

# Characterization of a group-norm by maximum functional equation and stability results

Muhammad Sarfraz<sup>a</sup>, Fawad Ali<sup>b</sup>, Qi Liu<sup>a</sup>, Yongjin Li<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> School of Mathematics, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou 510275 China

<sup>b</sup> School of Mathematics and Statistics, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an 710049 China

\*Corresponding author, e-mail: stslyj@mail.sysu.edu.cn

Received 3 May 2021

Accepted 24 Jun 2021

**ABSTRACT:** Let  $(G, \|\cdot\|, +)$  be a normed group, where  $\|\cdot\|: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . We study the equation

$$\max\{\|x + y\|, \|x - y\|\} = \|x\| + \|y\| \quad \text{for all } x, y \in G.$$

Without a commutativity assumption of the normed group  $G$ , we analyze the stability results and characterization of a group-norm by the given equation.

**KEYWORDS:** normed group, discretely normed abelian group, Tabor weakly commutative, stability

**MSC2010:** 20D60 54E35 11T71

## INTRODUCTION

Simon et al [4] gave the characterization  $f(g) = |\eta(g)|$  for an additive function  $\eta: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\eta(g_1 + g_2) = \eta(g_1) + \eta(g_2)$ , which fulfills the equations

$$\max\{f(g_1 - g_2), f(g_1 + g_2)\} = f(g_1) + f(g_2), \quad (1)$$

$$\min\{f(g_1 - g_2), f(g_1 + g_2)\} = |f(g_1) - f(g_2)| \quad (2)$$

for all  $g_1, g_2 \in G$ , assuming that the domain of  $f$  is an abelian group  $G$ . However, according to the stability results of Przebieracz [9], (2) is stable, and she presented a general theorem that proves the stability of (2), where  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is considered as a continuous function of real variables.

Gilanyi et al [5] took into account the generalized version of (1), that is

$$\max\{f((g_1 g_2) g_2), f(g_1)\} = f(g_1 g_2) + f(g_2) \quad (3)$$

for all  $g_1, g_2 \in G$ , and demonstrated its stability for the real-valued function  $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  under the assumption of left identity, where  $G$  is considered as a square-symmetric groupoid. Consequently, Volkman [15] gave the generalization of (1) under the condition that  $f(g_1 g_2 g_3) = f(g_1 g_3 g_2)$  holds for all  $g_1, g_2, g_3 \in G$ . Stability results in connection with generalization of (1) can be found in [12], while a generalized version of (1) without commutativity condition can be seen in [14].

Furthermore, Redheffer in a joint paper with Volkman [10] gave the solution to a Pexiderized version of (1),

$$f(x) + g(y) = \max\{h(x - y), h(x + y)\} \quad (4)$$

for all  $x, y \in G$ , where  $f, g$  and  $h$  are mappings from an abelian group  $(G, +)$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Since group-norms play an important role in establishing relation between norms and group structures; therefore, in the next section, it will be shown that the proposed equation

$$\|x\| + \|y\| = \max\{\|x + y\|, \|x - y\|\} \quad (5)$$

for all  $x, y \in G$ , characterizes the group-norm. Therefore, we established a reliable relation between normed-groups and functional equation (5) through the characterization of a group-norm function from a normed group  $(G, \|\cdot\|, +)$  to  $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  defined by  $\|x\| := |x|$  for all  $x \in G$ . A presentation of our proposed definition in the form of group-norm equivalent to (5) was investigated in [5] as

$$\max\{\|(g_1 g_2) g_2\|, \|g_1\|\} = \|g_1 g_2\| + \|g_2\| \quad (6)$$

for all  $g_1, g_2 \in G$ .

The last section is devoted to the stability results of (5), where  $(G, \|\cdot\|, +)$  is a normed group. Moreover, we will analyze the stability of (5) for a real-valued function defined on a normed group  $G$ . As a

consequence of our main stability theorem of (5), we obtain the stability results of (5) on a Tabor weakly commutative group.

**ANALYSIS OF (5)**

Throughout this article, our normed group  $G$  will in general be  $(G, \|\cdot\|, +)$ , and  $0$  is considered to be the neutral element unless otherwise stated.

**Definition 1** Let  $(G, +)$  be a group with the neutral element  $0$ , then we say its norm  $\|\cdot\| : G \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is called a group-norm if, for any  $a, b \in G$ , it fulfills the following properties:

- (i)  $\|a + b\| \leq \|a\| + \|b\|$ ;
- (ii)  $\|a\| \geq 0$ , with  $\|a\| = 0$  if  $a = 0$ ;
- (iii)  $\|-a\| = \|a\|$ .

If (i) and (ii) are satisfied, then the norm  $\|\cdot\|$  is known as a pre-norm; if only (i) holds, then we say the norm  $\|\cdot\|$  is a semi-norm. For instance, see [2, 13]. A normed group is denoted by  $(G, \|\cdot\|, +)$  where  $\|\cdot\|$  is a group-norm and  $(G, +)$  is a group.

**Theorem 1** Suppose that  $(G, +)$  is a group. A mapping  $\|\cdot\| : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies (5) if and only if  $(G, \|\cdot\|, +)$  is a normed group.

*Proof:* Suppose that (5) holds. Setting  $x = 0$ , we can compute  $\|0\| + \|0\| = \max\{\|0\|, \|0\|\} = \|0\|$ , which implies that  $\|0\| = 0$ .

Also given condition yields that  $\|x\| + \|x\| = \max\{\|x+x\|, \|x-x\|\} = \max\{\|2x\|, \|0\|\} \geq 0$ , which gives that  $2\|x\| \geq 0$ , so  $\|x\| \geq 0$ . Since  $\|x\| \geq 0$  and  $\|x\| = 0$  whenever  $x = 0$ , then we can see  $\|2x\| \geq 0 = \|0\|$ , so we have  $\|2x\| \geq \|x-x\|$ , then

$$2\|x\| = \|x\| + \|x\| = \max\{\|x-x\|, \|2x\|\} = \|2x\|,$$

therefore  $2\|x\| = \|2x\|$ .

Moreover, setting  $y = -x$  in (5) implies that  $\|x\| + \|-x\| = \max\{\|x+x\|, \|x-x\|\} = \max\{\|0\|, \|2x\|\} = \|2x\| = 2\|x\|$ , which gives that  $\|-x\| = \|x\|$ . Furthermore, we can observe from (5) that  $\|x-y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|$  or  $\|x+y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|$ ; hence, in either case, the triangle inequality holds. Hence  $(G, \|\cdot\|, +)$  is a normed group. Conversely, let  $G$  be a normed group defined by the group-norm  $\|\cdot\|$ . Obviously,  $\|x\| + \|y\| = \max\{\|x-y\|, \|x+y\|\}$  for any  $x, y \in G$ . □

**Corollary 1** For a normed group  $(G, \|\cdot\|, +)$ , a group-norm  $\|\cdot\| : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  fulfilling (5) is a conjugation and abelian group-norm.

*Proof:* Let  $x, y \in G$ , then the proof of conjugation group-norm consists of the following simple computation:

$$\begin{aligned} \|y\| + \|-y+x+y\| &= \max\{\|y-y+x+y\|, \|y-y-x+y\|\} \\ &= \max\{\|x+y\|, \|-x+y\|\} \\ &= \max\{\|-y-x\|, \|-y+x\|\} \\ &= \max\{\|-y+x\|, \|-y-x\|\} \\ &= \|-y\| + \|x\| \\ &= \|y\| + \|x\|, \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\|-y+x+y\| = \|x\|$ . Writing  $y+x$  instead of  $x$ , we can obtain that

$$\|y+x\| = \|-y+y+x+y\| = \|x+y\|,$$

which implies that  $\|x+y\| = \|y+x\|$  for any  $x, y \in G$ . Thus, the group-norm is abelian. □

**Theorem 2** Let  $(G, \|\cdot\|, +)$  be a normed group then  $\min\{\|x-y\|, \|x+y\|\} \leq \|\|x\| - \|y\|\|$  holds for all  $x, y \in G$ .

*Proof:* Since  $(G, \|\cdot\|, +)$  is a normed group, a group-norm  $\|\cdot\| : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies (5). Making use of the conjugation group-norm, we first compute that

$$\begin{aligned} 2\|x\| &= \|x\| + \|x\| \\ &= \|x\| + \|-y+x+y\| \\ &= \max\{\|x-y+x+y\|, \|x-y-x+y\|\} \\ &\geq \|x-y+x+y\|. \end{aligned}$$

Then we can obtain the required result by the following simple calculation:

$$\begin{aligned} \max\{\|x-y\|, \|x+y\|\} + \min\{\|x-y\|, \|x+y\|\} &= \|x-y\| + \|x+y\| \\ \|x\| + \|y\| + \min\{\|x-y\|, \|x+y\|\} &= \|x-y\| + \|x+y\| \\ \min\{\|x-y\|, \|x+y\|\} &= \|x-y\| + \|x+y\| - \|x\| - \|y\| \\ &= \max\{\|x-y+x+y\|, \|x-y-y-x\|\} - \|x\| - \|y\| \\ &= \max\{\|x-y+x+y\|, \|x-2y-x\|\} - \|x\| - \|y\| \\ &\leq \max\{2\|x\|, \|-2y\|\} - \|x\| - \|y\| \\ &= \max\{2\|x\|, 2\|y\|\} - \|x\| - \|y\| \\ &= 2 \max\{\|x\|, \|y\|\} - \|x\| - \|y\| \leq \|\|x\| - \|y\|\|. \end{aligned}$$

□

By adding a certain condition for Theorem 2, we can extend the proof to remove the inequality. The following definition will play a key role in the proof of Theorem 3.

**Definition 2** ([7]) For a normed group  $(G, \|\cdot\|, +)$ , we say a mapping  $\|\cdot\|: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies condition (C) if

$$\|u + z + v\| = \|u + v + z\| \quad \text{for any } u, z, v \in G.$$

Obviously, any normed group  $(G, \|\cdot\|, +)$  fulfills the proposed condition (C) whenever the group  $G$  is abelian.

**Theorem 3** Suppose that  $(G, +)$  is a group and a mapping  $\|\cdot\|: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  fulfills the given condition (C), then  $(G, \|\cdot\|, +)$  is a normed group if and only if the group-norm is 2-homogeneous, and also

$$\min\{\|x - y\|, \|x + y\|\} = \left| \|x\| - \|y\| \right| \quad (7)$$

holds for all  $x, y \in G$ .

*Proof:* If  $(G, \|\cdot\|, +)$  is a normed group, then it is obvious that  $\|0\| = 0$  holds and also  $\|x\| \geq 0$  for any  $x \in G$ . Also we can obtain that  $\|x\| + \|y\| = \max\{\|x - y\|, \|x + y\|\}$ ; therefore, setting  $x = y = 0$ , we can compute that  $\|2x\| = 2\|x\|$ , i.e., group-norm is 2-homogeneous. The following simple computation gives the proof of (7):

$$\begin{aligned} \min\{\|x - y\|, \|x + y\|\} + \|x\| + \|y\| &= \min\{\|x - y\|, \|x + y\|\} + \max\{\|x - y\|, \|x + y\|\} \\ &= \|x - y\| + \|x + y\| \\ &= \max\{\|x + y + x - y\|, \|x + y + y - x\|\} \\ &= \max\{\|x + y + x - y\|, \|x + 2y - x\|\} \\ &= \max\{\|2x\|, \|2y\|\} \\ \min\{\|x - y\|, \|x + y\|\} &= \max\{2\|x\|, 2\|y\|\} - \|x\| - \|y\| \\ \min\{\|x - y\|, \|x + y\|\} &= \left| \|x\| - \|y\| \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, assume that a group-norm fulfills (7) and also is 2-homogeneous. Then we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} \max\{\|x - y\|, \|x + y\|\} - \min\{\|x - y\|, \|x + y\|\} &= \left| \|x + y\| - \|x - y\| \right| \end{aligned}$$

Applying (7) in the following computation, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \max\{\|x - y\|, \|x + y\|\} - \left| \|x\| - \|y\| \right| &= \left| \|x + y\| - \|x - y\| \right| \\ &= \min\{\|x + y + x - y\|, \|x + y + y - x\|\} \\ &= \min\{\|2x\|, \|x + 2y - x\|\} \\ &= \min\{\|2x\|, \|2y\|\} \\ \max\{\|x - y\|, \|x + y\|\} &= 2 \min\{\|x\|, \|y\|\} + \left| \|x\| - \|y\| \right| \\ \max\{\|x - y\|, \|x + y\|\} &= \|x\| + \|y\|, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $(G, \|\cdot\|, +)$  is a normed group.  $\square$

**Corollary 2** If  $(G, +)$  is a group and a mapping  $\|\cdot\|: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  fulfills the proposed condition (C), then  $(G, \|\cdot\|, +)$  is a normed group if and only if

$$\|x\| + \|y\| = \|x - y\| + \|x + y\| - \left| \|x\| - \|y\| \right|, \quad (8)$$

for any  $x, y \in G$ , and also  $\|0\| = 0$  holds.

*Proof:* Assume that (8) holds and also  $\|0\| = 0$ . Then (8) yields that

$$2 \max\{\|x\|, \|y\|\} = \|x - y\| + \|x + y\|, \quad x, y \in G. \quad (9)$$

Since  $\|0\| = 0$ , replacing  $y$  with  $x$ , we can easily compute that  $\|2x\| = 2\|x\|$ . Then replacing  $x$  with  $x + y$  and  $y$  with  $x - y$  in (9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \max\{\|x - y\|, \|x + y\|\} &= \{\|2x\| + \|2y\|\} \\ &= \{2\|x\| + 2\|y\|\} \\ \max\{\|x - y\|, \|x + y\|\} &= \|x\| + \|y\|. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, suppose  $(G, \|\cdot\|, +)$  is a normed group, then, by Theorem 3, we can determine that  $\|0\| = 0$ , and (8) also holds.  $\square$

**STABILITY OF (5)**

To analyze the stability results of (5) involving variables  $x$  and  $y$ , first replacing  $y$  with  $x$  in (5), we will find the stability result of (5) in a single variable  $x$  in the following theorem.

**Theorem 4** Suppose that  $(G, +)$  is a group and for some  $\delta \geq 0$  a mapping  $\|\cdot\|^*: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies

$$\left| \max\{\|2x\|^*, \|0\|^*\} - 2\|x\|^* \right| \leq \delta, \quad x \in G, \quad (10)$$

then, we can obtain a group-norm  $\|\cdot\|: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of

$$\max\{\|2x\|, \|0\|\} = 2\|x\|, \quad x \in G, \quad (11)$$

such that

$$-3\delta \leq \|x\| - \|x\|^* \leq \delta. \quad (12)$$

Also, the group-norm  $\|\cdot\|$  can be written as

$$\|x\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \|2^n x\|^*, \quad x \in G. \quad (13)$$

By (11),  $\|\cdot\|$  is uniquely determined, and by (12),  $\|\cdot\| - \|\cdot\|^*$  is also bounded.

*Proof:* First, setting  $x = 0$  in (10) implies that  $\left| \max\{\|0\|^*, \|0\|^*\} - 2\|0\|^* \right| \leq \delta$ , therefore  $\left| \|0\|^* \right| \leq \delta$ . Additionally, (10) also gives that

$$\begin{aligned} -\delta + 2\|x\|^* &\leq \max\{\|2x\|^*, \|0\|^*\} \leq \delta + 2\|x\|^* \\ -\delta &\leq \|0\|^* \leq \delta + 2\|x\|^* \\ -\delta &\leq \|x\|^*, \quad \text{for all } x \in G. \quad (14) \end{aligned}$$

Replacing  $x$  with  $2x$  gives that  $-\delta \leq \|2x\|^*$ , so we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|0\|^* &\leq \delta = 2\delta - \delta \leq 2\delta + \|2x\|^* \\ \|0\|^* &\leq 2\delta + \|2x\|^* \quad \text{for all } x \in G. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

By (10) and (15), we can compute

$$\begin{aligned} 2\|x\|^* &\leq \delta + \max\{\|2x\|^*, \|0\|^*\} \\ 2\|x\|^* &\leq \delta + \|0\|^* \leq 3\delta + \|2x\|^* \\ \text{or } 2\|x\|^* &\leq \delta + \|2x\|^* \leq 3\delta + \|2x\|^*. \end{aligned}$$

Joining both cases, we determine that

$$-3\delta \leq \|2x\|^* - 2\|x\|^*. \quad (16)$$

By (10), we can see that  $\max\{\|2x\|^*, \|0\|^*\} \leq \delta + 2\|x\|^*$ , which is possible whenever

$$\|2x\|^* - 2\|x\|^* \leq \delta. \quad (17)$$

Inequalities (16) and (17) imply that

$$-3\delta \leq \|2x\|^* - 2\|x\|^* \leq \delta \quad \text{for all } x \in G. \quad (18)$$

By (18), it can be observed that the mapping  $\|\cdot\|: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given in (13) exists and  $\|\cdot\|$  fulfills

$$\|2x\| = 2\|x\| \quad \text{for all } x \in G. \quad (19)$$

Moreover,  $\|\cdot\|$  satisfies (12). Also, replacing  $x$  with  $2^n x$  in (14) and dividing by  $2^n$ , then taking the limit  $n \rightarrow \infty$  while utilizing (13), we can get that  $\|x\| \geq 0$  for all  $x \in G$ . Therefore, we get (11) from (19). In view of (11) and using fact that  $\|2x\| = 2\|x\| \geq 0$  for every  $x \in G$ , we can see the uniqueness of  $\|\cdot\|$ .  $\square$

Stability results of (5) involving two variables can be easily shown with the help of Theorem 4 as follows.

**Theorem 5** Suppose that  $(G, +)$  is a group and a mapping  $\|\cdot\|^*: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies

$$|\max\{\|x-y\|^*, \|x+y\|^*\} - \|x\|^* - \|y\|^*| \leq \delta, \quad (20)$$

for all  $x, y \in G$  and for some  $\delta \geq 0$ . Then, there exists a unique group-norm  $\|\cdot\|: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of

$$\|x\| + \|y\| = \max\{\|x-y\|, \|x+y\|\}, \quad x, y \in G \quad (21)$$

such that

$$-3\delta \leq \|x\| - \|x\|^* \leq \delta, \quad x \in G. \quad (22)$$

Also,

$$\|x\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \|2^n x\|^*, \quad x \in G. \quad (23)$$

*Proof:* By Theorem 4, we can show the required stability results. First, replacing  $y$  with  $x$  in (20), and by Theorem 4 we can get a mapping  $\|\cdot\|: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Moreover, we show that this mapping  $\|\cdot\|$  fulfills (21). By replacing  $x$  with  $2^n x$  and  $y$  with  $2^n y$  in (20) and dividing by  $2^n$ , then applying the limit  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and also utilizing (23), we get the required result in the form of (21).  $\square$

**Theorem 6** Let  $(G, +)$  be a group. Assume that a mapping  $\|\cdot\|^*: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies (20), then it fulfills the proposed condition

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} [ &\max\{\|2^n x - 2^n y\|^*, \|2^n x + 2^n y\|^*\} \\ &- \max\{\|2^n[x-y]\|^*, \|2^n[x+y]\|^*\}] = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

if and only if  $(G, \|\cdot\|, +)$  is a normed group.

*Proof:* Suppose that  $(G, \|\cdot\|, +)$  is a normed group, then (21) holds. Taking any elements  $x, y \in G$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|\max\{\|2^n x - 2^n y\|^*, \|2^n x + 2^n y\|^*\} \\ &\quad - \max\{\|2^n[x-y]\|^*, \|2^n[x+y]\|^*\}| \\ &\leq |\max\{\|2^n x - 2^n y\|^*, \|2^n x + 2^n y\|^*\} - \|2^n x\|^* - \|2^n y\|^*| \\ &\quad + |\max\{\|2^n[x-y]\|^*, \|2^n[x+y]\|^*\} - \|2^n x\|^* - \|2^n y\|^*| \\ &\leq \delta + |\max\{\|2^n[x-y]\|^*, \|2^n[x+y]\|^*\} - \|2^n x\|^* - \|2^n y\|^*|. \end{aligned}$$

To obtain the required statement, first dividing both sides by  $2^n$ , taking the limit  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and using the proposed condition (21), we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} [ &\max\{\|2^n x - 2^n y\|^*, \|2^n x + 2^n y\|^*\} \\ &- \max\{\|2^n[x-y]\|^*, \|2^n[x+y]\|^*\}] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, suppose that condition (24) holds. Replacing  $x$  with  $2^n x$  and  $y$  with  $2^n y$  in (20), then taking the limit  $n \rightarrow \infty$  after dividing by  $2^n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \max\{\|2^n x - 2^n y\|^*, \|2^n x + 2^n y\|^*\} \\ = \|x\| + \|y\|, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \max\{\|2^n[x-y]\|^*, \|2^n[x+y]\|^*\} \\ = \max\{\|x-y\|, \|x+y\|\}. \end{aligned}$$

By condition (24), we can compute  $\|x\| + \|y\| = \max\{\|x-y\|, \|x+y\|\}$ .

Also, the proposed condition (24) associated with function  $\|\cdot\|^*$  is not directly related to  $(G, +)$ ,

but some valuable properties about  $G$  can be observed. We have given below a modified condition that is equivalent to the proposed condition (24). Consider a subsequence  $m(n)$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{m(n)}} [\max\{\|2^{m(n)}x - 2^{m(n)}y\|^*, \|2^{m(n)}x + 2^{m(n)}y\|^*\} - \max\{\|2^{m(n)}[x - y]\|^*, \|2^{m(n)}[x + y]\|^*\}] = 0,$$

which implies the mapping  $\|\cdot\|^*$ . Moreover, it holds because both of the limits

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{m(n)}} \max\{\|2^{m(n)}x - 2^{m(n)}y\|^*, \|2^{m(n)}x + 2^{m(n)}y\|^*\}$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{m(n)}} \max\{\|2^{m(n)}[x - y]\|^*, \|2^{m(n)}[x + y]\|^*\}$$

exist and are finite. □

**Corollary 3** Assume that a mapping  $\|\cdot\|^*: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies (11). Then it fulfills the proposed condition

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} [\max\{\|2^n x + 2^n y\|^*, \|2^n x - 2^n y\|^*\} - \|2^n [x + y]\|^*] = 0 \quad \text{for all } x, y \in G, \quad (25)$$

if and only if  $\|x\| + \|y\| = \|x + y\|$  holds for every  $x, y \in G$ .

**Remark 1** The proposed condition (24) satisfies when  $G$  is an  $n$ -abelian group (a group  $G$  is known as an  $n$ -abelian group if condition  $n(u+v) = nu + nv$  holds for every integer  $n$  and for every  $u, v \in G$ , for instance, see [1, 3]).

**Remark 2** Proposed condition (24) also holds when  $G$  is related to the class of groups  $C_n$  for every natural number  $n$  belongs to  $\mathbb{N}$  ( $C_n$  is a notation for the class of groups, which fulfills the condition  $nv + nu = nu + nv$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $u, v \in G$ ).

**Remark 3** If a group-norm  $\|\cdot\|$  is abelian, then the proposed condition (24) is also true.

**Theorem 7** Assume that (5) is stable on a normed group  $(G, \|\cdot\|, +)$ . Then a free abelian group  $H$  can be embedded into  $G$ .

*Proof:* Let  $\|\cdot\|: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and for some  $\delta \geq 0$ , we have

$$|\max\{\|x - y\|, \|x + y\|\} - \|x\| - \|y\|| \leq \delta, \quad x, y \in G. \quad (26)$$

$H$  is a torsion-free group because  $H$  is a free abelian group. By using the concept of HNN-extensions, for instance, see [6, 8]. Any torsion-free group  $H$  can be embedded into  $G$ , if for every  $h \in H$ , there exists

an element  $g \in G$  such that  $g + h - g = 2h$ . If  $H$  is embedding into  $G$ , then (26) implies that

$$|\max\{\|h + g\|, \|h - g\|\} - \|h\| - \|g\|| \leq \delta, \quad h, g \in G. \quad (27)$$

It will be shown that  $\|\cdot\|$  is bounded. The proof is obvious when  $\delta = 0$ , so assume that  $\delta > 0$ . More explicitly, we show that  $\|h\| < 2\delta$  for every  $h \in G$ . By (27), we have two possible values that either  $|\|h - g\| - \|h\| - \|g\|| \leq \delta$  or  $|\|h + g\| - \|h\| - \|g\|| \leq \delta$ . Considering the first possibility and setting  $h = g = 0$ , we can see that  $\|0\| \leq \delta$ . Setting  $g = h$ , we can conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} |\|0\| - 2\|h\|| &\leq \delta \\ |2\|h\| - \|0\|| &\leq \delta \\ 2\|h\| &\leq \delta + \|0\| \\ 2\|h\| &\leq \delta + \delta \\ \|h\| &\leq \delta. \end{aligned}$$

For the second possibility, we can obtain

$$|\|h + g\| - \|h\| - \|g\|| \leq \delta. \quad (28)$$

On the contrary, assume that  $\|h\| \geq 2\delta$  for some  $h \in G$ . Setting  $g = h$  in (28), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\|2h\| - 2\|h\|| &\leq \delta \\ |2\|h\| - \|2h\|| &\leq \delta \\ 2\|h\| - \delta &\leq \|2h\| \\ 3\delta &\leq \|2h\|. \end{aligned}$$

Again, setting  $g = 2h$  in (28) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\|3h\| - \|h\| - \|2h\|| &\leq \delta \\ |\|h\| + \|2h\| - \|3h\|| &\leq \delta \\ |\|h\| + \|2h\|| &\leq \delta + \|3h\| \\ 5\delta - \delta &\leq \|3h\| \\ 4\delta &\leq \|3h\|. \end{aligned}$$

Repeating this process for  $g = 3h$ , we can conclude  $\|4h\| \geq 4\delta$ . Continuing the process, we can determine

$$(m + 1)\delta \leq \|mh\|,$$

where  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ , so we can see that  $\|mh\|$  is unbounded when the value of  $m$  varies.

Also, let  $g \in G$  such that  $2h = g + h - g$ . Then  $2mh = g + mh - g$  for any integer  $m > 0$ . Moreover, for any  $m$ , setting  $g = mh$  and  $h = mh$  in (28), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\|2mh\| - 2\|mh\|| &\leq \delta \\ |\|g + mh - g\| - 2\|mh\|| &\leq \delta. \quad (29) \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, (28) follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \| \|g + mh - g\| - \|g\| - \|mh - g\| \| &\leq \delta \quad \text{and} \\ \| \|mh - g\| - \|mh\| - \| -g \| \| &\leq \delta; \end{aligned}$$

thus, we can get

$$\begin{aligned} \| \|g + mh - g\| - \|g\| - \|mh\| - \| -g \| \| \\ \leq \| \|g + mh - g\| - \|g\| - \|mh - g\| \| \\ + \| \|mh - g\| - \|mh\| - \| -g \| \| \\ \leq 2\delta. \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

From (29) and (30) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \| \|g + mh - g\| - 2\|mh\| + \|mh\| \| &\leq 2\delta + \|g\| + \| -g \| \\ \|mh\| &\leq 2\delta + \|g\| + \| -g \| + \| \|g + mh - g\| - 2\|mh\| \| \\ \|mh\| &\leq 5\delta, \end{aligned}$$

for  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ , which is a contradiction. This completes the proof because the group-norm  $\| \cdot \|$  is bounded.  $\square$

**Corollary 4** Assume that (5) is stable on a normed group  $(G, \| \cdot \|, +)$ , and  $H$  is a discretely normed abelian group. Then  $H$  is embedding into  $G$ .

*Proof:* Since  $H$  is a discretely normed abelian group, then  $H$  is a free group, for instance, see [11]; consequently  $H$  is embedding into  $G$ .  $\square$

When we analyzed condition (24), it is noticed that for the stability of (5), the given condition (24) is necessary and sufficient. This condition leads to the following definition.

**Definition 3** ([16]) A group  $(G, +)$  is called weakly commutative if for any  $a, b \in G$ , there exists  $n = n(a, b) \geq 2$  such that  $2^n(a + b) = 2^n a + 2^n b$ .

When we consider Theorem 6 and Definition 3 about Tabor weakly commutativity, then it gives the following theorem.

**Theorem 8** Let  $(G, \| \cdot \|, +)$  be a Tabor weakly commutative, then the group-norm  $\| \cdot \|$  satisfies (5).

*Proof:* From Theorem 6, it can be seen that condition (24) satisfies when  $G$  is weakly commutative. To prove the second condition presented in Theorem 6, we need to construct a sequence  $\{m(n)\}$ , which holds the second condition. For this purpose, assume that  $m_1 = n(x, y)$  for fixed  $x, y \in G$ . Considering the pair  $(2^{m_1}x, 2^{m_1}y)$ , by our assumption, there exists  $n(2^{m_1}x, 2^{m_1}y)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{n(2^{m_1}x, 2^{m_1}y)}(2^{m_1}x + 2^{m_1}y) \\ = 2^{n(2^{m_1}x, 2^{m_1}y)}(2^{m_1}x) + 2^{n(2^{m_1}x, 2^{m_1}y)}(2^{m_1}y). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $2^{m_1}(x + y) = 2^{m_1}x + 2^{m_1}y$ , so we get that

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{m_1+n(2^{m_1}x, 2^{m_1}y)}(x + y) \\ = 2^{m_1+n(2^{m_1}x, 2^{m_1}y)}x + 2^{m_1+n(2^{m_1}x, 2^{m_1}y)}y. \end{aligned}$$

Again, assume that  $m_1 + n(2^{m_1}x, 2^{m_1}y) = m_2$ ; therefore, we have  $2^{m_2}(x + y) = 2^{m_2}x + 2^{m_2}y$ . By mathematical induction, it leads to the required sequence  $\{m_n\}$ .  $\square$

*Acknowledgements:* This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China [Grant number 11971493 and 12071491].

REFERENCES

1. Alperin JL (1969) A classification of  $n$ -abelian groups. *Can J Math* **21**, 1238–1244.
2. Bingham N, Ostaszewski A (2010) Normed versus topological groups: Dichotomy and duality. *Diss Math* **472**, 1–138.
3. Baer R (1953) Factorization of  $n$ -soluble and  $n$ -nilpotent groups. *Proc Am Math Soc* **4**, 15–26.
4. Chaljub-Simon A, Volkman P (1994) Caractérisation du module d'une fonction additive à l'aide d'une équation fonctionnelle. *Aequ Math* **47**, 60–68.
5. Gilanyi A, Nagatou K, Volkman P (2010) Stability of a functional equation coming from the characterization of the absolute value of additive functions. *Ann Funct Anal* **1**, 1–6.
6. Higman G, Neumann BH, Neuman H (1949) Embedding theorems for groups. *J London Math Soc* **1**, 247–254.
7. Kannappan PI (1968) The functional equation  $f(xy) + f(xy^{-1}) = 2f(x)f(y)$  for groups. *Proc Amer Math Soc* **19**, 69–74.
8. Magnus W, Karrass A, Solitar D (2004) *Combinatorial Group Theory: Presentations of Groups in Terms of Generators and Relations*, 2nd Edn, Dover Publications, USA.
9. Przebieracz B (2011) The stability of functional equation  $\min\{f(x+y), f(x-y)\} = |f(x) - f(y)|$ . *J Inequal Appl* **2011**, ID 22.
10. Redheffer RM, Volkman P (1997) *Die Funktionalgleichung  $f(x) + \max\{f(y), f(-y)\} = \max\{f(x+y), f(x-y)\}$* , International Series of Numerical Mathematics **123**, Birkhauser: Basel, Switzerland.
11. Steprāns J (1985) A characterization of free abelian groups. *Proc Am Math Soc* **93**, 347–349.
12. Sarfraz M, Liu Q, Li Y (2020) Stability of maximum functional equation and some properties of groups. *Symmetry* **12**, ID 1949.

13. Sarfraz M, Ali F, Li Y (2020) Lipschitz isomorphism and fixed point theorem for normed groups. *Cogent Math Stat* **7**, ID 1859673.
14. Toborg I (2017) On the functional equation  $f(x) + f(y) = \max\{f(xy), f(xy^{-1})\}$  on groups. *Arch Math* **109**, 215–221.
15. Volkmann P (2017) *Charakterisierung des Betrages Reellwertiger Additiver Funktionen auf Gruppen*, KITopen.
16. Tabor J (1985) Remark 18, 22nd international symposium on functional equations, Oberwolfach 1984. *Aequ Math* **29**, ID 96.