

Relative non nil- n graphs of finite groups

Ahmad Erfanian*, Behnaz Tolue

Department of Mathematics and Centre of Excellence in Analysis on Algebraic Structures,
Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Mashhad, Iran

*Corresponding author, e-mail: erfanian@math.um.ac.ir

Received 19 Jan 2012
Accepted 23 Apr 2012

ABSTRACT: Suppose G is not a nilpotent group of class at most n (a non nil- n group). Consider a subgroup H of G . In this paper, we introduce the relative non nil- n graph $\Gamma^{(n)}_{H,G}$ of a finite group G . It is a graph with vertex set $G \setminus C^{(n)}_G(H)$ and two distinct vertices x and y are adjacent if at least one of them belongs to H and $[x, y] \notin Z_{n-1}(G)$, where the subgroup $C^{(n)}_G(H)$ contains $g \in G$ such that $[g, h] \in Z_{n-1}(G)$ for all $h \in H$. We present some general information about the graph. Moreover, we define the probability which shows how close a group is to being a nil- n group. It is proved that two n -isoclinic groups which are not nil- n groups have isomorphic graphs under special conditions.

KEYWORDS: nilpotent groups, n th nilpotency degree

INTRODUCTION

Graphs provide some tools for studying algebraic structures. There are some ways to make a graph associated with a group or semigroup. We may refer to the works of Bertram et al¹, Grunewald et al², Moghadamfar et al³ and Williams⁴ or recent papers on non-commuting graphs, Engel graphs and non-cyclic graphs given in Ref. 5, Ref. 6 and Ref. 7, respectively.

In the next section, we introduce the relative non nil- n graph. We discuss the diameter, dominating set, and domination number of this graph. Defining such a graph is the key to establishing the new probability, namely, the n th nilpotency degree, which shows how much a group is near to becoming a nil- n group. Moreover, we prove that there is no relative non nil- n star graph, non nil- n complete graph or relative non nil- n complete bipartite graph. We discuss the non-regularity of the relative non nil- n graph in some special cases too. Furthermore, it is proved that two n -isoclinic groups which are not nil- n groups have isomorphic graphs under special conditions.

THE RELATIVE NON NIL- n GRAPH

Initially we define the relative non nil- n graph for any group G which is not a nil- n group. Some graph theoretical properties such as diameter, dominating set, and domination number will be presented. We also discuss the planarity of this graph.

Definition 1 We associate a graph $\Gamma^{(n)}_G$ with the group G which is not a nil- n group. The vertex set of this graph is $G \setminus Z_n(G)$ and two distinct vertices x

and y are adjacent if $[x, y] \notin Z_{n-1}(G)$, where $Z_n(G)$ is the n th term of the upper central series of G .

It is clear that when $n = 1$ then $\Gamma^{(n)}_G$ is the non-commuting graph of Γ_G . Therefore in the rest of the paper suppose $n > 1$. Obviously, if $Z_n(G) = \{1\}$ then $\Gamma^{(n)}_G = \Gamma_G$. By similar methods to those used to prove Propositions 2 and 3 in Ref. 3 one can conclude that there is no non nil- n group G with a normal subgroup $N \neq 1$ such that $\Gamma^{(n)}_G \cong \Gamma^{(n)}_{G/N}$. Moreover, there is no non nil- n group G with $H < G$ such that $\Gamma^{(n)}_G \cong \Gamma^{(n)}_H$.

Now, we generalize the non nil- n graph to the relative non nil- n graph for any subgroup H of G .

Definition 2 The relative non nil- n graph, $\Gamma^{(n)}_{H,G}$, is associated with the non nil- n group G and $H \leq G$. The vertex set is $G \setminus C^{(n)}_G(H)$, where

$$C^{(n)}_G(H) = \{x \in G : [x, y] \in Z_{n-1}(G), \forall y \in H\}.$$

Moreover, two vertices x and y are adjacent if at least one of them belongs to H and $[x, y] \notin Z_{n-1}(G)$. If $H = G$ then we write $\Gamma^{(n)}_{G,G} = \Gamma^{(n)}_G$.

Obviously, the above graphs are simple. If G is a nil- n group then both graphs are null and for abelian subgroup H of G the relative non nil- n graph is an empty graph. In this paper we always assume G is a group which is not nil- n , unless stated. We define

$$C^{(n)}_H(y) = \{x \in H : [x, y] \in Z_{n-1}(G)\}$$

for $H \leq G$, which is a generalization of the ordinary centralizer of an element y in a group. For

every subgroup H of G one can verify the equality $C^{(n)}_G(H) = \cap_{x \in H} C^{(n)}_G(x)$. The degree of each vertex can be easily computed. If the vertex $h \in H$ then $\deg(h) = |G| - |C^{(n)}_G(h)|$ and for vertex $g \in G \setminus H$ we have $\deg(g) = |H| - |C^{(n)}_H(g)|$. Isolated vertices do not exist, and if two vertices in H are in the same conjugacy classes of G then they have the same vertex degrees. Moreover, for every vertex x of $\Gamma^{(n)}_G$ we have $[G : C^{(n)}_G(x)] \geq 2$ which implies that the graph is Hamiltonian.

Theorem 1 *If H is a subgroup of G such that $Z_n(H) = 1$, then $\text{diam}(\Gamma^{(n)}_{H,G}) = 2$ and the girth of the graph is 3.*

Proof: Let g_1 and g_2 be two vertices which are not adjacent. There are vertices $h_1, h_2 \in H$ by hypothesis which join g_1 and g_2 , respectively. If we have $[g_2, h_1] \notin Z_{n-1}(G)$ or $[g_1, h_2] \notin Z_{n-1}(G)$ then $d(g_1, g_2) = 2$. Suppose this does not occur. Hence, $h_1 h_2$ is a vertex and a neighbour of g_1 and g_2 . By similar an argument, if g and h are adjacent then there is a triangle of the form $\{g, h, h_1\}$ or $\{g, h, h_2\}$ or $\{g, h, h_1 h_2\}$, where $h, h_1, h_2 \in H$ and $[g, h_1] \notin Z_{n-1}(G), [h, h_2] \notin Z_{n-1}(G)$. \square

Note that $Z_n(H) = 1$ is a necessary condition which makes us sure that $h_1, h_2 \in H$ are vertices. As a consequence of the above theorem we can say that the graph $\Gamma^{(n)}_{H,G}$ is connected whenever $Z_n(H) = 1$. Moreover, by the same argument as in Theorem 1 one can deduce for any non nil- n group G , $\text{diam}(\Gamma^{(n)}_G) = 2$ and $\text{girth}(\Gamma^{(n)}_G) = 3$ which is a generalization of Proposition 2.1 in Ref. 5.

Now let us deal with the dominating set of the graph. The following results are generalizations of Remark 2.5, Proposition 2.12 part (1), Remark 2.13 and Proposition 2.14 in Ref. 5 so we omit the proof.

If $\{h\}$ is a dominating set for $\Gamma^{(n)}_{H,G}$, then $C^{(n)}_G(H) = 1, h^2 = 1$ and $C^{(n)}_G(h) = \langle h \rangle$, where $h \in H$. Moreover, if $S \subseteq V(\Gamma^{(n)}_{H,G}) \cap H$ then S is a dominating set of the graph $\Gamma^{(n)}_{H,G}$ if and only if

$$C^{(n)}_G(S) \subseteq C^{(n)}_G(H) \cup S.$$

It is not hard to verify that if $H = \langle Y \rangle$ then Y is a dominating set for $\Gamma^{(n)}_{H,G}$. Now, if H is a finite simple non-abelian subgroup of G then the domination number of $\Gamma^{(n)}_{H,G}$ is less than 3. For every maximal independent set S for $\Gamma^{(n)}_G, S \cup Z_n(G)$ is a maximal nil- n subgroup of G .

The following two results will give some ways of obtaining a dominating set for $\Gamma_{H,G}$.

Theorem 2 *Let G be a non nil- n group, H a subgroup of G , and $X = \{h_1, \dots, h_k\}$ a generating set*

for H . If $X \cap C^{(n)}_G(H) = \{h_{m+1}, \dots, h_k\}$ then

$$S = \{h_1, \dots, h_m\} \cup \{h_1 h_{m+1}, h_1 h_{m+2} \dots, h_1 h_k\}$$

is a dominating set for $\Gamma^{(n)}_{H,G}$.

Proof: Let t be a vertex which does not belong to S . Consider two cases. If $t \in H$ then there exists an element $h = h_1^{\beta_1} h_2^{\beta_2} \dots h_m^{\beta_m}, \beta_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $[t, h] \notin Z_{n-1}(G)$. Hence, $[t, h_j] \notin Z_{n-1}(G)$ for some $h_j \in S, 1 \leq j \leq m$ and it implies that t joins h_j as required. If $t \in G \setminus H$ then there is an element $h = h_1^{\gamma_1} h_2^{\gamma_2} \dots h_k^{\gamma_k} \in H, \gamma_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $[t, h] \notin Z_{n-1}(G)$. Now if $[t, h_j] \notin Z_{n-1}(G)$ for some $1 \leq j \leq m$ then t meets h_j where $h_j \in S$. Otherwise if $[t, h_j] \in Z_{n-1}(G)$ for all $1 \leq j \leq m$ and as $t \notin C^{(n)}_G(H)$ there will exist h_s for some $m+1 \leq s \leq k$ such that $[t, h_s] \notin Z_{n-1}(G)$. Hence t is adjacent to $h_1 h_s$ and the proof is completed. \square

Proposition 1 *Assume H is a subgroup of G which is not nil- n . Then $S = HC^{(n)}_G(H) - C^{(n)}_G(H)$ is a dominating set for $\Gamma^{(n)}_{H,G}$.*

Proof: Let $x \notin S$ be a vertex, so $[x, h] \notin Z_{n-1}(G)$ for some $h \in H$. If $h \notin C^{(n)}_G(H)$ then h should be in S and so x joins h . If $h \in C^{(n)}_G(H)$, then there is $t \in H \setminus C^{(n)}_G(H)$, since H is not a nil- n group. If $[x, t] \notin Z_{n-1}(G)$ then t and x are adjacent where $t \in S$. Otherwise $[x, t] \in Z_{n-1}(G)$ and implies that $th \notin C^{(n)}_G(H), th \in S$, and x meets th . \square

If S is a dominating set for $\Gamma^{(n)}_H$ then it is a dominating set for $\Gamma^{(n)}_{H,G}$ whenever $C^{(n)}_G(H) = C^{(n)}_G(S)$. It is not hard to conclude that $\Gamma^{(n)}_H$ is a subgraph of $\Gamma^{(n)}_{H,G}$ while $\Gamma^{(n)}_{H,G}$ itself is subgraph of $\Gamma^{(n)}_G$ which is a subgraph of the non-commuting graph Γ_G . Abdollahi et al⁵ proved that Γ_G is planar if and only if $G \cong S_3$ or D_8 or Q_8 . Clearly, if $G \cong D_8$ or Q_8 then $\Gamma^{(n)}_G$ is a null graph for $n > 1$. Moreover, the above argument implies that $\Gamma^{(n)}_{S_3}$ is planar for $n > 1$.

Theorem 3 *Suppose $n > 1$ and G is not a nil- n group. Then $\Gamma^{(n)}_G$ is planar if and only if $G \cong S_3$.*

Proof: Suppose $\Gamma^{(n)}_G$ is planar. The clique number of the graph $\omega(\Gamma^{(n)}_G)$ is less than 5. Therefore the number of vertices that satisfy $[x, y] \notin Z_{n-1}(G)$ is at most 4. Now, the main result of Ref. 8 implies $G/Z(G)$ is finite. We can also define the epimorphism $G/Z(G) \twoheadrightarrow G/Z_n(G)$ which implies $G/Z_n(G)$ is finite. The assertion follows by similar methods to the proof of Proposition 2.3 in Ref. 5. \square

We are interested in the properties which can be inherited via isomorphic non nil- n graphs. For instance, if G is a finite group which is not nil- n and

$\Gamma^{(n)}_G \cong \Gamma^{(n)}_H$ for some group H then one can deduce H is a finite group which is not nil- n . Moreover, if $\Gamma^{(n)}_G \cong \Gamma^{(n)}_H$ then $|Z_n(G)|$ divides $\gcd(|H| - |Z_n(H)|, |H| - |C^{(n)}_H(x)|, |C^{(n)}_H(x)| - |Z_n(H)|)$ for a vertex $x \in V(\Gamma^{(n)}_H)$.

Theorem 4 Let P be a finite simple group which is not a nil- n group. If $\Gamma^{(n)}_G \cong \Gamma^{(n)}_P$ for some group G , then $|P| = |G|$.

Proof: The proof follows from the classification of finite simple groups and the fact that $C^{(n)}_P(x) = C_P(x)$ for all types of simple groups (see Theorem 1 in Ref. 9 for more details). \square

RELATIVE n th NILPOTENCY DEGREE AND $\Gamma^{(n)}_{H,G}$

For any positive integer n , we introduce the probability that the commutator of two arbitrary elements $h \in H$ and $g \in G$ belongs to $Z_{n-1}(G)$. Let us define the probability and give some lower and upper bounds for it.

Definition 3 The relative n th nilpotency degree of the subgroup H in the group G , which is denoted by $P^{(n)}_{\text{nil}}(H, G)$, is the ratio

$$P^{(n)}_{\text{nil}}(H, G) = \frac{|\{(h, g) \in H \times G : [h, g] \in Z_{n-1}(G)\}|}{|H||G|},$$

or equivalently

$$P^{(n)}_{\text{nil}}(H, G) = \frac{1}{|H||G|} \sum_{g \in G} |C^{(n)}_H(g)| = \frac{1}{|H||G|} \sum_{h \in H} |C^{(n)}_G(h)|.$$

It is clear that if $n = 1$ then $P^{(1)}_{\text{nil}}(H, G) = d(H, G)$ which is the relative commutativity degree of a subgroup H in the group G (see Ref. 10) and when $H = G$ then $P^{(1)}_{\text{nil}}(G) = d(G)$ is the commutativity degree of the group G (see Refs. 11, 12). Moreover, $P^{(n)}_{\text{nil}}(G) = 1$ if and only if G is a nil- n group and also $P^{(n)}_{\text{nil}}(G) \leq P^{(n+1)}_{\text{nil}}(G)$.

If $H \leq Z_n(G)$ then $P^{(n)}_{\text{nil}}(H, G) = 1$ and the definition implies $P^{(n)}_{\text{nil}}(H, G) \leq P^{(n)}_{\text{nil}}(H)$. The following theorem is an improvement of Theorem 3.5 in Ref. 10.

Theorem 5 Let H be a subgroup of G and p be a smallest prime which divides the order of G . Then

$$(i) \quad \frac{|Z_n(G)|}{|G|} + \frac{p(|G| - |Z_n(G)|)}{|G|^2} \leq P^{(n)}_{\text{nil}}(G) \leq \frac{|Z_n(G)| + |G|}{2|G|}$$

$$(ii) \quad \frac{|H \cap Z_n(G)|}{|H|} + \frac{p(|H| - |Z_n(G) \cap H|)}{|G||H|} \leq P^{(n)}_{\text{nil}}(H, G) \leq \frac{|Z_n(G) \cap H| + |H|}{2|H|}.$$

Proof:

(i) Definition 3 implies

$$\begin{aligned} |G|^2 P^{(n)}_{\text{nil}}(G) &= \sum_{x \in G} |C^{(n)}_G(x)| \\ &= \sum_{x \in Z_n(G)} |C^{(n)}_G(x)| + \sum_{x \notin Z_n(G)} |C^{(n)}_G(x)| \\ &= |G||Z_n(G)| + \sum_{x \in G \setminus Z_n(G)} |C^{(n)}_G(x)|. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly we have $p \leq |C^{(n)}_G(x)| \leq |G|/2$ for a non-central element x . Therefore, we can easily conclude that

$$p(|G| - |Z_n(G)|) \leq \sum_{x \in G \setminus Z_n(G)} |C^{(n)}_G(x)| \leq \frac{(|G| - |Z_n(G)|)|G|}{2}$$

and the assertion follows just by substitution.

(ii) Again, we use Definition 3:

$$\begin{aligned} |G||H| P^{(n)}_{\text{nil}}(H, G) &= \sum_{x \in H} |C^{(n)}_G(x)| \\ &= \sum_{x \in H \cap Z_n(G)} |C^{(n)}_G(x)| + \sum_{x \in H \setminus H \cap Z_n(G)} |C^{(n)}_G(x)| \\ &= |G||H \cap Z_n(G)| + \sum_{x \in H \setminus H \cap Z_n(G)} |C^{(n)}_G(x)|. \end{aligned}$$

The result follows in a similar way to the proof of the first part. \square

If $H \not\leq Z_n(G)$ then $P^{(n)}_{\text{nil}}(H, G) \leq \frac{3}{4}$ for non nil- n group G , by the above theorem.

Theorem 6 Let H be a subgroup of G and N a normal subgroup of G which is contained in H . We have

$$P^{(n)}_{\text{nil}}(H, G) \leq P^{(n)}_{\text{nil}}\left(\frac{H}{N}, \frac{G}{N}\right) P^{(n)}_{\text{nil}}(N),$$

and the equality holds if $N \cap [H, {}_nG] = 1$ where $[H, {}_nG]$ is the commutator subgroup of H and n copies of G .

Proof: First we show that for every $x \in G$, $C^{(n)}_H(x)N/N \leq C^{(n)}_{H/N}(xN)$ and the equality holds if $N \cap [H, {}_nG] = 1$ since if $h \in C^{(n)}_H(x)$ then $[hN, xN] \in Z_{n-1}(G)N/N \leq Z_{n-1}(G/N)$. Moreover, if $hN \in C^{(n)}_{H/N}(xN)$ then $[hN, xN] \in Z_{n-1}(G/N)$. This means $[hN, xN, g_1N, \dots, g_{n-1}N] = N$ for all $g_iN \in G/N$. Thus $[h, x, g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}] \in N \cap [H, {}_nG] = 1$. The rest of the proof is very similar to the proof of Theorem 3.9 in Ref. 10. \square

We state the following formula which is the number of edges of the non nil- n graph.

$$|E(\Gamma^{(n)}_G)| = \frac{|G|^2}{2}(1 - P^{(n)}_{\text{nil}}(G)). \quad (1)$$

Moreover, any lower or upper bounds for $P^{(n)}_{\text{nil}}(G)$ will give lower or upper bounds for $|E(\Gamma^{(n)}_G)|$ and vice versa. It is clear that for every graph, the number of edges is at most $t(t-1)/2$, where t is the number of vertices. Thus by using (1) we can obtain

$$P^{(n)}_{\text{nil}}(G) \geq \frac{2|Z_n(G)|}{|G|} + \frac{1}{|G|} - \frac{|Z_n(G)|^2}{|G|^2} - \frac{|Z_n(G)|}{|G|^2}.$$

Furthermore, if G_1 and G_2 are groups with $|Z_n(G_1)| = |Z_n(G_2)|$ such that $\Gamma^{(n)}_{G_1} \cong \Gamma^{(n)}_{G_2}$, then $P^{(n)}_{\text{nil}}(G_1) = P^{(n)}_{\text{nil}}(G_2)$.

Now, we recall that a star graph is a tree on n vertices in which one vertex has degree $n-1$ and the others have degree 1.

Theorem 7 *There is no group G and subgroup H with a relative non nil- n star graph.*

Proof: Suppose on the contrary that $\Gamma^{(n)}_{H,G}$ is relative non nil- n star graph. Assume $h \in H$ is the unique vertex of degree $(|V(\Gamma^{(n)}_{H,G})| - 1)$. Then we conclude that $C^{(n)}_G(H) = 1$. On the other hand, for a vertex $g \in G \setminus H$ we have $|C^{(n)}_H(g)| = |H| - 1$ so $[H : C^{(n)}_H(g)] = |H|/(|H| - 1)$ which is impossible. Secondly, assume $g \in G \setminus H$ is the unique vertex of degree $(|V(\Gamma^{(n)}_{H,G})| - 1)$ and all the other vertices. For instance $h \in H$ has degree 1. Then we have $|G| = |C^{(n)}_G(h)| + 1$. Therefore, $|C^{(n)}_G(H)| = 1$ and similarly, $[G : C^{(n)}_G(h)] = |G|/(|G| - 1)$ which is impossible. Hence such a graph does not exist. \square Non nil- n star graphs do not exist and so there is no group with n th nilpotency degree $P^{(n)}_{\text{nil}}(G) = 1 - 2/|G| + 4/|G|^2$ whenever $Z_n(G) = 1$.

Theorem 8 *There is no relative non nil- n complete graph $\Gamma^{(n)}_{H,G}$.*

Proof: Suppose $\Gamma^{(n)}_{H,G}$ is a relative non nil- n complete graph. So for a vertex $x \in H$, we have $|G| - |C^{(n)}_G(x)| = |G| - |C^{(n)}_G(H)| - 1$. Therefore $|C^{(n)}_G(H)| = 1$, $|C^{(n)}_G(x)| = 2$, and the order of all non-trivial elements of H is 2. This implies H is an elementary abelian 2-group which is a contradiction. \square

It can be proved there is no non nil- n complete graph in a similar way to the proof of the above theorem. A complete bipartite graph is a bipartite graph such that every pair of graph vertices in the two sets are adjacent.

Theorem 9 *There is no non nil- n complete bipartite graph.*

Proof: Suppose $\Gamma^{(n)}_G$ is a non nil- n complete bipartite graph. All vertices are partitioned into two disjoint sets V_1 and V_2 such that $|V_1| + |V_2| = |G| - |Z_n(G)|$. We have

$$\deg(x) = |G| - |C^{(n)}_G(x)| \leq \frac{(|G| - |Z_n(G)|)}{2}$$

and $|Z_n(G)|q = |C^{(n)}_G(x)|$ for some $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $x \in V(\Gamma^{(n)}_G)$. Hence $|G| \leq |Z_n(G)|(2q - 1)$ and so $[G : C^{(n)}_G(x)] \leq (2 - (1/q)) < 2$ which is a contradiction. \square

We claim that there is no relative non nil- n complete bipartite graph. Otherwise, the only possibility is to have two disjoint sets V_1 and V_2 such that one contains vertices of H . Since all vertices of H are not adjacent, if $h \in V_1$ then $[h, x] \in Z_{n-1}(G)$ for all $x \in H \setminus C^{(n)}_G(H)$ which implies that $h \in C^{(n)}_G(H)$ which is a contradiction.

Proposition 2 *Let H be a subgroup of the non nil- n group G . Then the following hold:*

- (i) $\Gamma^{(n)}_{H,G}$ has no vertex of degree 2.
- (ii) There is no vertex in H of degree 4 and if $g \in G \setminus H$ is a vertex of degree 4 then $H \cong S_3$ or D_8 or Q_8 .
- (iii) $\Gamma^{(n)}_{H,G}$ has no vertex of degree p in H , also if $g \in G \setminus H$ is a vertex of degree p then $H \cong D_{2p}$ or $|H| = p + 1$, where p is an odd prime.

Proof:

- (i) Obvious.
- (ii) Assume $h \in H$ is a vertex of degree 4.

$$\deg(h) = |C^{(n)}_G(h)|(|G : C^{(n)}_G(h)| - 1) = 4.$$

It implies $|C^{(n)}_G(h)| = 2$ or 4 and $G \cong S_3$ or D_8 or Q_8 . But they have no non-abelian

subgroup and this means that $\Gamma^{(n)}_{H,G}$ has isolated vertices in $G \setminus H$ and no vertices in H which is a contradiction. If $g \in G \setminus H$ is a vertex of degree 4, then the same argument about its degree implies the assertion.

(iii) Suppose $h \in H$ is a vertex and

$$\deg(h) = |C^{(n)}_G(h)|(|G : C^{(n)}_G(h)| - 1) = p.$$

Therefore $|G| = 2p$ and since G is non-abelian and p is an odd number $G \cong D_{2p}$ and again D_{2p} has no non-abelian subgroup which is a contradiction. Similarly, one can conclude that $|C^{(n)}_H(g)| = p$ or $|C^{(n)}_H(g)| = 1$ for $g \in G \setminus H$ of degree p . Hence $H \cong D_{2p}$ or $|H| = p + 1$. \square

Obviously, by Proposition 2, $\Gamma^{(n)}_{H,G}$ is not p -regular or 4-regular. We finish this section with some interesting results about the non-regularity of the relative nil- n graph. Note that H is a non-trivial proper subgroup of G .

Theorem 10 *There is no relative non nil- n graph which is m -regular, where m is a square-free positive odd integer.*

Proof: Suppose $\Gamma^{(n)}_{H,G}$ is a relative non nil- n graph which is m -regular and $P = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k\}$ is the set of distinct odd primes which factorize m . If $h \in H \cap V(\Gamma^{(n)}_{H,G})$ then

$$m = \deg(h) = |C^{(n)}_G(h)|(|G : C^{(n)}_G(h)| - 1),$$

$|C^{(n)}_G(h)| = \prod_{p_i \in S} p_i$, and $(|G : C^{(n)}_G(h)| - 1) = \prod_{p_j \in S^c} p_j$, where S and S^c are subsets of $P^* = P \cup \{1\}$ such that $|C^{(n)}_G(h)| \neq 1$. Thus $|G| = \prod_{p_i \in S} p_i (\prod_{p_j \in S^c} p_j + 1)$. By a similar to above, $|H| = \prod_{p_i \in T} p_i (\prod_{p_j \in T^c} p_j + 1)$, where T and T^c are subsets of P^* . Since H is a subgroup of G we have $\prod_{p_i \in T \setminus T \cap S} p_i (\prod_{p_j \in T^c} p_j + 1)$ divides $(\prod_{p_j \in S^c} p_j + 1)$ which is impossible. \square

Theorem 11 *The relative non nil- n graph $\Gamma^{(n)}_{H,G}$ is not $2k$ -regular, where k is a square free positive odd integer.*

Proof: By similar method to the proof of the previous theorem, we obtain several cases for the orders of H and G for which none of them is valid. \square

If $\Gamma^{(n)}_{H,G}$ is a graph associated with a group G of odd order which is not a nil- n group then the degrees of its vertices are even numbers. By Theorem 11 it is not a $2k$ -regular graph, for square free positive odd integer k . It is not a 2^r -regular graph because otherwise we

have $2^r = |C^{(n)}_G(h)|(|G : C^{(n)}_G(h)| - 1)$ for $h \in H \cap V(\Gamma^{(n)}_{H,G})$. Since $|C^{(n)}_G(h)| \neq 1$ it follows that $|C^{(n)}_G(h)| = 2^\alpha$, $1 \leq \alpha \leq r$ and it is a contradiction. We guess $\Gamma^{(n)}_{H,G}$ is not regular at all when G is of odd order.

$\Gamma^{(n)}_{H,G}$ AND RELATIVE n -ISOCLINIC GROUPS

In this section, we are going to consider the known conjecture posed by Thompson¹³ which states that if two graphs associated with the groups G and H are isomorphic then the groups G and H are isomorphic as well. Although we may easily check that the conjecture is not always true but we may find some conditions in which the above conjecture is valid.

Theorem 12 *Let H be a non nil- n subgroup of group G such that $|C^{(n)}_G(H)| \leq m$, where $m \geq 3$. If $\Gamma^{(n)}_{H,G} \cong \Gamma^{(n)}_{S_m}$ then $|G| = |S_m|$.*

Proof: Isomorphism between graphs implies that $|G| - |C^{(n)}_G(H)| = m! - 1$ or equivalently

$$\frac{|G|}{|C^{(n)}_G(H)|} = \frac{m! - 1}{|C^{(n)}_G(H)|} + 1.$$

Since $m! - 1$ and k are coprime for $1 \leq k \leq m$ so $|C^{(n)}_G(H)| > m$ or equal to 1. Hence, the only possibility is $|C^{(n)}_G(H)| = 1$ and so the assertion follows. \square

Let us recall the definition of n -isoclinism (see Ref. 14 for more details).

Definition 4 Let H and G be groups. Then the pair (α, β) is called n -isoclinism from H to G whenever

- (i) α is an isomorphism from $H/Z_n(H)$ to $G/Z_n(G)$, where $Z_n(H)$ and $Z_n(G)$ are the n -th term of the upper central series of H and G , respectively.
- (ii) β is an isomorphism from $\gamma_{n+1}(H)$ to $\gamma_{n+1}(G)$, with the law

$$[h_1, \dots, h_n, h_{n+1}] \mapsto [g_1, \dots, g_n, g_{n+1}]$$

in which $g_j \in \alpha(h_j Z_n(H))$ for every $1 \leq j \leq n + 1$. If there is such a pair (α, β) with the above properties then we say that H and G are n -isoclinic and denoted by $H \overset{n}{\sim} G$.

Theorem 13 *Let $G_1 \overset{n}{\sim} G_2$ be n -isoclinic groups. If $|Z_n(G_1)| = |Z_n(G_2)|$ then $\Gamma^{(n)}_{G_1} \cong \Gamma^{(n)}_{G_2}$.*

Proof: By hypothesis we have the bijection θ between $Z_n(G_1)$ and $Z_n(G_2)$. Moreover,

$$\alpha : \frac{G_1}{Z_n(G_1)} \rightarrow \frac{G_2}{Z_n(G_2)}$$

is an isomorphism which maps $g_i Z_n(G_1)$ to $g'_i Z_n(G_1)$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$, where $\{g_1, \dots, g_k\}$ and $\{g'_1, \dots, g'_k\}$ are the sets of transversals of $G_1/Z_n(G_1)$ and $G_2/Z_n(G_2)$, respectively. Now, we introduce $\psi : G_1 \setminus Z_n(G_1) \rightarrow G_2 \setminus Z_n(G_2)$ such that $g_i z \mapsto g'_i \theta(z)$ where, $z \in Z_n(G_1)$. Therefore ψ is our favourite bijection between the set of vertices of $\Gamma^{(n)}_{G_1}$ and $\Gamma^{(n)}_{G_2}$ since if x meets y then $[x, y] \notin Z_{n-1}(G_1)$. The isomorphism $\beta : \gamma_{n+1}(G_1) \rightarrow \gamma_{n+1}(G_2)$ implies that $\psi(x)$ and $\psi(y)$ are adjacent and the result follows. \square

One can improve the above result for relative n -isoclinism (see Ref. 15) and associated relative graphs by a similar method to the proof of Theorem 13.

Acknowledgements: The authors would like to thank the referee for some helpful comments and Ferdowsi University of Mashhad for partial financial support (Grant No. MP90256ERF).

REFERENCES

- Bertram EA, Herzog M, Mann A (1990) On a graph related to conjugacy classes of groups. *Bull Lond Math Soc* **22**, 569–75.
- Grunewald F, Kunyavskii B, Nikolova D, Plotkin E (2000) Two-variable identities in groups and Lie algebras. *J Math Sci* **116**, 2972–81.
- Moghaddamfar AR, Shi WJ, Zhou W, Zokayi AR (2005) On noncommuting graph associated with a finite group. *Siberian Math J* **46**, 325–32.
- Williams JS (1981) Prime graph components of finite groups. *J Algebra* **69**, 487–513.
- Abdollahi A, Akbari S, Maimani HR (2006) Non-commuting graph of a group. *J Algebra* **298**, 468–92.
- Abdollahi A (2007) Engel graph associated with a group. *J Algebra* **318**, 680–91.
- Abdollahi A, Mohammadi Hassanabadi A (2007) Non-cyclic graph of a group. *Comm Algebra* **35**, 2057–81.
- Pyber L (1987) The number of pairwise noncommuting elements and the index of the centre in a finite group. *J Lond Math Soc* **s2-35**, 287–95.
- Darafsheh MR (2009) Groups with the same non-commuting graph. *Discrete Appl Math* **157**, 833–7.
- Erfanian A, Rezaei R, Lescot P (2007) On the relative commutativity degree of a subgroup of a finite group. *Comm Algebra* **35**, 4183–97.
- Gallagher PX (1970) The number of conjugacy classes in finite groups. *Math Z* **118**, 175–9.
- Gustafson WH (1973) What is the probability that two group elements commute? *Amer Math Mon* **80**, 1031–4.
- Chen GY (1996) On Thompson's conjecture. *J Algebra* **185**, 184–93.
- Hekster NS (1986) On the structure of n -isoclinism classes of groups. *J Pure Appl Algebra* **40**, 63–5.
- Rezaei R (2008) On the relative commutativity degree and relative isoclinism of finite groups. PhD thesis, Ferdowsi Univ of Mashhad.