

Songklanakarin J. Sci. Technol. 42 (1), 132-138, Jan. - Feb. 2020



Short Communication

# Condensed tannins in mangosteen pericarps determined from ultraperformance liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry

Narita Khundamri<sup>1</sup>, Chahinez Aouf<sup>2, 3, 4</sup>, Hélène Fulcrand<sup>2, 3, 4</sup>, Eric Dubreucq<sup>5</sup>, and Varaporn Tanrattanakul<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Materials Science and Technology, Faculty of Science, Prince of Songkla University, Hat Yai, Songkhla, 90110 Thailand

> <sup>2</sup> INRA, UMR1083 Sciences Pour l'Oenologie, Université de Montpellier, Montpellier, 34060 France

<sup>3</sup> Montpellier SupAgro, UMR1083 Sciences Pour l'Oenologie, Université de Montpellier, Montpellier, 34060 France

<sup>4</sup> UMR1083 Sciences Pour l'Oenologie, Université de Montpellier, Montpellier, 34060 France

<sup>5</sup> Montpellier SupAgro, UMR1208 IATE, Université de Montpellier, Montpellier Cedex, 34060 France

Received: 1 September 2017; Revised: 3 September 2018; Accepted: 5 October 2018

#### Abstract

The objective of the present work was to determine the types and concentrations of condensed tannins in mangosteen pericarps. Methanol, ethanol, and acetone were employed to extract tannins from the mangosteen pericarps. The tannin extracts were depolymerized using 2-mercaptoethanol as a nucleophile. Ultra-performance liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (UPLC-MS) was used to structurally identify the tannins and determine their concentrations. UPLC chromatograms showed five different tannin monomers: catechin, epicatechin, catechin mercapto, catechin gallate mercapto, and epigallocatechin gallate. Catechin mercapto was the major monomer (~32 to 60 mg/g of extract). The average degree of polymerization indicated that the condensed tannins in the pericarps were mainly dimers and trimers. The experimental results showed that methanol was the most efficient extraction solvent in the present work and that UPLC-MS was a powerful technique for evaluating the condensed tannins in mangosteen pericarps in terms of qualitative and quantitative analysis.

Keywords: condensed tannin, depolymerization, solvent extraction, mangosteen pericarps, UPLC-MS

## 1. Introduction

Mangosteen (*Garcinia mangostana* Linn.) is a tropical fruit tree cultivated in Thailand, Malaysia, Indonesia,

\*Corresponding author

Email address: varaporn.t@psu.ac.th

the Philippines, Vietnam, Singapore, and Myanmar (Naczk, Towsend, Zadernowski, & Shahidi, 2011). The mangosteen fruit consists of 65% pericarp, 31% fresh mangosteen, and 4% cap (Chaovanalikit *et al.*, 2012). In Thai traditional medicine, the pericarps have been used for the treatment of skin infections, wounds, and diarrhea (Mahabusarakam & Wiriyachitra, 1987). The major chemical components in the pericarp are phenolic compounds, which include xanthones (Al-Massarani *et al.*, 2013; Mahabusarakam & Wiriyachitra, 1987), phenolic acids (Chaovanalikit *et al.*, 2012; Cheok, Chin, Yusof, & Law, 2012), condensed tannins (Fu, Loo, Chia, & Huang, 2007; Zhou, Lin, Wei, & Tam, 2011), and anthocyanins (Chaovanalikit *et al.*, 2012; Mai & Tan, 2013).

Solvent extraction is the most common method for the isolation of phenolic compounds in the mangosteen pericarp. The different solvents have included benzene (Mahabusarakam & Wiriyachitra, 1987), methanol (Cheok et al., 2012; Jung, Su, Keller, Mehta, & Kinghorn, 2006; Kosem, Han, & Moongkarndi, 2007; Suksamrarn, Suwannapoch, Rattananukul, Aroonlerk, & Suksamrarn, 2002; Zadernowski, Czaplicki, & Naczk, 2009), ethanol (Al-Massarani et al., 2013; Moosophin, Wetthaisong, Seeratchakot, & Kokluecha, 2010; Pothitirat, Chomnawang, Supabphol, & Gritsanapan, 2009; Pothitirat & Gritsanapan, 2009), acetone (Chaovanalikit et al., 2012; Fu et al., 2007; Naczk et al., 2011; Zhou et al., 2011), and ethyl acetate (Chaivisuthangkura et al., 2009). Tannins in mangosteen pericarps have been extracted with methanol (Zadernowski et al., 2009), ethanol (Moosophin et al., 2010; Pothitirat et al., 2009), and acetone (Fu et al., 2007; Naczk et al., 2011; Zhou et al., 2011) although water has been used to extract phenolic compounds in mangosteen pericarps. The work of Cheok et al. (2012) ranked the solvation power of solvents on phenolic compounds in mangosteen pericarps in the following order: methanol > acetone = ethanol > distilled water. For this reason, water was not used in the present study. They stated that solvation represented the interaction between a solvent and a molecule or an ion dissolved in that solvent.

The chromatographic techniques used for qualitative and quantitative analysis of plant phenolics have included high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) (Chen, Fu, Qin, & Huang, 2009; Fu et al., 2007; Pothitirat et al., 2009), high speed counter current chromatography (Cao, Wang, Pei, & Sun, 2009; Krishnan & Maru, 2006; Yanagida et al., 2006), supercritical fluid chromatography (SFC) (Karnangerpour, Khorassani, Taylor, McNair, & Chorida, 2002; Khoddami, Wilkes, & Roberts, 2013), thin-layer chromatography (Rastija & Medić-Šarić, 2009), and gas chromatography (GC) (Zadernowski et al., 2009; Zafra et al., 2006). Mass spectrometry (MS) has also been used to investigate the chemical structures of polyphenols (Fulcrand et al., 2008; Monagas, Quintanilla-López, Gómez-Cordovés, Bartolomé, & Lebrón-Aguilar, 2010). Zhou et al. (2011) characterized depolymerized tannin by ultraviolet-visible (UV-vis) spectrum, HPLC-MS, and matrix-assisted laser desorption/ ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry.

Fu *et al.* (2007) characterized the structure of an extract of mangosteen pericarps by means of nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy ( $^{13}$ C- and  $^{1}$ H-NMR), UV-vis spectrophotometry and HPLC-MS. Afzelechin/epiafzelechin, catechin/epicatechin, and gallocatechin/epigallocatechin were present after depolymerization with benzylmercaptan. However, the concentrations of all the tannins were not reported. Using mercaptoacetic acid as a nucleophile, Chen *et al.* (2009) studied a one-pot extraction/depolymerization in methanol of condensed tannins in mangosteen pericarps. The major product obtained was 4 $\beta$ -(carboxymethyl)sulphanyl-(-)epicatechin methyl ester. Zhou *et al.* (2011) extracted phenolic compounds from mangosteen pericarps using eight different solvents, and benzylmercaptan as a nucleophile for

depolymerization of the tannin. Three tannin monomers were released as both terminal and extension units: (epi)catechin, (epi)afzelechin, and (epi)gallocatechin.

Recently, ultra-performance liquid chromatographymass spectrometry (UPLC-MS) has been employed to characterize the complex structures and determine the concentrations of natural polymers such as procyanidins and proanthocyanidins (Aouf, Guernevé, Caillol, & Fulcrand, 2013; Benyahya *et al.*, 2014; Roumeas, Aouf, Dubreucq, & Fulcrand, 2013). It is a very effective technique due to its very high sensitivity and selectivity.

As described above, although the common solvents used for the extraction of tannins from mangosteen pericarps were methanol, ethanol, and acetone, the extraction efficiency of each solvent was not reported. Additionally, most of the cited works have employed UV-vis spectrophotometry to determine the concentration of the extracted tannins but none of them identified the structure of the tannins. Therefore, the objectives of this work were to compare the efficiency of these solvents for extracting tannins from mangosteen pericarps and to identify the structures and determine the concentrations of the tannins obtained in the extracts using UPLC-MS.

### 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1 Materials

Mangosteens (*Garcinia mangostana* Linn.) were purchased from a fruit shop in Hat Yai, Songkhla, Thailand. The fruit had been cultivated in southern Thailand. All chemicals were AR grade including methanol (Sigma-Aldrich), ethanol (Sigma-Aldrich), acetone (AnalaR NORMA PUR<sup>®</sup>, VWR chemicals), trifluoroacetic acid (Sigma-Aldrich), n-hexane (AnalaR NORMAPUR<sup>®</sup>, VWR chemicals), hydrochloric acid (Riedel-de Haën, 37%), and 2-mercaptoethanol (Sigma-Aldrich).

#### 2.2 Experimental

## 2.2.1 Extraction of phenolic compounds from mangosteen pericarp powder

The mangosteen pericarps were dried in an oven at 40 °C overnight and ground in liquid nitrogen. The pericarp powder was dried in an oven at 60 °C for 24 h and kept in a desiccator prior to use. Phenolic compounds were extracted from the pericarp powder using 3 methods: A, B, and C.

In method A, 6 g of mangosteen pericarp powder was extracted for 1 h at room temperature (25 °C) with aqueous 80% (v/v) methanol at a ratio of 1:10 (weight of mangosteen pericarp powder/volume of methanol) (Zadernow ski *et al.*, 2009). After extraction, the mixture was centrifuged at 3000 rpm at 4 °C for 5 min and filtered through filter paper to separate the pericarp powder from the solution. Methanol in the solution part was removed in a rotary evaporator at 35 °C, and the solution was freeze-dried at -40 °C for 2 days to obtain a dry red-brown solid powder (17.77% [w/w]). The purified extract was kept in the freezer before use. The remaining solid part (the pericarp powder) was extracted again twice with the same process. In method B, 6 g of the mangosteen pericarps powder was extracted for 1 h at 60 °C with a mixture (at a ratio of 1:10 [w/v]) of 40 mL of ethanol (40% [v/v] aqueous solution), 1.5 mL of HCl (1.5% [v/v] aqueous solution), and 58.5 mL of H<sub>2</sub>O (Mai & Tan, 2013). After extraction, the mixture was separated, evaporated, and freeze-dried similarly to method A. After drying, a red-brown solid powder was obtained (21.31% [w/w]), and the remaining solid part was also further extracted twice, as in method A.

The extraction by method C was developed by our group and consisted of two steps. First, lipids in 6 g of mangosteen pericarp powder were removed with n-hexane (at the ratio 1:10 [w/v]) at 25 °C for 1 h. The mixture was then centrifuged at 3000 rpm at 4 °C for 5 min and filtered through filter paper. The lipid removal process was repeated twice. The pericarp powder was then extracted for 1 h at room temperature (25 °C) with 60 mL from a mixture of 70 mL of acetone (70% [v/v] aqueous solution), 0.05 mL of trifluoroacetic acid, and 29.95 mL of H<sub>2</sub>O. After extraction, the pericarp powder was separated from the extraction solution and the solvent removed following methods A and B. A dry red-brown solid powder was obtained (18.58% [w/w]) and, as in the other two methods, three successive extractions were carried out.

# 2.2.2 Depolymerization of phenolic compounds in the mangosteen pericarps

A depolymerization solution was prepared from 38.7 mL of methanol, 333  $\mu$ L of 37% HCl and 1 mL of 2mercaptoethanol. Twenty milligrams of the extracted powders were reacted in 1 mL of the depolymerization solution for 2 h at 40 °C. The mixture was centrifuged and the supernatant, which was the depolymerized tannins extract in solution, was analyzed by UPLC-MS to identify the tannin structures and to determine their concentrations (Roumeas *et al.*, 2013).

#### 2.2.3 UPLC-MS analysis

The UPLC-MS apparatus consisted of a Waters® Acquity UPLC coupled with a diode array detector (UV detector) and a Brucker Daltonics® Ion trap mass spectrometer. Two microliters (µL) of standard and depolymerized extract solutions were injected via the auto sampler into a Nucleosil® 120-3 C18 encapped Machery-Nagel® column (100 mm  $\times$  2.1 mm, 5  $\mu$ m particle size). The mobile phase consisted of two solvents: solvent A, water/formic acid (99:1 [v/v]) and solvent B, acetonitrile/water/formic acid (80:19:1 [v/v/v]). Phenolic compound solutions were eluted under the conditions described by Benyahya et al. (2014). The mass spectrometer was equipped with an electrospray ionization source and was operated in positive ion mode. The conditions for the analysis were: drying gas flow of 12 L/min; drying gas temperature of 200 °C; nebulizer pressure of 44 psi; and capillary voltages of 5500 V. The mass spectra were recorded in the range of m/z 70–1500. The tannin extract solution was prepared by dissolving 20 mg of tannin extract in 2 mL of methanol (10 mg/mL). To find a range of signals suitable to determine the molar relative response factor (MRRF), standard solutions were prepared following the method of Benyahya et al. (2014).

# 2.2.4 Analysis of tannin monomer concentration and degree of polymerization

The mechanism of the depolymerization of the tannins is proposed in Figure 1. After depolymerization, the condensed tannins were transformed into extension and terminal subunits. The extension subunits are linked to a nucleophilic reagent, whereas the terminal subunits are released as neutral monomers. The extension and terminal subunits were analyzed by UPLC-MS. A quantitative analysis, based on the UPLC chromatograms, was carried out in accordance with Aouf *et al.* (2014) and Benyahya *et al.* (2014) to determine the monomer content of the tannins. The area of a spectral peak in a UV chromatogram is proportional to the amount of the substance that was detected by the LC instrument. The quantity of each tannin monomer can be calculated according to Equations 1 and 2:

$$C_{\chi} (mmol/L) = \frac{A_{\chi} (mg/L)}{MRRF_{\chi} (g/mol)}$$
(1)

$$Tanninscontent(mg/g) = \frac{A_X (mg/L)}{Concentration of sample(mg/mL)}, \quad (2)$$

where  $C_x$  is the molar concentration of each tannin monomer (mmol/L),  $A_x$  is the area of the spectral peak of each tannin monomer (mg/L) present in the mangosteen tannin extract, and MRRF<sub>x</sub> is the molar relative response factor of each phenolic standard. MRRF<sub>x</sub> was determined by analyzing the standards of known concentrations with UPLC-MS and calculated by dividing the area of the spectral peak by the molar concentration of the standard, after Benyahya *et al.* (2014).

The molar concentration of each tannin monomer from Equation 1 was used to calculate the degree of polymerization (DP) according to Equation 3 (Vernhet *et al.*, 2011):

$$DP = \frac{molar amount of (extension units + terminal units)}{molar amount of terminal units}.$$
 (3)

### 3. Results and Discussion

# **3.1** Analysis of mangosteen tannin extracts before and after depolymerization

Dry red-brown solid powders were obtained from all extraction methods (Figure 2). The tannin extract from method A was characterized by UPLC-MS (Figure 3, method A before depolymerization). Broad and overlapped peaks were found that were similar to the UPLC spectrum of condensed tannin from grape seed before depolymerization (Roumeas *et al.*, 2013). It is not possible to elucidate the chemical structure of tannins if they are not first depolymerized.

The tannin polymers can be converted into monomers using the right nucleophiles in the depolymerization reaction. The nucleophilic agents have many sulphur compounds, such as benzyl mercaptan (Fu *et al.*, 2007; Zhou *et al.*, 2011), mercaptoacetic acid (Chen *et al.*,



Figure 1. Schematic diagram of depolymerization mechanism of tannin: (1) catechin, (2) epicatechin, (3) catechin mercapto, (4) catechin gallate mercapto and (5) epigallocatechin gallate.



Figure 2. Characteristics of extracted powders derived from the 3 extraction methods: (a) methodA; (b) method B; and (c) method C.



Figure 3. UPLC chromatograms of the extract before depolymerization (method A only) and after depolymerization (methods A, B and C): (1) catechin; (2) epicatechin; (3) catechin mercapto; (4) catechin gallate mercapto; and (5) epigallocatechin gallate.

2009; Vernhet et al., 2011), and 2-mercaptoethanol (Roumeas et al., 2013). In the present work, 2-mercaptomethanol was selected to depolymerize the mangosteen tannin extracts because this reagent has some advantages compared to other nucleophilic reagents. First, 2-mercaptoethanol is less odorous than benzyl mercaptan and mercaptoacetic acid. Mercaptoacetic acid in alcohol may induce esterification as a side reaction, whereas 2-mercaptoethanol does not. Moreover, mercaptoacetic acid may polymerize at room temperature to give thioester (Roumeas et al., 2013). Roumeas et al. (2013) studied the effect of methanol, ethanol, and water on the depolymerization of tannins. According to their results, methanol was the best solvent because it produced the highest vield of depolymerized tannins. Therefore, we used methanol as the solvent and 2-mercaptoethanol as the reagent for the acid depolymerization of the extracted mangosteen tannins.

Chromatograms at 280 nm of the depolymerized extracts of methods A, B, and C exhibited five peaks attributed to the monomeric units derived from the pericarp tannins. These peaks correspond to (1) catechin, (2) epicatechin, (3) catechin mercapto, (4) catechin gallate mercapto, and (5) epigallocatechin gallate (Figure 3). These monomeric units could be confirmed by the retention time of standard condensed tannin from the UPLC analysis, and mass number from the MS analysis. In addition, the mass number of the same monomeric units in Benyahya *et al.* (2014). These monomeric units were also present in mangosteen pericarps in the work of Fu *et al.* (2007). The retention times and mass numbers are listed in Table 1.

The mangosteen tannin extract was depolymerized with 2-mercaptoethanol under mild acidic conditions to release thiolated monomers as extension subunits and monomeric flavan-3-ol as terminal subunits. The thiolated monomers, containing the thiol group of 2-mercaptoethanol (78.13 g/mol), were catechin mercapto (m/z 367) and catechin gallate mercapto (m/z 519). The terminal subunits were released as free monomers of condensed tannin and included catechin, epicatechin, and epigallocatechin gallate. The chemical structures of the tannin monomers in the mangosteen tannin extract are shown in Figure 1.

Depolymerization of condensed tannins in mangosteen pericarps was also used to determine the DP of the condensed tannins but this technique was unable to provide polydispersity (Vernhet *et al.*, 2011). DP may be defined as the average number of monomeric units per molecule in an oligomeric or polymeric condensed tannin. Gu *et al.* (2002) defined the DP of condensed tannins in the following way: DP 1 = monomers; DP 2-10 = oligomers; and DP >10 = polymers. In previous work, the DP of condensed tannins in mangosteen pericarps was in the range of 1.91 to 16.80 (Chen *et al.*, 2009; Fu *et al.*, 2007; Zhou *et al.*, 2011). In the present work, the degrees of polymerization of the condensed tannins from extraction methods A, B, and C were 3.56, 3.28, and 2.81, respectively. This indicated that the condensed tannins in our mangosteen pericarps were mainly dimers and trimers.

The concentration of tannin monomers was determined from UV chromatograms as milligrams of monomer per gram of extract (Benyahya et al., 2014; Roumeas et al., 2013). The area of the spectral peak and MRRF<sub>x</sub> obtained from the UV chromatogram of each tannin monomer (Table 2) were used to calculate the molar concentration (C<sub>x</sub>) and the tannin monomer content. The content of each tannin monomer and total condensed tannin content from extraction methods A, B, and C are shown in Table 3. Catechin mercapto was the major product (32-60 mg/g) and, based on the total content, comprised >60% of all tannins extracted by each extraction method. Epicatechin was the second most abundant product (16-19%) of method A and B, whereas epigallocatechin gallate was the second most abundant (19%) of method C. The concentration of each tannin monomer depended on the extraction method. For example, the tannin monomers least produced by methods A, B, and C were epigallocatechin gallate, catechin gallate mercapto, and catechin, respectively. Among the three methods, method A produced the highest yield (87.82 mg/g). This indicated that method A should be the most effective method for the extraction of tannins. The present result is similar to that of Cheok et al. (2012) who determined that methanol was the best solvent for the extraction of phenolic compounds from mangosteen pericarps. They suggested that was due to a solubility parameter. The efficiency of the

Table 1. Retention time and mass number of phenolic compounds in the mangosteen pericarps analyzed by UPLC-MS.

Phenolic compound	Retention time (min)	$(M+H)^+m/z$
С	3.0	291
EC	3.5	291
C-SCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> OH	4.0	367
CG-SCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> OH	4.5	519
EGCG	5.3	459
	Phenolic compound C EC C-SCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> OH CG-SCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> OH EGCG	Phenolic compoundRetention time (min)C3.0EC3.5C-SCH2CH2OH4.0CG-SCH2CH2OH4.5EGCG5.3

Abbreviations: C, catechin; EC, epicatechin; C-SCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>OH, catechin mercapto; CG-SCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>OH, catechin gallate mercapto; EGCG, epigallocatechin gallate.

Table 2. The spectral peak area  $(A_x)$ , the molar relative response factor (MRRF<sub>x</sub>), and the molar concentration  $(C_x)$  of tannin monomers from the UPLC chromatograms of phenolic compounds in mangosteen pericarps extracted by methods A, B and C.

Dhanalia arminana d	Method A		Method B		Method C		MRRF <sub>x</sub>
Phenone compound	$A_x$ (mg/L)	$C_x$ (mmol/L)	$A_x$ (mg/L)	$C_x$ (mmol/L)	$A_x$ (mg/L)	$C_x$ (mmol/L)	(g/mol)
С	46.54	0.16	32.56	0.11	18.26	0.06	290
EC	174.15	0.60	121.40	0.42	65.73	0.23	290
C-SCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> OH	620.86	2.14	489.78	1.69	322.03	1.11	290
CG-SCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> OH	41.40	0.09	26.96	0.06	20.77	0.05	442
EGCG	33.31	0.11	68.17	0.24	100.74	0.35	290

Abbreviations: C, catechin; EC, epicatechin; C-SCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>OH, catechin mercapto; CG-SCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>OH, catechin gallate mercapto; EGCG, epigallocatechin gallate.

136

	Conc	ensed tannin monomer content (mg/g)	mg/g)
Phenolic compound	Method A	Method B	Method C
	4.46	3.18	1.80
С	16.69	11.86	6.48
-SCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> OH	59.50	47.84	31.74
CG-SCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> OH	3.97	2.63	2.05
EGCG	3.19	6.67	9.93
lotal content	87.82	72.17	52.01

Table 3. Condensed tannin monomer content of mangosteen pericarps extracted by methods A, B, and C.

Abbreviations: C, catechin; EC, epicatechin; C-SCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>OH, catechin mercapto; CG-SCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>OH, catechin gallate mercapto; EGCG, epigal-locatechin gallate.

solvents in the present study could be ranked in the following order: methanol > ethanol > acetone. In order to verify complete extraction, the remaining mangosteen pericarps were powdered, depolymerized in the same way as the extracts, and analyzed by UPLC-MS. No condensed tannin was detected which indicated complete extraction of the condensed tannins in the mangosteen pericarps.

#### 4. Conclusions

The present work was a systematic evaluation of the types and concentrations of tannin monomers extracted from mangosteen pericarps by 3 methods: method A used methanol and water; method B used ethanol, water, and acid; and method C used acetone, water, and acid. The extracted tannins were depolymerized with 2-mercaptoethanol. UPLC-MS was applied to determine the structure of the condensed tannins as well as the tannin content. All extraction methods produced similar results: five tannin monomers and xanthones. The five tannin monomers in the depolymerized extracted tannins were identified as catechin, epicatechin, catechin mercapto, catechin gallate mercapto, and epigallocatechin gallate. Catechin mercapto was the major product (~32 to 60 mg/g, >60%) in all extracts. Epicatechin was the second major product in the extracts from method A (~17 mg/g, 16%) and method B (~12 mg/g, 19%), whereas epigallocatechin gallate was the second major product from method C (~10 mg/g, 19%). The DP values indicated that the condensed tannins in the pericarps were mostly dimers and trimers. Finally, methanol was the most efficient extraction solvent.

#### Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by PSU-Ph.D. Scholarship, Prince of Songkla University, and the French-Thai Cooperation Program in Higher Education and Research.

#### References

Al-Massarani, S. M., El Gamal, A. A., Al-Musayeib, N. M., Mothana, R. A., Basudan, O. A., Al-Rehaily, A. J., Maes, L. (2013). Phytochemical, Antimicrobial and Antiprotozoal evaluation of *Garcinia mangostana* pericarp and α-mangostin, its major xanthone derivative. *Molecules*, 18, 10599-10608. doi:10.33 90/molecules180910599

- Aouf, C., Guernevé, C. L., Caillol, S., & Fulcrand, H. (2013). Study of the O-glycidylation of natural phenolic compounds. The relationship between the phenolic structure and the reaction mechanism. *Tetrahedron*, 69, 1345-1353. doi:10.1016/j.tet.2012.11.079
- Aouf, C., Benyahya, S., Esnouf, A., Caillol, S., Boutevin, B., & Fulcrand, H. (2014). Tara tannins as phenolic precursors of thermosetting epoxy resins. *European Polymer Journal.* 55, 186-198. doi:10.1016/j.eur polymj.2014.03.034
- Benyahya, S., Aouf, C., Caillol, S., Boutevin, B., Pascault, J. P., & Fulcrand, H. (2014). Functionalized green tea tannins as phenolic prepolymers for bio-based epoxy resins. *Industrial Crops and Products*, 53, 296–307. doi:10.1016/j.indcrop.2013.12.045
- Cao, X., Wang, C., Pei, H., & Sun, B. (2009). Separation and identification of polyphenols in apple pomace by high-speed counter-current chromatography and high-performance liquid chromatography coupled with mass spectrometry. *Journal of Chromatography A*, 1216, 4268–4274. doi:10.1016/j.chroma. 2009.01.046
- Chaivisuthangkura, A., Malaikaew, Y., Chaovanalikit, A., Jaratrungtawee, A., Panseeta, P., Ratananukul, P., & Suksamrarn, S. (2009). Prenylated composition of *Garcinia mangostana* (mangosteen) fruit hull. *Chromatographia*, 69, 315-318. doi:10.1365/ s10337-008-0890-1 0009-5893/09/02
- Chaovanalikit, A., Mingmuang, A., Kitbunluewit, T., Chol dumrongkool, N., Sonndee, J., & Chupratum, S. (2012). Anthocyanin and total phenolics content of mangosteen and effect of processing on the quality of mangosteen products. *International Food Research Journal*, 19(3), 1047-1053.
- Chen, W., Fu, C., Qin, Y., & Huang, D. (2009). One-pot depolymerizative extraction of proanthocyanidins from mangosteen pericarps. *Food Chemistry*, 114, 874-880. doi:10.1016/j.foodchem.2008.10.056
- Cheok,C. Y., Chin, N. L., Yusof, Y. A., & Law, C. L. (2012). Extraction of total phenolic content from *Garcinia* mangostana Linn. hull. I. Effect of solvents and UV-Vis spectrophotometer absorbance method. Food and Bioprocess Technology, 5, 2928-2933. doi:10.1007/s11947-011-0627-2

N. Khundamri et al. / Songklanakarin J. Sci. Technol. 42 (1), 132-138, 2020

- Fu, C., Loo, A. E. K., Chia, F. P. P., & Huang, D. (2007). Oligomeric proanthocyanidins from mangosteen pericarps. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 55, 7689-7694. doi:10.1021/jf071166n
- Fulcrand, H., Mané, C., Preys, S., Mazerolles, G., Bouchut, C., Mazauric, J. P., . . . Cheynier, V. (2008). Direct mass spectrometry approaches to characterize polyphenol composition of complex samples. *Phytochemistry*, 69, 3131–3138. doi:10.1016/j.phyto chem.2008.03.016
- Gu, L., Kelm, M., Hammerstone, J. F., Beecher, G., Cunningham, D, Vannozzi, S., & Prior., R. L. (2002). Fractionation of polymeric procyanidins from lowbush blueberry and quantification of procyanidins in selected foods with an optimized normal-phase HPLC-MS fluorescent detection method. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 50, 4852-4860. doi:10.1021/jf020214v
- Jung, H-A., Su, B-N., Keller, W. J., Mehta, R. G., & Kinghorn, A. D. (2006). Antioxidant xanthones from the pericarp of *Garcinia mangotana* (mangosteen). *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 54, 2077-2082. doi:10.1021/jf052649z
- Karnangerpour, A., Ashraf-Khorassani, M., Taylor, L. T., McNair, H. M., & Chorida, L. (2002). Supercritical fluid chromatography of polyphenolic compounds in grape seed extract. *Chromatographia*, 55, 417-421. doi:10.1007/BF02492270
- Khoddami, A., Wilkes, M. A., & Roberts, T. H. (2013). Techniques for analysis of plant phenolic compounds. *Molecules*, 18, 2328-2375. doi:10.3390/ molecules18022328
- Kosem, N., Han, Y-H., & Moongkarndi, P. (2007). Antioxidant and cytoprotective activities of methanolic extract from *Garcinia mangostana* hulls. *ScienceAsia*, 33, 283-292. doi:10.2306/scienceasia 1513-1874.2007.33.283
- Krishnan, R., & Maru, G. B. (2006). Isolation and analyses of polymeric polyphenol fractions from black tea. *Food Chemistry*, 94, 331–340. doi:10.1016/j.food chem.2004.11.039
- Mahabusarakam, W., & Wiriyachitra, P. (1987). Chemical constituents of *Garcinia mangostana*. Journal of Natural Products, 50(3), 474-478. doi:10.1021/np 50051a021
- Mai, D. S., & Tan, L. V. (2013). Study the anthocyanin extraction from the rind of mangosteen (*Garcinia* mangostana) in Vietnam. International Conference on Environment, Energy and Biotechnology, 51, 28-31. doi:10.7763/IPCBEE
- Monagas, M., Quintanilla-López, J. E., Gómez-Cordovés, C., Bartolomé, B., & Lebrón-Aguilar, R. (2010). MALDI-TOF MS analysis of plant proanthocyanidins. Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis, 51, 358–372. doi:10.1016/j.jpba. 2009.03.035
- Moosophin, K., Wetthaisong, T., Seeratchakot, L-O., & Kokluecha, W. (2010). Tannin extraction from mangosteen peel for protein precipitation in wine. *KKU Research Journal*, *15*(5), 377-385.

- Naczk, M., Towsend, M., Zadernowski, R., &Shahidi, F. (2011). Protein-binding and antioxidant potential of phenolics of mangosteen fruit (*Garcinia mango-stana*). Food Chemistry, 128, 292-298. doi:10.1016/ j.foodchem.2011.03.017
- Pothitirat, W., & Gritsanapan, W. (2009). HPLC quantitative analysis method for the determination of αmangostin in mangosteen fruit rind extract. *Thai Journal of Agricultural Science*, 42(1), 7-12.
- Pothitirat, W., Chomnawang, M. T., Supabphol, R., & Gritsanapan, W. (2009). Comparison of bioactive compounds content, free radical scavenging and anti-acne inducing bacteria activities of extracts from the mangosteen fruit rind at two stages of maturity. *Fitoterapia*, 80, 442–447. doi:10.1016/ j.fitote.2009.06.005
- Rastija, V., & Mediæ-Šariæ, i. M. (2009). Chromatographic methods for the analysis of polyphenols in wines. *Kemija u industriji*, 58(3), 121–128.
- Roumeas, L., Aouf, C., Dubreucq, E., & Fulcrand, H. (2013). Depolymerisation of condensed tannins in ethanol as a gateway to biosourced phenolic synthons. *Green Chemistry*, 15, 3268–3275. doi:10.1039/ C3GC41281D
- Suksamrarn, S., Suwannapoch, N., Ratananukul, P., & Suksamrarn, A. (2002). Xanthones from the green fruit hulls of *Garcinia mangostana*. *Journal of Natural Products*, 65(5), 761-763. doi:10.1021/ np010566g
- Vernhet, A., Dubascoux, S., Cabane, B., Fulcrand, H., Dubreucq, E., & Poncet-Legrand, C. (2011). Characterization of oxidized tannins: comparison of depolymerization methods, asymmetric flow fieldflow fractionation and small-angle X-ray scattering. *Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry*, 401, 1559– 1569. doi:10.1007/s00216-011-5076-2
- Yanagida, A., Shoji, A., Shibusawa, Y., Shindo, H., Tagashira, M., Ikeda, M., & Ito, Y. (2006). Analytical separation of tea catechins and foodrelated polyphenols by high-speed counter-current chromatography. *Journal of Chromatography A*, *1112*, 195–201. doi:10.1016/j.chroma.2005.09.086
- Zadernowski, R., Czaplicki, S., & Naczk, M. (2009). Phenolic acid profiles of mangosteen fruits (*Garcinia* mangostana). Food Chemistry, 112, 685-689. doi:10.1016/j.foodchem. 2008.06.030
- Zafra, A., Juárez, M. J. B., Blanc, R., Navalón, A., González, J., & Vílchez, J. L. (2006). Determination of polyphenolic compounds in wastewater olive oil by gas chromatography–mass spectrometry. *Talanta*, 70, 213–218. doi:10.1016/j.talanta. 2005.12.038
- Zhou, H.C., Lin, Y. M., Wei, S. D., & Tam, N. F. Y. (2011). Structural diversity and antioxidant activity of condensed tannins fractionated from mangosteen pericarp. *Food Chemistry*, 129, 1710-1720. doi:10.1016/j.foodchem.2011.06.036

138