.60^{bc}	$12.8 \pm 0.90^{\circ}$	5.70±0.80 ^a	$9.10{\pm}0.80^{b}$
Root length (cm)	4.19 ± 0.26^{b}	4.09±0.23 ^b	2.87±0.31 ^a	3.99±0.33 ^b

Means \pm SE within each row with different superscript letters are significantly different at P = 0.05 (by DMRT) ns: not significant



Figure 3 Morphology of 6-month-old *ex vitro* plants of diploid control plants (A-B), tetraploid normal green plants (C-D), tetraploid variegated dwarf plants (E-F), and arrow heads indicating variegated parts of leaves and mixoploid plants (G-H).

Walailak J Sci & Tech 2017; 14(3)

Conclusions

In conclusion, the present experiments showed that stomatal guard cell size, chloroplast numbers in stomata, and leaf thickness could be introduced as an early marker to predict ploidy levels in *K. rotunda*. This is the first report which demonstrates that colchicine treatment has the potential to produce dwarf lines and induce chlorophyll mutation in *K. rotunda*.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge the financial support from the National Research Council of Thailand (NRCT).

References

- [1] K Larsen, and SS Larsen. *Gingers of Thailand*. Queen Sirikit Botanic Garden, Chiang Mai, 2006.
- [2] BE van Wyk. Food Plant of the World. Briza Publications, South Africa, 2005.
- [3] K Sereena, KU Prakash and SAB Rema. Histochemical and phytochemical markers for the authentication of ayurvedic raw drug hallakam (*Kaempferia rotunda*) and its marketed adulterant. *Int. J. Pharmaceut. Sci. Res.* 2011; **2**, 2952-8.
- [4] HJ Woerdenbag, T Windono, R Bos, S Riswan and WJ Quax. Composition of the essential oils of Kaempferia rotunda L. and Kaempferia angustifolia Roscoe rhizomes from Indonesia. Flavour Fragrance J. 2004; 19, 145-8.
- [5] PD Lotulung, Minarti, LB Kardono and K Kawanishi. Antioxidant compound from the rhizomes of Kaempferia rotunda L. Pakistan J. Biol. Sci. 2008; 11, 2447-50.
- [6] G Nuki. Colchicine: Its mechanism of action and efficacy in crystal-induced inflammation. *Curr. Rheumatol. Rep.* 2008; **10**, 218-27.
- [7] H Wollerson, F Erdmann, R Dettemeyer and M Risse. Accidental fatal ingestion of colchicinecontaining leaves - toxicological and histological findings. *Leg. Med.* 2009; **11**, S498-S499.
- [8] A Nasreen, H Gundlach and MH Zenk. Incorporation of phenethylisoquinolines into colchicine in isolated seeds of *Colchicum autumnale*. *Phytochemistry* 1997; 46, 107-15.
- [9] A Poutaraud and P Girardin. Agronomical and chemical variability of *Colchicum autumnale* accessions. *Can. J. Plant Sci.* 2006; **86**, 547-55.
- [10] J Ramsey and DW Schemske. Pathways, mechanisms, and rates of polyploidy formation in flowering plants. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Systemat.* 1998; **29**, 467-501.
- [11] A Omran and B Mohammad. B. Polyploidization effect in two diploid cotton (Gossypium herbaceum L. and G. arboreum L.) species by colchicine treatments. Afr. J. Biotechnol. 2008; 7, 102-8.
- [12] AS Escandón, LM Alderete and JC Hagiwara. *In vitro* polyploidization of *Mecardonia tenella*, a native plant from South America. *Sci. Hort.* 2007; **115**, 56-61.
- [13] L Chen,Y Wang and M Zhoa. *In vitro* and characterization of tetraploid *Lychnis senno* Siebold et Zucc. *HortScience* 2006; **41**, 759-61.
- [14] C Chen, X Hou, H Zhang, G Wang and L Tian. Induction of *Anthurium andraeanum* "Arizona" tetraploid by colchicine *in vitro*. *Euphytica* 2011; **18**, 137-45.
- [15] S Gantait, N Mandal, S Bhattacharyya and PK Das. Induction and identification of tetraploids using in vitro colchicine treatment of *Gerbera jamesonii* Bolus cv. Sciella. *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult.* 2011; **106**, 485-93.
- [16] HF Sakhanokho, KR Rowena, RY Kelley and N Islam-Faridi. Induced polyploidy in diploid ornamental ginger (*Hedychium muluense* R. M. Smith) using colchicine and oryzalin. *HortScience* 2009; 44, 1809-14.
- [17] S Adaniya and D Shirai. *In vitro* induction of tetraploid ginger (*Zingiber officinale* Roscoe) and its pollen fertility and germinability. *Sci. Hort.* 2001; **88**, 277-87.
- [18] T Murashige and F Skoog. A revised medium for rapid growth and bioassays with tobacco cultures. *Physiol. Plantarum* 1962; **15**, 473-97.

- [19] S Soonthornkalump, N Chuenboonngarm, P Soontornchainaksaeng, T Jenjittikul and K Thammasiri. Effect of colchicine incubation time on tetraploid induction of *Kaempferia rotunda*. *In*: Proceedings of the International Symposium on Orchids and Ornamental Plants, Chiang Mai, Thailand, 2014, p. 89-92.
- [20] J Doležel and W Godhe. Sex determination in dioecious plants *Melandrium album* and *M. rubrum* using high-resolution flow cytometry. *Cytometry* 1995; **19**, 103-6.
- [21] DL Royer. Stomatal density and stomatal index as indicators of paleoatmospheric CO₂ concentration. *Rev. Paleaeobot. Palynol.* 2001; **114**, 1-28.
- [22] DH Voss. Relating colorimeter measurement of plant color to the royal horticultural society colour chart. *HortScience* 1992; 27, 1256-60.
- [23] GM Pietsch and NO Anderson. Efficacy colchicine and trifluralin in creating in vitro autotetraploid *Gaura lindheimeri* Engelm. and Gray. *HortScience* 2006; **41**, 1656-61.
- [24] Z Zhang, H Dai, M Xiao and X Liu. In vitro induction of tetraploids in Phlox subulata L. Euphytica 2008; 159, 59-65.
- [25] T Kaensaksiri, P Soontornchainaksaeng, N Soonthornchareonnon and S Prathanturarug. In vitro induction of polyploidy in Centella asiatica (L.) Urban. Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult. 2011; 107, 187-94.
- [26] MJ Jaskani, SW Kwon and DH Kin. Flow cytometry of DNA contents of colchicine treated watermelon as a ploidy screening method at M1 stage. *Pakistan J. Bot.* 2005; 37, 685-96.
- [27] D Ewald, K Ulrich, G Naujoks and MB Schröder. Induction of tetraploid poplar and black locust plants using colchicine: Chloroplast number as an early marker for selecting polyploids *in vitro*. *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult*. 2009; **99**, 353-7.
- [28] L Wei, H Dong-nan, L Hui and C Xiao-yang. Polyploid induction of *Lespedeza formosa* by colchicine treatment. *Forest. Stud. China* 2007; **9**, 283-6.
- [29] KK Petersen, P Hagberg and K Kristiansen. *In vitro* chromosome doubling of *Miscanthus sinensis*. *Plant Breed*. 2002; **121**, 445-50.
- [30] AS Islam, K Njorogea and A Irudayanathan. Random chromosome breakage by colchicine in Viscum fischeri (Loranthaceae). Experientia 1977; 34, 328-9.
- [31] AM Castillo, L Cistué, MP Vallés and M Soriano. Chromosome Doubling in Monocots. In: A Touraev, BP Forster and SJ Mohan (eds.). Advances in Haploid Production in Higher Plants. Springer, Heidelberg, 2009, p. 329-38.
- [32] RJ Griesbach and RN Bhat. Colchicine-induced polyploidy in *Eustoma grandiflorum*. *HortScience* 1990; **25**, 1284-6.