

Direct organogenesis from leaf and internode explants of in vitro raised wintergreen plant (*Gaultheria fragrantissima*)

R.A. Ranyaphia^{a,*}, A.A. Maoa^a, S.K. Borthakur^b

^a Plant Tissue Culture Laboratory, Botanical Survey of India, Eastern Regional Centre, Meghalaya-793003, India

^b Department of Botany, Gauhati University, Assam, India

*Corresponding author, e-mail: ranyavahum@gmail.com

Received 10 Dec 2010

Accepted 26 Aug 2011

ABSTRACT: Protocol for rapid regeneration of the important medicinal plant *Gaultheria fragrantissima* Wall. (Ericaceae) through direct organogenesis from leaf, internode (stem without axillary bud), and root explants of in vitro raised seedlings has been developed. Different cytokinins (thidiazuron, 6-benzyladenine, kinetin, and 2-isopentenyl adenine) at a range of various concentrations in modified rhododendron medium have been investigated for organogenesis. Among the four cytokinin investigated, only thidiazuron (TDZ) was effective for direct shoot regeneration from leaf and internode explants but root explants failed to respond. Percentage of response in shoot bud induction increases as the concentration of TDZ increases. After 6 weeks culture on TDZ supplemented medium the shoots were elongated on medium devoid of TDZ. Although the percentage of response was larger at higher concentration of TDZ, 1–2 mg/l TDZ was the optimum concentration for obtaining normal, healthy shoots. The shoots developed from shoot bud induced at higher concentration of TDZ were stunted and hyperhydric. Explant culture on induction medium containing TDZ for more than 6 weeks has a detrimental effect on shoot development. Supplement of 2iP (5, 8 mg/l) or Kin (4, 6 mg/l) on the development medium enhanced the number of shoot and shoot length. Half strength modified rhododendron medium supplemented with 2iP (5 mg/l) was the ideal shoot development medium, producing 12–16 shoots from single explant within 8 weeks. Significant carry over effect of TDZ on root inhibition of microshoots was observed. Two month old rooted plantlets were transferred from lab to land and successfully established.

KEYWORDS: *Gaultheria fragrantissima*, regeneration, TDZ

INTRODUCTION

Gaultheria fragrantissima Wall. (Ericaceae), commonly known as wintergreen, is a bushy evergreen shrub of higher elevation growing in shaded woodland and margin of forests¹. The plant grows on sandy (light), loamy (medium), and acidic soil. This aromatic plant has high content of essential oil rich in methyl salicylate. The oil is extracted by distillation of leaves. The oil has high demand in pharmaceutical and food industries. It has been used as antiseptic, carminative, rheumatic and arthritis treatment^{2,3}, flavouring agent (confectioneries, herbal tea, toothpaste, etc.) and condiment⁴. Methyl salicylates are the natural precursors of pharmaceutical aspirin. In the US there are more than 40 products that contain methyl salicylate as an active ingredient to treat various kinds of external pains⁵. The yield of wintergreen oil from the plants of north east India is reported to be

higher than the other parts of India^{6,7}.

A standardized protocol for clonal micropropagation of *G. fragrantissima* from axillary shoot meristem was developed⁸. However, there is no report of direct or indirect organogenesis of this species. Regeneration of plantlets from explants such a root, leaf, and internode segment would permit genetic manipulation of *G. fragrantissima*. An indirect shoot regeneration system can be used to identify or induce somaclonal variant and to develop transgenic plant following genetic transformation of plant cell, whereas direct adventitious shoot formation without the intervention of callus is a reliable procedure for clonal propagation as it prevents somaclonal variations in the cultures. Hence the objective of the present study was to determine the optimal condition for in vitro plant regeneration via direct organogenesis using thidiazuron (TDZ), a substituted phenyl urea compound having cytokinin and auxin like effect⁹, reported TDZ as the most

Table 1 Constituents of MRM for direct shoot regeneration of *G. fragrantissima*.

Constituents (mg/l)	SI ^a	SD ^b
Ammonium nitrate (NH ₄ NO ₃)	400.0	200.0
Potassium nitrate (KNO ₃)	480.0	240.0
Sodium dihydrogen orthophosphate (NaH ₂ PO ₄ · 2 H ₂ O)	380.0	190.0
Calcium chloride (CaCl ₂ · 2 H ₂ O)	440	220.0
Magnesium sulphate (MgSO ₄ · 7 H ₂ O)	370	185.0
Ferrous sulphate (FeSO ₄ · 7 H ₂ O)	27.8	13.9
Na ₂ -EDTA	37.3	18.65
Boric acid (H ₃ BO ₃)	6.2	3.1
Manganese sulphate (MnO ₄ · H ₂ O)	16.9	8.45
Zinc sulphate (ZnO ₄ · 7 H ₂ O)	8.6	4.3
Sodium molybdate (NaMoO ₄ · 2 H ₂ O)	0.25	0.125
Copper sulphate (CuO ₄ · 5 H ₂ O)	0.025	0.0125
Cobalt chloride (CoCl ₂ · 5 H ₂ O)	0.025	0.0125
Inositol	1.00	0.5
Thiamine HCl	0.4	0.2

^a Shoot induction from leaf and internode.

^b Shoot development and rooting.

potent of the diphenyl ureas evaluated for use in plant tissue culture¹⁰. TDZ has proved to be very effective in inducing in vitro shoot regeneration of many woody plants¹¹ including species from Ericaceae family such as lingonberry¹² and blueberry¹³. The effectiveness of TDZ on shoot regeneration in vitro has not been studied until date with *G. fragrantissima*. Hence in the present study, the efficacy of TDZ and other cytokinin namely benzyladenine (BA), 2-isopentenyl adenine (2ip), and kinetin (Kin) for shoot regeneration via direct organogenesis was investigated for rapid plant regeneration.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The matured fruits (drupe) were collected in the months of July–August from the natural habitat, Shilong Peak, Meghalaya at an altitude of 1830 m. The seeds were removed from the fruit and treated with 10% (v/v) sodium hypochlorite solution with 1–2 drops of Tween 20 for 40 min followed by 3 washes with sterile distilled water under aseptic condition. The seeds were germinated on modified basal rhododendron medium (MRM)¹⁴. Rhododendron medium¹⁴ was modified by reducing the iron source, FeSO₄-EDTA to half of its original content as standardized for *G. fragrantissima* culture by the same authors. The constituent of the medium is given in Table 1.

For direct adventitious shoot regeneration, leaf (0.5 cm²), internode, and root segments of about 0.5–

1 cm from 6 month old in vitro raised seedlings were used as explants. Two explants were inoculated in each test tube containing MRM supplemented with TDZ (0.5, 1, 2, 3, and 4 mg/l), BA (2, 5, 10 mg/l), Kin (2, 5, 10 mg/l), and 2ip (5, 10, 15 mg/l) separately and in combination with indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) and 1-naphthaleneacetic acid (NAA) (1, 2 mg/l). MRM without any growth regulator was used as control in all the experiments.

After 6 weeks culture of explant on the induction medium containing TDZ, the shoot bud induced on different concentration of TDZ were segregated and subcultured on full strength or half strength MRM devoid of TDZ for shoot development. The effect of culture period on TDZ containing medium was also studied by subculturing the shoot bud on half strength basal medium after 5, 6, and 7 weeks culture. Thereafter, all the shoot elongation experiments were studied on half strength medium after 6 weeks culture on induction medium.

The effect of 2ip and Kin on shoot development was studied by a subculture of shoot bud induced by (2 mg/l) TDZ on half strength medium containing 2ip (2, 5, 8 mg/l) and Kin (2, 4, 6 mg/l). To study the carry over effect of TDZ on rooting, the shoots induced on different concentration of TDZ were segregated according to the concentration of TDZ used in the induction medium and cultured separately. After 8 weeks culture on development medium, 2–3 cm long shoots having 3–5 leaves were cultured on half strength medium supplemented with Indole 3-butyric acid (IBA) (1 mg/l) for rooting. Two month old rooted plantlet were taken out from the culture vessel, wash the adhering medium away, treated with fungicide (Bavistin, 0.4% w/v) for 15 min, and planted on coarse sand. The plantlets were irrigated with half strength MRM salt solution every week.

Culture condition

Basal medium contains sucrose (3%, w/v), activated charcoal (0.1%, w/v) and Difco-Bacto agar (0.8%, w/v). The pH of the medium was adjusted to 5.8 before autoclaving. Aliquots of medium were dispensed in conical flasks or test tubes, cap with aluminium foil, and, along with all the equipments needed for the inoculation, autoclaved at 121 °C and 15 psi for 20 min. All the culture was maintained under warm white fluorescent light at an irradiance of 50 mmol m⁻² s⁻¹, with a 16 h photoperiod and at 24 ± 2 °C.

Experimental design and measurement

For shoot bud induction on TDZ, sixty explants were used for each treatment. Each treatment was repeated

four times by using a completely randomized design. Number of days taken for shoot bud induction was noted. The percentage of explants responded in shoot bud induction was recorded after 5 weeks. Ten explants each with the shoot bud induced on different concentrations of TDZ medium were separately transferred to shoot development medium. After 4 and 8 weeks in the shoot development medium, the numbers of shoots per explant and shoot lengths were recorded. For rooting, number of days taken for root initiation was noted, and the percentage of rooted plantlet, root number, and length were calculated after 8 weeks of culture. Collected data were subjected to ANOVA and the treatment means were compared by least significant difference (LSD) at 5% level of significance.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Seeds imbibe and swell within a week and germination starts in 15–20 days, 79–86% of seeds germinated by 6 weeks. Leaf and internode explants cultured on medium with TDZ showed gradual swelling after a week. Direct shoot bud protuberance was observed from the cut ends of leaf and internode explants within 5 weeks. Shoot regenerates directly without the intervention of callus formation. The percentage of response (62–96%) in shoot bud induction increases as the concentration of TDZ increases from both the explants (Table 2). The percentage of response was slightly higher from a leaf explant than the internode explants. There was no response in shoot bud induction from root explant.

In the basal medium and other cytokinin treatments, there was no response to organogenesis, rather the explants turned brown and ultimately died within 5 weeks. As with our result, *Filipendula ulmaria* adventitious shoot buds were induced by TDZ, but not by other cytokinins¹⁵. Variation in the activity of different cytokinins can be explained by their differential uptake rate reported in different genomes¹⁶, varied translocation rates to meristematic regions, and metabolic processes, in which the cytokinin may be degraded or conjugated with sugars or amino acids to form biologically inert compounds as earlier reported^{17,18}.

TDZ, when used in combination with auxins, drastically reduced the percentage of response (Table 2). Moreover there was no significant increase in the number of shoots regeneration per explant. In contrast, Yildirim and Turker¹⁵ observed a significant increase in the percentage of explants forming shoot and the mean shoot number per explant when TDZ was used in combination with IAA.

Table 2 Percentage of response in direct shoot regeneration of leaf and internode explants to direct organogenesis after 5 weeks culture on induction medium containing different concentrations of TDZ alone or in combination with auxin.

TDZ	PGRs (mg/l)		Response (%)	
	NAA	IAA	Leaf	Internode
0	0	0	0	0
0.5			67 ^e	62 ^e
1			78 ^d	73 ^{cd}
2			86 ^c	78 ^c
3			93 ^{ab}	87 ^{ab}
4			96 ^a	91 ^a
2	1		57 ^{fg hijk}	55 ^{ghi}
2	2		52 ^{ijkl}	54 ^{ghij}
3	1		62 ^{efgh}	59 ^{efg}
3	2		65 ^{ef}	53 ^{hij}
2		1	61 ^{fghi}	58 ^{efgh}
2		2	55 ^{ijk}	54 ^{ghij}
3		1	63 ^{efg}	61 ^{ef}
3		2	58 ^{fghij}	57 ^{efghi}
SE			± 3.4	± 3.1
LSD			5.6	5.2

Comparison within the columns: Mean followed by common letter are not significantly different ($P < 0.05$).

Multiple shoot buds occurred as tight bud clusters. After a period of 5 weeks, a thick mat of regenerating shoot buds covered the explant. There is no distinct shoot elongation, making it difficult to count the number of shoot buds per explant. A similar pattern of shoot bud development from leaf explants was reported by Banerjee et al¹⁹. Although it was difficult to count the number of shoot buds, it was clearly visible that the number of shoot buds increases with every increase in TDZ concentration. In lower concentrations of TDZ the shoots elongated with some expanded leaves, whereas in the higher concentration the shoot buds were highly vitrified and fail to elongate.

The efficacy of TDZ for induction of direct shoot organogenesis is well documented in several woody plants^{20–22}. Moreover some researchers^{23,24} have reported TDZ to be an essential growth regulator for shoot induction from leaf explant of Ericaceae family.

It was emphasized that the efficiency of TDZ may be due to its ability to induce cytokinin accumulation²⁵ or enhance the accumulation and translocation of auxin within the tissue²⁶. TDZ is also suspected of promoting regulated morphogenesis in plants through the modulation of endogenous cytokinin and auxin²⁷. Heutteman and Preece¹¹ and De Gyves et al²⁸ em-

Table 3 Carry over effect of TDZ on number of shoots after transfer on shoot development medium (full and half strength basal MRM). Observation after 4 and 8 weeks culture.

Induction medium containing TDZ (mg/l)	Leaf explant				Internode explant			
	Shoot development medium (MRM)							
	Full strength		Half strength		Full strength		Half strength	
	4 week	8 week	4 week	8 week	4 week	8 week	4 week	8 week
0.5	0.8 ^d	1.8 ^d	1.7 ^d	3.1 ^d	1.4 ^{de}	2.8 ^{de}	2.0 ^d	4.3 ^d
1	1.6 ^c	3.7 ^{bc}	2.7 ^{bc}	5.2 ^{bc}	2.3 ^c	4.7 ^{abc}	3.2 ^{abc}	6.5 ^{bc}
2	2.6 ^{ab}	5.3 ^{ab}	3.4 ^{ab}	6.8 ^{ab}	3.4 ^{ab}	5.9 ^{ab}	4.1 ^{ab}	8.3 ^{ab}
3	3.1 ^a	5.8 ^a	3.6 ^a	7.2 ^a	3.7 ^a	6.2 ^a	4.4 ^a	8.9 ^a
4	0.7 ^{de}	1.6 ^{de}	1.4 ^{de}	2.8 ^{de}	1.6 ^{cd}	3.4 ^{cd}	2.2 ^{cd}	4.1 ^{de}
SE	± 0.27	± 0.48	± 0.34	± 0.54	± 0.36	± 0.52	± 0.43	± 0.58
LSD	0.8	1.7	0.9	1.9	0.9	1.8	1.1	2.1

Comparison within the columns: Mean values followed by common letter are not significantly different ($P < 0.05$).

Table 4 Carry over effect of TDZ on shoot length (cm) produced after transfer on shoot development medium (full and half strength basal MRM). Observation after 4 and 8 weeks culture.

Induction medium containing TDZ (mg/l)	Leaf explant				Internode explant			
	Shoot development medium (MRM)							
	Full strength		Half strength		Full strength		Half strength	
	4 week	8 week	4 week	8 week	4 week	8 week	4 week	8 week
0.5	0.19 ^{ab}	0.37 ^{bc}	0.31 ^{ab}	0.62 ^{ab}	0.24 ^{ab}	0.52 ^{ab}	0.34 ^{ab}	0.68 ^{ab}
1	0.21 ^a	0.48 ^a	0.33 ^a	0.69 ^a	0.29 ^a	0.59 ^a	0.38 ^a	0.76 ^a
2	0.17 ^{abc}	0.42 ^{ab}	0.26 ^{abc}	0.51 ^{bc}	0.18 ^c	0.37 ^c	0.31 ^{abc}	0.63 ^{bc}
3	0.12 ^{cd}	0.23 ^d	0.22 ^{cd}	0.43 ^{cd}	0.15 ^{cd}	0.34 ^{cd}	0.27 ^{bcd}	0.52 ^d
4	0.06 ^{de}	0.13 ^{de}	0.11 ^e	0.17 ^e	0.1 ^e	0.18 ^e	0.15 ^e	0.28 ^e
SE	± 0.04	± 0.07	± 0.05	± 0.08	± 0.05	± 0.08	± 0.06	± 0.09
LSD	0.07	0.11	0.09	0.12	0.08	0.12	0.09	0.13

Comparison within the columns: Mean values followed by common letter are not significantly different ($P < 0.05$).

phasized the potential use of TDZ in the regulation of adventitious shoot production and hypothesize on the synergism existing between TDZ and both endogenous and exogenous auxin.

CARRY OVER EFFECT OF TDZ ON SHOOT DEVELOPMENT

The number of shoots produced increases with each increase in the concentration of TDZ with maximum number of shoot developed on 2 and 3 mg/l TDZ from leaf and stem explant (Table 3). Thereafter, a sharp decrease in the number of shoots per explant was evident at 4 mg/l TDZ after 8 weeks culture. At this concentration only few shoots developed and more of highly vitrified leafy structure continues to proliferate.

TDZ concentration has a significant effect on shoot length as well; shoots derived from the lower

concentration of TDZ (0.5, 1, 2 mg/l) were significantly longer (Table 4). Shoot length significantly reduces with TDZ concentration higher than 2 mg/l. Moreover, shoot vigour decreases with increasing concentration of TDZ. At higher concentrations (3 and 4 mg/l) of TDZ the shoot produced were vitrified and stunted. Hence the optimum concentration of TDZ for direct shoot bud induction in *G. fragrantissima* is 1–2 mg/l TDZ, considering both the number of shoots developed and shoot length. Although the shoot regeneration was more at higher concentration of TDZ, the shoots were abnormal, stunted and hyperhydric. Whereas shoot induced on lower concentrations of TDZ (0.5–2 mg/l) can be easily elongated when cultured on development medium. Similarly report of 1 mg/l TDZ as the optimum concentration for shoot organogenesis from leaf explant in *Rehmannia*

Table 5 Effect of explant type and medium strength on number of shoot development. Observation after 8 weeks culture.

Explant type	Shoot development medium (MRM)	Induction medium containing TDZ(mg/l)				
		0.5	1	2	3	4
Internode	Full strength	2.8 ^{bc}	4.7 ^{bc}	5.9 ^{bc}	6.2 ^{bc}	3.4 ^{ab}
	Half strength	4.3 ^a	6.5 ^a	8.3 ^a	8.9 ^a	4.1 ^a
Leaf	Full strength	1.8 ^{cd}	3.7 ^{cd}	5.3 ^{cd}	5.8 ^{cd}	1.6 ^d
	Half strength	3.1 ^b	5.2 ^b	6.8 ^b	7.2 ^b	2.8 ^{bc}
SE		± 0.53	± 0.57	± 0.62	± 0.61	± 0.58
LSD		1.1	1.3	1.5	1.4	1.2

Comparison within the columns: Mean values followed by common letter are not significantly different ($P < 0.05$).

Table 6 Effect of explant type and medium strength on shoot length (cm). Observation after 8 weeks culture.

Explant type	Shoot development medium (MRM)	Induction medium containing TDZ(mg/l)				
		0.5	1	2	3	4
Internode	Full strength	0.52 ^c	0.59 ^c	0.37 ^d	0.34 ^c	0.18 ^c
	Half strength	0.68 ^a	0.76 ^a	0.63 ^a	0.52 ^a	0.28 ^a
Leaf	Full strength	0.37 ^d	0.48 ^d	0.42 ^c	0.23 ^d	0.13 ^d
	Half strength	0.62 ^b	0.69 ^b	0.51 ^b	0.43 ^b	0.17 ^b
SE		± 0.04	± 0.03	± 0.03	± 0.03	± 0.02
LSD		0.06	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.04

Comparison within the columns: Mean values followed by common letter are not significantly different ($P < 0.05$).

glutinosa was reported²⁹.

Production of short and vitrified shoots on TDZ medium was earlier reported^{11,30}. As with our finding, difficulty in shoot development and elongation despite the multiple shoot bud induction at higher concentration of TDZ was reported in *Capsicum annum*³¹ and *Rubus* cultivars²⁰.

Of the different strength of medium studied for shoot development, the number of shoots as well as shoot length was significantly higher on half strength medium than full strength medium (Tables 5 and 6). Similarly, better shoot elongation of multiple shoots induced from axillary meristem of *G. fragrantissima* was observed on half strength medium rather than full strength medium⁸.

In addition to the effect of TDZ concentration on shoot development, the duration of culture on TDZ containing medium also has a significant effect on the shoot development. The number of shoots developed from explants cultured on TDZ containing medium for more than 6 weeks drastically reduced (Tables 7 and 8). When the explants were cultured on TDZ containing medium for more than 7 weeks, the shoot buds became vitrified and difficult to develop to healthy shoot. However there was no significant difference in the number of shoots developed from explants exposed to TDZ for 5 and 6 weeks. Hence, 5–6 weeks culture on shoot induction medium containing TDZ

is optimum for obtaining maximum, healthy shoots. Similar report on the effect of exposure duration to TDZ was earlier reported⁹. According to their finding, 4 day exposure to 5.0 μ M TDZ resulted in the highest degree of somatic embryo formation in *Geranium*.

EFFECT OF 2iP AND KIN ON SHOOT ELONGATION

Both 2iP and Kin had a significant effect on the shoot development of the shoot bud produced on TDZ. The number of shoots developed on medium containing 2iP (5, 8 mg/l) or Kin (5 mg/l) was significantly higher than the number of shoots developed on control (Table 9). Similarly, shoots developed on 2iP (5 mg/l) or Kin (4 and 6 mg/l) supplemented medium were significantly longer than those shoots developed on control (Table 10). Medium containing 2iP (5 mg/l) produced highest number of shoots with longer shoot length and hence the optimum medium for shoot development was half strength MRM supplemented with 2iP (5 mg/l). Based on our finding in *G. fragrantissima*, a secondary medium for shoot development is essential for successful elongation of shoot buds induced on TDZ as earlier reported in apple¹⁸. Development of two step shoot regeneration for other Ericaceae family is also reported^{13,32,33}.

Table 7 Effect of exposure period (week) to TDZ on number of shoot development. Observation after 8 weeks culture.

Explant type	Culture period (weeks)	Induction medium containing TDZ (mg/l)				
		0.5	1	2	3	4
internode	5	3.7 ^{ab}	5.4 ^{ab}	7.2 ^{ab}	7.6 ^{ab}	3.3 ^{ab}
	6	4.3 ^a	6.5 ^a	8.3 ^a	8.9 ^a	4.1 ^a
	7	3.1 ^{bc}	3.9 ^c	4.6 ^c	4.8 ^c	2.3 ^{bcd}
leaf	5	2.2 ^c	3.7 ^d	4.3 ^{cd}	4.7 ^{cd}	2.2 ^{bcde}
	6	3.1 ^{bc}	5.2 ^{abc}	6.8 ^b	7.2 ^b	2.8 ^{bc}
	7	1.6 ^{cd}	2.4 ^{de}	3.7 ^{cde}	3.6 ^{cde}	1.5 ^{de}
SE		± 0.6	± 0.8	± 0.8	± 0.7	± 0.6
LSD		1.2	1.4	1.5	1.6	1.3

Comparison within the columns: Mean values followed by common letter are not significantly different ($P < 0.05$).

Table 8 Effect of exposure period (weeks) to TDZ on shoot length (cm). Observation after 8 weeks culture.

Explant type	Culture period (weeks)	Induction medium containing TDZ (mg/l)				
		0.5	1	2	3	4
Internode	5	0.71 ^a	0.79 ^a	0.68 ^a	0.57 ^a	0.32 ^a
	6	0.68 ^{ab}	0.76 ^{ab}	0.63 ^{ab}	0.52 ^{ab}	0.28 ^{ab}
	7	0.56 ^{cde}	0.63 ^c	0.51 ^{cd}	0.41 ^{cde}	0.17 ^{cd}
leaf	5	0.67 ^{abc}	0.71 ^{abc}	0.54 ^c	0.46 ^c	0.21 ^c
	6	0.62 ^{abcd}	0.68 ^{bc}	0.51 ^{cd}	0.43 ^{cd}	0.17 ^{cd}
	7	0.49 ^{ef}	0.52 ^d	0.40 ^e	0.38 ^{de}	0.11 ^e
SE		± 0.06	± 0.05	± 0.04	± 0.04	± 0.03
LSD		0.12	0.11	0.08	0.08	0.06

Comparison within the columns: Mean values followed by common letter are not significantly different ($P < 0.05$).

Effect of explant on shoot regeneration and shoot vigour

The type of explant has a great effect on the organogenesis and growth of the regenerated shoot. Comparing the two explants namely leaf and internode in the present study, internode was found to be superior to leaf explants. The percentage of response to shoot regeneration was higher from leaf explants (Table 2) however; internode explants produced larger number of shoots per explant (Tables 5 and 7) and longer shoots (Tables 6 and 8) on the same treatment. The shoots produced from internode explant had vigorous growth but the shoots derived from leaf explant were vitrified and stunted in growth. Ali and Mirza³³ had also reported stem as the ideal explant for shoot regeneration. In contrast to our observation, there are reports^{34,35} that regeneration frequency was higher with leaf explants and the shoot derived from leaf explant was more vigorous. The differential response of these two explants may be attributed to the difference in anatomical structure³⁶, differential reactivity of the explant to media component³⁷, and the interaction between the type of tissue and the concentration of

endogenous growth regulators³⁸.

Carry over effect of TDZ on in vitro rooting

There are reports of repression of root formation by using excess cytokinin in the propagation medium^{39,40}. Likewise in the present study, TDZ has a considerable effect on root initiation, percentage of rooted shoot, as well as number of root and root length (Table 11). The percentage of rooting was significantly different between the shoot derived from control and TDZ supplemented medium. The shoots from control initiated root within 3 weeks followed by shoot obtained from 0.5 and 1 mg/l TDZ in 4 weeks and 5 weeks for shoots obtained from 2 and 3 mg/l TDZ.

Number of roots and root length were not significantly different between control and 0.5 mg/l TDZ. Also, there was no significant difference in the percentage of rooting, root number, and length between 0.5 and 1 mg/l TDZ. However, in concentrations higher than 1 mg/l the percentage of rooting, number of root produced, as well as root length drastically reduced with significant difference from the other treat-

Table 9 Effect of cytokinin on number of shoot development from shoot bud induced on TDZ (2 mg/l). Observation after 4 and 8 weeks culture.

PGRs	Treatment (mg/l)	Internode explant		Leaf explant	
		4 week	8 week	4 week	8 week
Control	0	4.2 ^{efg}	8.3 ^{fg}	3.3 ^{ef}	6.8 ^{de}
2iP	2	5.2 ^e	11.9 ^{bcde}	5.2 ^{bcd}	9.5 ^{cd}
	5	8.3 ^a	15.6 ^a	6.7 ^a	12.6 ^a
	8	7.2 ^b	13.7 ^{ab}	5.4 ^{bc}	10.2 ^{bcd}
Kin	2	4.9 ^{ef}	10.1 ^{ef}	3.8 ^e	8.2 ^d
	4	6.7 ^{bc}	13.5 ^{abc}	5.6 ^b	11.5 ^{ab}
	6	6.4 ^{bcd}	13.1 ^{bcd}	5.4 ^{bc}	11.2 ^{abc}
SE		± 0.39	± 0.48	± 0.33	± 0.42
LSD		1.1	2.3	1.1	1.8

Comparison within the columns: Mean values followed by common letter are not significantly different ($P < 0.05$).

Table 10 Effect of cytokinin on shoot length (cm) of shoot bud induced on TDZ (2 mg/l). Observation after 4 and 8 weeks culture.

PGRs	Treatment (mg/l)	Internode explant		Leaf explant	
		4 week	8 week	4 week	8 week
Control	0	0.31 ^{cde}	0.59 ^{de}	0.17 ^f	0.35 ^f
2iP	2	0.36 ^{abc}	0.68 ^{bc}	0.29 ^{bc}	0.52 ^{de}
	5	0.42 ^a	0.81 ^a	0.37 ^a	0.74 ^a
	8	0.34 ^{bcd}	0.65 ^{bcd}	0.28 ^d	0.57 ^{cd}
Kin	2	0.32 ^{cd}	0.62 ^{cde}	0.26 ^{de}	0.52 ^{de}
	4	0.38 ^{ab}	0.73 ^{abc}	0.36 ^{ab}	0.71 ^{ab}
	6	0.36 ^{abc}	0.74 ^{ab}	0.34 ^{abc}	0.68 ^{abc}
SE		± 0.05	± 0.09	± 0.05	± 0.08
LSD		0.08	0.12	0.08	0.12

Comparison within the columns: Mean values followed by common letter are not significantly different ($P < 0.05$).

ments. The observation is similar to earlier reports that TDZ has inhibition effect on rooting^{11,30}. Most of the shoots without roots were the vitrified shoots obtained from the higher TDZ treatment. However, many vitrified shoots slowly lost the vitreous condition in subsequent subcultures and the shoot length increased considerably and successfully rooted.

Eighty percent of plantlets survived and established within 6 weeks. After 3 months growth in the greenhouse the plantlets were transferred to pot containing soil and leaf mould (1:1) to hasten the growth or transfer to the field directly.

From the present investigation, the protocol for

Table 11 Carry over effect of cytokinin on in vitro rooting. Observation after 8 weeks culture.

TDZ on induction medium (mg/l)	Rooting (%)	Root number	Root length (cm)
0	87 ^a	8.6 ^a	2.3 ^a
0.5	81 ^b	8.2 ^{ab}	2.1 ^{ab}
1	72 ^c	7.4 ^{bc}	1.7 ^{bc}
2	61 ^d	6.1 ^d	1.2 ^d
3	48 ^e	4.8 ^e	0.7 ^e
SE	± 0.34	± 0.65	± 0.3
LSD	5.2	1.2	0.5

Comparison within the columns: Mean values followed by common letter are not significantly different ($P < 0.05$).

direct shoot regeneration can be summarized that MRM is the suitable media composition and internode explant is the ideal explant for direct shoot regeneration of *G. fragrantissima*. For direct shoot induction, explant was cultured on TDZ (1–2 mg/l) containing medium for 5–6 weeks. Thereafter, the shoot buds were transferred to half strength medium devoid on TDZ for shoot development. To obtain more shoots with vigorous growth, 2iP should be included in the shoot development medium. After 8 weeks culture on development medium, longer shoots were excised out and culture on half strength medium containing 1 mg/l IBA for rooting of the shoots in vitro. The smaller shoots were subcultured on fresh medium. 8 weeks old rooted plantlets were acclimatized on sand in greenhouse condition. After 3 months the plantlets were ready to transfer to field. The plants did not show any morphological abnormalities when compared with donor plants.

The protocol standardized for adventitious shoot regeneration from leaf and internode (stem) segment of *Gaultheria fragrantissima* will be a good alternative of in vitro propagation when the number of organized explant is limited. It also paves the way for an opportunity to regenerate plant from tissue manipulated through biotechnology. Many methods available for transformation ultimately end with tissue culture technique emphasizing the need of a well established regeneration system. Hence the present protocol may be useful for improving the oil yield by genetic engineering of the plant in the near future.

Acknowledgements: The authors thank to the Director, Botanical Survey of India, Kolkata and Joint Director, Botanical Survey of India, Shillong, for the facilities provided for the research work and the Department of Biotechnology, Government of India for the financial grant.

REFERENCES

1. Haridasan K, Rao RR (1987) *Forest Flora of Meghalaya Vol. II* (Bishen Singh Mahendra Pal, Dehra Dun) pp 535–6.
2. Farnsworth NR (1983) The role of medicinal in drug development. *Proceedings of the Alfred Benzon Symposium*, Copenhagen, Denmark pp 17–28.
3. Tiwary NN, Joshi MP (1990) Medicinal plants of Nepal. *J Nepal Med Assoc* **28**, 181–90.
4. Manandhar NP (1980) *Medicinal Plants of Nepal Himalaya* (Ratna Pustak Bhandar, Kathmandu, Nepal) pp 7–79.
5. James A, Duke (2002) *The Green Pharmacy*. (Scientific Publisher, Jodhpur, India), p 145,231,385,406.
6. Anonymous (1956) *The Wealth of India, A Dictionary of Indian Raw Materials and Industrial Products*. Raw materials Vol. IV. Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, New Delhi pp 118–9.
7. Chopra IC, Handa KL, Kapur LD (1958) *Chopra's Indigenous Drugs of India*, 2nd edn, (U N Dhur & Sons private Limited, 15 Bankim Chatterjee Streets, Calcutta-12) pp 179–81, 579, 613.
8. Ranyaphi RA, Mao AA, Borthakur SK (2010) Propagation package of *Gaultheria fragrantissima* Wall. – a commercially important medicinal plant, *Medicinal Plants of India*. (North Eastern Development Finance Corporation Ltd Guwahati, Assam) pp 50–71.
9. Visser Christena Javed A, Qureshi Ravinder Gill Praveen K, Saxena (1992) Morphoregulatory role of thidiazuron – Substitution of auxin and cytokinin requirement for the induction of somatic embryogenesis in geranium hypocotyl cultures. *Plant Physiol* **99**, 1704–7.
10. Mok MC, Mok DWS, Armstrong DJ, Shudo K, Isogai Y, Okamoto T (1982) Cytokinin activity of N-phenyle N-1,2,3-thiadiazol-5-ylurea (thidiazuron). *Phytochemistry* **21**, 1509–11.
11. Huetteman Carl A, John E, Preece (1993) Thidiazuron: a potent cytokinin for woody plant tissue culture. *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult* **33**, 105–19.
12. Debnath SC (2003) Improved shoot organogenesis from hypocotyl segment of lingonberry (*Vaccinium vitis-idaea* L.). *Vitro Cell Dev Biol Plant* **39**, 490–5.
13. Debnath SC (2009) A two step procedure for adventitious shoot regeneration on excised leaves of lowbush blueberry. *Vitro Cell Dev Biol Plant* **45**, 122–8.
14. Anderson WC (1984) A revised medium for shoot proliferation of *Rhododendron*. *J Am Soc Hort Sci* **109**, 343–7.
15. Yildirim AB, Turker AU (2009) In vitro adventitious shoot regeneration of medicinal plant meadowsweet (*Filipendula ulmaria*) (L.) Maxim). *Vitro Cell Dev Biol Plant* **45**, 135–44.
16. Blakesey D (1990) Uptake and metabolism of 6-benzyladenine in shoot proliferation of *Musa* and *Rhododendron*. *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult* **25**, 69–74.
17. Tran Thanh Van K, TH, Trinh (1990) Organogenic differentiation. In: Bhojwani SS, Editor, *Plant Tissue Culture, Application and Limitations*. Elsevier, Amsterdam pp 34–53.
18. Kamínek M (1992) Progress in cytokinin research. *Trends Biotechnol* **10**, 159–62.
19. Banerjee S, Tripathi J, Verma PC, Dwivedi PD, Khanuja SPS, Bagchi GD (2004) Thidiazuron-induced high-frequency shoot proliferation in *Cineraria maritima* Linn. *Curr Sci* **87**, 1287–90.
20. Graham J, Iasi L, Millam S (1997) Genotype-specific regeneration from a number of *Rubus* cultivars. *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult* **48**, 167–73.
21. Leblay C, Chevreau E, Raboin LM (1991) Adventitious shoot regeneration from in vitro leaves of several pear cultivars (*Pyrus communis* L.). *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult* **25**, 99–105.
22. Preece JE, Imel MR (1991) Plant regeneration from leaf explants of *Rhododendron* 'P.J.M. Hybrids'. *Sci Hort* **48**, 159–170.
23. Mertens M, Werbrouck S, Samyn G, Silva H, Debergh P (1996) In vitro regeneration of evergreen azalea from leaves. *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult* **45**, 231–6.
24. Hsia CH, Korban SS (1997) The influence of cytokinins and ionic strength of Anderson's medium on shoot establishment and proliferation of evergreen azalea. *Euphytica* **93**, 11–7.
25. Victor JMR, Murthy BNS, Murch SJ, Krishnaraj S, Saxena P (1999) Studies of endogenous purine metabolism in thidiazuron-induced somatic embryogenesis of peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). *Plant Growth Regul* **28**, 41–7.
26. Murthy BNS, Murch SJ, Saxena PK (1998) Thidiazuron: A potent in vitro plant morphogenesis. *Vitro Cell Dev Biol Plant* **34**, 267–75.
27. Gill R, Saxena PK (1992) Direct somatic embryogenesis and regeneration of plants from seedling explants of peanut (*Arachis hypogaea*) promotive role of thidiazuron. *Can J Plant Sci*, **70**, 1186–92.
28. De Gyves EM, Sparks CA, Fieldsend AF, Lazzeri PA, Jone HD (2001) High frequency of adventitious shoot regeneration from commercial cultivar of evening primrose (*Oenothera* spp.) using Thidiazuron. *Ann Appl Biol* **138**, 329–32.
29. Park SU, Kim YK, Lee SY (2009) Improved in vitro plant regeneration and micro propagation of *Rehmannia glutinosa* L. *J Medicinal Plant Res* **3**, 031–4.
30. Lu CY (1993) The use of TDZ in tissue culture. *Vitro Cell Dev Biol Plant* **29**, 92–6.
31. Hyde C, Phillips G (1996) Silver nitrate promotes shoot development and plant regeneration of Chile pepper (*Capsicum annum* L.) via organogenesis. *Vitro Cell Dev Biol Plant* **32**, 72–80.
32. Cao X, Hammerschlag FA, Douglass L (2002) A two-step pretreatment significantly enhances shoot organogenesis from leaf explant of highbush blueberry cv.

- bluecrop. *Hortscience* **37**, 819–21.
33. Ali S, Mirza B (2006) Micropropagation of rough lemon (*Citrus jambhiri* Lush): Effect of explant type and hormone concentration. *Acta Bot Croat* **65**, 137–46.
 34. Burdyn L, Luna C, Tarragó J, Sansberro P, Dudit N, González A, Mroginski L (2006) Direct shoot regeneration from leaf and internode explants of *Aloysia polystachya* (Verbenaceae). *Vitro Cell Dev Biol Plant* **42**, 235–9.
 35. Hadeyat M, Apte Gh Khodh-Khui M (2009) Regeneration via direct organogenesis from leaf and petiole segments of Pyrethrum (*Tanacetum cinerariifolium*). *America-Eurasian J Agric & Environ Sci* **6**, 81–7.
 36. Zouzou M, Kouakou TH, Koné M, Amani NG, Kouadio YJ (2008) Effect of genotype, explants, growth regulators and sugars on callus induction in cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). *Aust J Crop Sci* **2**, 1–9.
 37. Ikram UH (2005) Callus proliferation and somatic embryogenesis in cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). *Afr J Biotechnol* **4**, 206–9.
 38. Kaewpoo M, Te-chato S (2009) Influence of explant type and plant growth regulator on multiple shoot formation from *Jatropha curcus*. *Sci Asia* **35**, 353–7.
 39. Brassard N, Brisette L, Lord D, Laliberte S (1996) Elongation, rooting and acclimatization of micropropagated shoot from matured material of hybrid larch. *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult* **44**, 37–44.
 40. Cline MG, Harrington CA (2007) Apical dominance and apical control in multiple flushing of temperate woody species. *Can J Forest Res* **37**, 74–83.