Full Paper

Some convolution properties of a subclass of p-valent functions

Khalida Inayat Noor and Nazar Khan[*]

Department of Mathematics COMSATS, Institute of Information Technology, Park Road Islamabad, Pakistan

* Corresponding author, e-mail: nazarmaths@gmail.com

_____

Abstract: In this paper we introduce and study a new subclass R_p of p-valent functions that are analytic in the open unit disk $E = \{z: |z| < 1\}$. Some interesting results by using convolution technique for this subclass R_p are obtained. Also, we point out some known consequences of our main results.

_____

INTRODUCTION

Let $A(p)$ denote the class of functions

$$f(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n+p} z^{n+p} \qquad (p \in N = \{1,2,\dots\}),$$

(1)

which are analytic and p-valent in the open unit disk $E = \{z: |z| < 1\}$. A function $f(z) \in A(p)$ is said to be p-valently starlike of order $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha < p)$ in E if and only if

$$Re\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right) > \alpha \qquad (z \in E).$$

We denote the class of all p-valent starlike functions of order α by $S_p^*(\alpha)$. Further, a function $f(z) \in A(p)$ is said to be p-valently convex of order $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha < p)$ in E if and only if

$$Re\left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right) > \alpha \qquad (z \in E).$$

We denote by $C_p(\alpha)$ the subclass of $A(p)$, consisting of all p-valently convex functions of order α in E. It follows from the definition that if $f(z)$ is p-valently convex function, then $zf'(z)$ is p-valently starlike in E. The classes $S_p^*(\alpha)$ and $C_p(\alpha)$ were first introduced by Owa [1].

It is easy to see that $S_p^*(0) = S_p^*$ and $C_p(0) = C_p$ are, respectively, the classes of p-valently starlike and p -valently convex functions in E. We also note that $S_p^* = S^*$ and $C_1 = C$ are, respectively, the well-known classes of starlike and convex functions in E.

We say that a function

$$h(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n z^n \qquad (z \in E)$$

belongs to the class $P(\alpha)$ if $h(z)$ satisfies the following condition

$$Re\, h(z) > \alpha, \quad 0 \le \alpha < 1 \quad (z \in E).$$

Let $f(z), g(z) \in A(p)$, where $f(z)$ is given by(1) and $g(z)$ is defined by

$$g(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n+p} z^{n+p} \qquad (z \in E).$$

Then the Hadamard product (or convolution) $f * g$ of the functions $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ is defined by

$$(f * g)(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n+p} b_{n+p} z^{n+p} = (g * f)(z).$$

In recent years many interesting subclasses of analytic multivalent functions associated with the linear operator and their many special cases were investigated by, for example Liu [2] and Sokol et al. [3], and also by Arif et al. [4, 5] and others [e.g. 6-8] using convolution technique.

We now define the following:

Definition 1. A function $f(z)$ given by (1) is said to belong to the class R_p if

$$Re\left(\frac{f^{(p)}(z) + z f^{(p+1)}(z)}{p!}\right) > 0 \quad (z \in E, p \in N = \{1,2,\ldots\}), \tag{2}$$

where $f^{(p)}(z)$ is the pth derivative of $f(z)$.

As a special case, the class $R_1 = R$ was studied by Singh and Singh [9] in 1989. Using essentially their technique and that of Lashin [10], we prove the main results for the class R_p, which is the main motivation of this paper.

PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Lemma 1 [11]. Let $\{d_n\}_0^{\infty}$ be a convex null sequence. Then the function

$$q(z) = \frac{d_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n z^n$$

is analytic in E and $Re\, q(z) > 0 \quad (z \in E)$.

Lemma 2 [12]. If $N(z)$ and $D(z)$ are analytic in E, $N(0) = D(0) = 0$, $D(z)$ is starlike in E and

$$Re\left(\frac{N'(z)}{D'(z)}\right) > 0, \quad \text{then} \quad Re\left(\frac{N(z)}{D(z)}\right) > 0 \quad (z \in E).$$

Lemma 3 [9]. If $h(z)$ is analytic in E, $h(0) = 1$ and $Re\, h(z) > \frac{1}{2}$ ($z \in E$), then for any function F analytic in E, the function $h * F$ takes values in the convex hull of the image of E under F.

Lemma 4 [13]. Let $\beta < 1$. If the function $h(z)$ is analytic in E, with $h(0) = 1$, and

$$Re\left(h(z) + z h'(z)\right) > \beta \qquad (z \in E).$$

Then

$$Re\, h(z) > (2\beta - 1) + 2(1 - \beta)\ln 2 \qquad (z \in E).$$

The result is sharp.

Lemma 5 [14]. For $\alpha \leq 1$ and $\beta \leq 1$,

$$P(\alpha) * P(\beta) \subset P(\delta), \qquad \delta = 1 - 2(1-\alpha)(1-\beta).$$

The result is sharp.

MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 1. Let $f(z) \in R_p$; then

$$Re\left(\frac{f^{(p)}(z)}{p!}\right) > -1 + 2log2 \qquad (z \in E).$$

The constant $-1 + 2log2$ cannot be replaced by any larger one.

Proof. Let $f(z) \in R_p$; then we have

$$Re\left(\frac{f^{(p)}(z) + zf^{(p+1)}(z)}{p!}\right) > 0 \qquad (z \in E),$$

which can be written as

$$Re\left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(p+n)!(n+1)}{p!n!} a_{p+n} z^n\right) > 0 \qquad (z \in E), \qquad (3)$$

or equivalently,

$$Re\left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(p+n)!(n+1)}{p!n!} a_{p+n} z^n\right) > \frac{1}{2} \qquad (z \in E). \qquad (4)$$

Consider the function

$$h(z) = 1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n+1} z^n. \qquad (5)$$

Clearly, $h(z)$ is analytic in E, $h(0) = 1$ and

$$Reh(z) = Re\left(1 - \frac{2}{z}\{z + log(1-z)\}\right)$$

$$> -1 + 2log2 \quad [15]. \qquad (6)$$

From (4) and (5) we obtain

$$\frac{f^{(p)}(z)}{p!} = \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(p+n)!(n+1)}{p!n!} a_{p+n} z^n\right) * \left(1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n+1} z^n\right),$$

from which it follows, in view of (4), (6) and Lemma 3, that

$$Re\left(\frac{f^{(p)}(z)}{p!}\right) > -1 + 2log2 \qquad (z \in E).$$

The constant $-1 + 2log2$ cannot be replaced by any larger one, which follows from the fact that the function f_1 defined by $\frac{zf_1^{(p)}(z)}{p!} = -z - 2log(1-z)$ is in the class R_p.

Corollary 1 [9]. If $f(z) \in R$, then

$$Ref'(z) > -1 + 2log2 = 0.39... \qquad (z \in E).$$

The constant $-1 + 2log2$ cannot be replaced by any larger one.

Theorem 2. Let $f(z) \in R_p$, then

$$Re\left(\frac{f^{(p-1)}(z)}{z}\right) > \frac{p!}{2} \qquad (z \in E).$$

Proof. Since the sequence $\{d_n\}_0^{\infty}$ defined by $d_0 = 1, d_n = \frac{2}{(n+1)^2}, n \geq 1$ is a convex null sequence, using Lemma 1 we have

$$Re\left(1 + 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+1)^2} z^n\right) > \frac{1}{2} \qquad (z \in E). \tag{7}$$

We can write

$$\frac{f^{(p-1)}(z)}{p!z} = \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(p+n)!(n+1)}{p!n!} a_{p+n} z^n\right) * \left(1 + 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+1)^2} z^n\right).$$

From (4), (7) and Lemma 3, we have the required result.

Theorem 3. Let $f(z) \in R_p$, then for every $n \geq 1$, the nth partial sum of $f(z)$ satisfies

$Re S_n^{(p)}(z, f) > 0, z \in E$ and hence $S_n(z, f)$ is p-valent in E.

Proof. From (3) and (5) we can write

$$\frac{S_n^{(p)}(z,f)}{p!} = \left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(p+n)!(n+1)}{p!n!} a_{p+n} z^n\right) * \left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+1)} z^n\right). \tag{8}$$

Putting $z = re^{i\theta}$, $0 \leq r \leq 1$, $0 \leq |\theta| \leq \pi$, and using the minimum principle for harmonic functions with the result in the literature[16], we have

$$Re\left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{k} \frac{z^n}{n+1}\right) = Re\left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{k} \frac{r^n e^{in\theta}}{n+1}\right)$$

$$= Re\left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{k} \frac{r^n}{n+1}(\cos n\theta + i\sin n\theta)\right)$$

$$= 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{k} \frac{r^n}{n+1}\cos n\theta \quad (0 \leq \theta \leq \pi)$$

$$= 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{k} \frac{\cos n\theta}{n+1} \geq \frac{1}{2}. \tag{9}$$

Using (3), (8), (9) and Lemma 3, we deduce that $Re\left(S_n^{(p)}(z,f)\right) > 0$, $z \in E$. From the result given [17], we see that $S_n(z, f)$ is p-valent in E for every $n \geq 1$. If $p = 1$, then Theorem 2 and Theorem 3 were proved [9].

Theorem 4. If $f(z) \in A(p)$ and

$$Re\left(\frac{f^{(p)}(z) + z f^{(p+1)}(z)}{p!}\right) > -\frac{1}{4} \qquad (z \in E), \tag{10}$$

then $f(z) \in S_p^*(p-1)$.

Proof. Let $f(z) \in A(p)$ given by(1). It follows from the hypothesis of the theorem that

$$Re\left(1 + \frac{2}{5}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(p+n)!(n+1)}{p!n!} a_{p+n} z^n\right) > \frac{1}{2} \qquad (z \in E). \tag{11}$$

Also, the sequence $\{d_n\}_{0}^{\infty}$, where $d_0 = 1$ and $d_n = \frac{5}{2}\frac{1}{(n+1)^2}, n \geq 1$, is a convex null sequence such as

$$Re\left(1 + \frac{5}{2}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+1)^2} z^n\right) > \frac{1}{2} \qquad (z \in E). \tag{12}$$

From (11), (12) and Lemma 1, we obtain

$$Re\left(\frac{f^{(p-1)}(z)}{p!z}\right) = Re\left(1 + \frac{2}{5}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(p+n)!(n+1)}{p!n!} a_{p+n} z^n\right) * \left(1 + \frac{5}{2}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+1)^2} z^n\right)$$

$$> \frac{1}{2} \qquad (z \in E). \tag{13}$$

Now we define a function $w(z)$ by

$$w(z) = \frac{z f^{(p)}(z) - f^{(p-1)}(z)}{z f^{(p)}(z) + f^{(p-1)}(z)},$$

which can be written as

$$\frac{zf^{(P)}(z)}{f^{(P-1)}(z)} = \frac{1+w(z)}{1-w(z)} \qquad (z \in E). \tag{14}$$

Clearly, $w(0) = 0$. Since $f(z)$ is p-valent in E, we have $w(z) \neq 1$ in E. From (14) we obtain

$$\frac{f^{(P)}(z)+zf^{(P+1)}(z)}{p!} = \frac{f^{(P-1)}(z)}{p!z}\left(\left(\frac{1+w(z)}{1-w(z)}\right)^2 + \frac{2zw'(z)}{(1-w(z))^2}\right). \tag{15}$$

We claim that $|w(z)| < 1$ in E. If this is not true, then there exists a point $z_0 \in E$ such that

$$max_{|z|\leq|z_0|}|w(z)| = |w(z_0)| = 1.$$

Then from the result given [18], we have

$$zw'(z_0) = kw(z_0), \text{where } k \geq 1, w(z_0) = e^{i\theta}, 0 < \theta < 2\pi.$$

Putting $z = z_0$ in (15), we obtain

$$Re\left(\frac{f^{(P)}(z_0)+z_0f^{(P+1)}(z_0)}{p!}\right) = Re\left(\frac{f^{(P-1)}(z_0)}{p!z_0}\left(\left(\frac{1+e^{i\theta}}{1-e^{i\theta}}\right)^2 + \frac{2ke^{i\theta}}{\left(1-e^{i\theta}\right)^2}\right)\right)$$

$$\leq -\frac{\cos\theta+1+k}{\cos\theta-1}Re\left(\frac{f^{(P-1)}(z_0)}{(z_0)}\right)$$

$$\leq -\frac{k}{2}Re\left(\frac{f^{(P-1)}(z_0)}{(z_0)}\right)$$

$$\leq -\frac{1}{4}. \tag{16}$$

Since $k \geq 1$ and from (13), $Re\left(\frac{f^{(P-1)}(z)}{p!z}\right) > \frac{1}{2}$, $z \in E$. Inequality (16) contradicts inequality (10); thus, $|w(z)| < 1$ in E. Equation (14) then implies that $f(z) \in S_p^*(p-1)$ [19].

Using the Alexander type relation, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 2. If $g(z) \in A(p)$ and

$$Re\left(\frac{g^{(P)}(z)+3zg^{(P+1)}(z)+z^2g^{(P+2)}(z)}{p!}\right) > -\frac{1}{4} \qquad (z \in E),$$

then $g(z) \in C_p(p-1)$.

Corollary 3 [9]. If $f(z) \in A$ and let

$$Re(f'(z) + zf''(z)) > -\frac{1}{4} \qquad (z \in E),$$

then $f(z) \in S^*$.

Our next result shows that the class R_p is closed with respect to Hadamard product.

Theorem 5. If $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ belong to the class R_p and

$$h^{(p-1)}(z) = f^{(p-1)}(z) * g^{(p-1)}(z),$$

then $h(z)$ also belongs to the class R_p.

Proof. Since

$$h^{(p-1)}(z) = f^{(p-1)}(z) * g^{(p-1)}(z),$$

it follows that

$$zh^{(p)}(z) = zf^{(p)}(z) * g^{(p-1)}(z).$$

A simple computation gives

$$Re\left(\frac{h^{(P)}(z)+zh^{(P+1)}(z)}{p!}\right) = Re\left(\left(\frac{f^{(P)}(z)+zf^{(P+1)}(z)}{p!}\right) * \left(\frac{g^{(P-1)}(z)}{p!z}\right)\right). \tag{17}$$

From (17), using (1), (13) and Lemma 3, we have the desired result.

Corollary 4 [9]. If $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ and $g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n$ belong to R, then their Hadamard product

$$h(z) = (f * g)(z)$$

also belongs to R.

From the proof of Theorem 5, it is clear that the following more general result holds.

Theorem 6. If $f(z) \in R_p$, $g(z) \in A(p)$ and $Re\left(\frac{g^{(p-1)}(z)}{p!z}\right) > \frac{1}{2}$, $z \in E$, then

$$f^{(p-1)}(z) * g^{(p-1)}(z)$$

also belongs to the class R_p.

Theorem 7. Let $f(z) \in R_p$. Then $Re(f^{(p)}(z)) > 0$, $z \in E$ and hence $f(z)$ is p-valent in E.

Proof. Using the result given [17] and applying Lemma 2 with $N(z) = \frac{zf^{(p)}(z)}{p!}$ and $D(z) = z$ proves Theorem 7. If $p = 1$, then Theorem 7 was proved earlier [20].

Ruscheweyh and Small [21] have proved that the class C is closed with respect to Hadamard product. In what follows we prove that the Hadamard product of functions of the class R_p belongs to the class $C_p(\alpha)$.

Theorem 8. If $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ belong to R_p and

$$h^{(p-1)}(z) = f^{(p-1)}(z) * g^{(p-1)}(z),$$

then $h(z) \in C_p(p - 1)$.

Proof. In view of Corollary 3, it is sufficient to show that

$$Re\left(\frac{h^{(p)}(z) + 3zh^{(p+1)}(z) + z^2 h^{(p+2)}(z)}{p!}\right) > -\frac{1}{4} \qquad (z \in E).$$

Equivalently, this can be written as

$$Re\left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n+1)\left(\frac{(n+p)!}{n!p!}\right)^2 a_{n+p} b_{n+p} z^n\right) > -\frac{1}{4} \qquad (z \in E). \tag{18}$$

Since $f(z), g(z) \in R_p$, we have

$$Re\left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(n+p)!(n+1)}{p!n!} a_{n+p} z^n\right) > \frac{1}{2} \qquad (z \in E),$$

and

$$Re\left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(n+p)!(n+1)}{p!n!} b_{n+p} z^n\right) > \frac{1}{2} \qquad (z \in E).$$

Using Lemma 3, we obtain

$$Re\left(1 + \frac{1}{4}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n+1)^2 \left(\frac{(n+p)!}{n!p!}\right)^2 a_{n+p} b_{n+p} z^n\right) > \frac{1}{2} \qquad (z \in E). \tag{19}$$

Consider the function

$$h(z) = \left(1 + 4\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n+1} z^n\right). \tag{20}$$

Clearly, $h(z)$ is analytic in E, $h(0) = 1$ and

$$Re\left(1 + 4\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n+1} z^n\right) = Re\left(-3 - \frac{4}{z}\log(1-z)\right)$$

$$> -3 + 4\log 2 \ [15]$$

$$> -\frac{1}{4} \qquad (z \in E). \tag{21}$$

From (19) and (20), we can write

$$\left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(n+1)\left(\frac{(n+p)!}{n!p!}\right)^2 a_{n+p}b_{n+p}z^n\right)$$

$$= \left(1 + \frac{1}{4}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(n+1)^2\left(\frac{(n+p)!}{n!p!}\right)^2 a_{n+p}b_{n+p}z^n\right) * \left(1 + 4\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n+1}z^n\right). \tag{22}$$

Using (19), (21), (22) and Lemma 3, we see that (18) holds for all $z \in E$. This completes the proof.

Corollary 5 [9]. If $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ and $g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n$ belong to R, then

$$h(z) = (f * g)(z) \in C.$$

We now define the integral operator $F(z)$ as follows. Let $f(z) \in A(p)$; then

$$\frac{F^{(p-1)}(z)}{p!} = \frac{c+1}{z^c}\int_0^z t^{c-1}\left(\frac{f^{(p-1)}(t)}{p!}\right)dt, \qquad (c > -p, \ p \in N = \{1,2,\dots\}).$$

$$= z + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{(c+1)(n+p)!}{(n+c+1)(n+1)!p!}a_{n+p}z^{n+1}. \tag{23}$$

For $p=1$, the operator defined in (23) is a generalised form of operator by Bernardi [22]. A comprehensive study of operators with applications can be found in the literature [23-30].

Theorem 9. Let $f(z) \in R_p$ and

$$\frac{F^{(p-1)}(z)}{p!} = \frac{c+1}{z^c}\int_0^z t^{c-1}\left(\frac{f^{(p-1)}(t)}{p!}\right)dt \qquad (z \in E). \tag{24}$$

Then $F(z) \in R_p$.

Proof. Let

$$\frac{F^{(p)}(z) + zF^{(p+1)}(z)}{p!} = h(z).$$

Then $h(z)$ is analytic in E and $h(0) = 1$.
From (24), we have

$$\left(\frac{z^c F^{(p-1)}(z)}{p!}\right)' = (c+1)z^{c-1}\frac{f^{(p-1)}(z)}{p!}.$$

A simple computation gives us:

$$Re\left(h(z) + \frac{zh'(z)}{c+1}\right) = Re\left(\frac{f^{(p)}(z) + zf^{(p+1)}(z)}{p!}\right).$$

From the hypothesis of Theorem 9 with the result given [31], we have

$$Re\left(\frac{F^{(p)}(z) + zF^{(p+1)}(z)}{p!}\right) > 0 \qquad (z \in E).$$

This completes the proof. It is easy to see that if $0 \le \lambda \le 1$, and $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ are in R_p, then $G(z) = \lambda g(z) + (1 - \lambda)f(z)$ is also in R_p. This shows that the class R_p is a convex set.

Theorem 10. Let $f(z), g(z) \in A(p)$ and $\alpha, \beta < 1$. If

$$\frac{f^{(p)}(z)}{p!} \in P(\alpha), \quad \frac{g^{(p)}(z)}{p!} \in P(\beta),$$

and

$$\phi^{(p-1)}(z) = f^{(p-1)}(z) * g^{(p-1)}(z),$$

then $\phi(z) \in \check{S}_p^*(p-1)$, provided that

$$(1-\alpha)(1-\beta) < \frac{3}{8(\ln 2 - 1)^2 + 4}. \tag{25}$$

Proof. Using the given hypothesis on $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ and Lemma 5, we have

$$Re\left(\frac{f^{(p)}(z)}{p!} * \frac{g^{(p)}(z)}{p!}\right) = Re\left(\frac{\phi^{(p)}(z) + z\phi^{(p+1)}(z)}{p!}\right)$$

$$> 1 - 2(1-\alpha)(1-\beta). \tag{26}$$

By using Lemma 4, from (26) we have

$$Re\left(\frac{\phi^{(p)}(z)}{p!}\right) > 1 + 4(1-\alpha)(1-\beta)(\ln 2 - 1) \qquad (z \in E),$$

or equivalently, this can be written as

$$Re\left(\frac{\phi^{(p-1)}(z)}{p!z} + z\left(\frac{\phi^{(p-1)}(z)}{p!z}\right)'\right) > 1 + 4(1-\alpha)(1-\beta)(\ln 2 - 1) \quad (z \in E). \tag{27}$$

Using Lemma 4, (27) becomes

$$Re\left(\frac{\phi^{(p-1)}(z)}{p!z}\right) > 1 - 8(1-\alpha)(1-\beta)(\ln 2 - 1)^2.$$

Suppose

$$h(z) = \frac{z\phi^{(p)}(z)}{\phi^{(p-1)}(z)} \quad \text{and} \quad q(z) = \frac{\phi^{(p-1)}(z)}{z}.$$

Then $h(z)$ is analytic in E, $h(0) = 1$ and

$$Req(z) > 1 - 8(1-\alpha)(1-\beta)(\ln 2 - 1)^2. \tag{28}$$

A simple computation gives us:

$$\phi^{(p)}(z) + z\phi^{(p+1)}(z) = q(z)(h^2(z) + zh'(z))$$

$$= \Psi(h(z), zh'(z), z). \tag{29}$$

By taking $u = h(z)$ and $v = zh'(z)$, $\Psi(u, v; z) = q(z)(u^2 + v)$. From (25) and (29) we have

$$Re\left(\Psi(h(z), zh'(z), z)\right) > 1 - 2(1-\alpha)(1-\beta) \qquad (z \in E).$$

Now for real $x, y \le -\frac{1}{2}(1 + x^2)$, we have

$$Re(\Psi(ix, y, z)) = (-x^2 + y)Req(z)$$

$$\le -\frac{1}{2}(1 + 3x^2)Req(z)$$

$$\le -\frac{1}{2}Req(z) \qquad (z \in E). \tag{30}$$

From (28) and (30) we obtain

$$Re(\Psi(ix, y, z)) \le 1 - 2(1-\alpha)(1-\beta), \quad \text{for all } z \in E.$$

By using the results given [19, 31], we have $\phi(z) \in S_p^*(p-1)$ $(z \in E)$.

Corollary 6. Let $f(z), g(z) \in A(p)$ and $\alpha, \beta < 1$. If

$$\frac{f^{(p)}(z)}{p!} \in P(\alpha), \quad \frac{g^{(p)}(z)}{p!} \in P(\beta),$$

and

$$\psi^{(p-1)}(z) = \int_0^z \frac{(f^{(p-1)} * g^{(p-1)})(t)}{t} dt,$$

then $\psi(z) \in C_p(p-1)$, provided that

$$(1-\alpha)(1-\beta) < \frac{3}{8(\ln 2 - 1)^2 + 4}.$$

The proof is simple by taking $z\psi^{(p)}(z) = \phi^{(p-1)}(z)$.

Theorem 11. Let $f(z), g(z), h(z) \in A(p)$, $\alpha, \beta, \gamma < 1$. If

$$\frac{f^{(p)}(z)}{p!} \in P(\alpha), \quad \frac{g^{(p)}(z)}{p!} \in P(\beta), \quad \frac{h^{(p)}(z)}{p!} \in P(\gamma),$$

and

$$\emptyset^{(p-1)}(z) = (f^{(p-1)} * g^{(p-1)} * h^{(p-1)})(z),$$

then $\emptyset(z) \in \overset{*}{S}_p(p-1)$, provided that

$$(1-\alpha)(1-\beta)(1-\gamma) < \frac{3}{\{8(\ln 2 - 1)^2 + 4\}\{(-4)(\ln 2 - 1)\}}.$$

Proof. By the hypotheses on f, g and h and Lemma 5, we obtain

$$Re\left(\frac{K^{(p)}(z)}{p!} * \frac{h^{(p)}(z)}{p!}\right) = Re\left(\frac{\emptyset^{(p)}(z) + z\emptyset^{(p+1)}(z)}{p!}\right)$$

$$> 1 - 2(1-\alpha_1)(1-\beta), \tag{31}$$

where $K^{(p-1)}(z) = (f^{(p-1)} * g^{(p-1)})(z)$ and $Re\frac{K^{(p)}(z)}{p!} > \alpha_1, \alpha_1 = 1 + 4(1-\alpha)(1-\beta)(\ln 2 - 1)$.

From (31), together with Lemma 4, we have

$$Re\left(\frac{\emptyset^{(p)}(z)}{p!}\right) > 1 - 16(1-\alpha)(1-\beta)(1-\gamma)(\ln 2 - 1)^2 \qquad (z \in E),$$

Using the same technique similar to that of Thereom 10, we obtain the required result.

Corollary 7. Let $f(z), g(z), h(z) \in A(p)$, $\alpha, \beta, \gamma < 1$. If

$$\frac{f^{(p)}(z)}{p!} \in P(\alpha), \quad \frac{g^{(p)}(z)}{p!} \in P(\beta), \quad \frac{h^{(p)}(z)}{p!} \in P(\gamma),$$

and

$$\varphi^{(p-1)}(z) = (f^{(p-1)} * g^{(p-1)} * h^{(p-1)})(z),$$

Then $\varphi(z) \in C_p(p-1)$, provided that

$$(1-\alpha)(1-\beta)(1-\gamma) < \frac{3}{16(\ln 2 - 1)^2 + 8}.$$

For proving $\varphi(z) \in C_p(p-1)$, it is sufficient to show that

$$\zeta^{(p-1)}(z) = z\varphi^{(p)}(z) \in S_p^*(p-1).$$

By the hypotheses on $f(z), g(z)$ and $h(z)$ and Lemma 5, we obtain

$$Re\left(\frac{(f^{(p)} * g^{(p)} * h^{(p)})(z)}{p!}\right) = Re\left(\frac{\zeta^{(p)}(z) + z\zeta^{(p+1)}(z)}{p!}\right)$$

$$> 1 - 4(1-\alpha)(1-\beta)(1-\gamma),$$

and the proof is completed similarly to that of Theorem 10. If $p = 1$, then Theorem 10 and Corollary 7 were given [10].

Theorem 12. Let $f_1(z), f_2(z), \ldots, f_n(z) \in A(p)$, $\alpha_1, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n < 1$. If

$$\frac{f_1^{(p)}(z)}{p!} \in P(\alpha_1), \quad \frac{f_2^{(p)}(z)}{p!} \in P(\alpha_2), \ldots, \frac{f_n^{(p)}(z)}{p!} \in P(\alpha_n),$$

and

$$\tau^{(p-1)}(z) = (f_1^{(p-1)} * f_2^{(p-1)} * \ldots * f_n^{(p-1)})(z), \tag{32}$$

then $\tau(z) \in S^*_p(p-1)$, provided that

$$(1-\alpha_1)(1-\alpha_2)\ldots(1-\alpha_n) < \frac{3}{\{8(\ln2-1)^2+4\}\{(-4)(\ln2-1)\}^{n-2}}, \ n \geq 2. \qquad (33)$$

Proof. For proving the above Theorem, we use the principle of mathematical induction. For $n = 2$, we have proved Theorem 10; thus, (32) holds for $n = 2$. Suppose that (32) holds true for $n = k$; that is,

$$\tau^{(p-1)}(z) = (f_1^{(p-1)} * f_2^{(p-1)} * \ldots * f_k^{(p-1)})(z),$$

then $\tau(z) \in S^*p(p-1)$, provided that inequality (33) is satisfied.

We have to prove that (32) holds true for $n = k+1$. For this, consider

$$\tau^{(p-1)}(z) = \left(f_1^{(p-1)} * f_2^{(p-1)} * \ldots * f_{k+1}^{(p-1)}\right)(z).$$

Now using the given hypothesis on $f_j(z)$, j $= 1,2,\ldots,$ k and Lemma 5, we have

$$Re\left(\frac{M^{(p)}(z)}{p!} * \frac{f_{k+1}^{(p)}(z)}{p!}\right) = Re\left(\frac{\tau^{(p)}(z) + z\tau^{(p+1)}(z)}{p!}\right)$$
$$> 1 - 2(1-\alpha^*)(1-\alpha_{k+1}), \qquad (34)$$

where $M^{(p-1)}(z) = (f1^{(p-1)} * f2^{(p-1)} * \ldots * fk^{(p-1)})$ and

$$Re\frac{M^{(p)}(z)}{p!} > \alpha^*, \ \alpha^* = 1 + 4(1-\alpha_1)(1-\alpha_2)\ldots(1-\alpha_k)(\ln2-1)^{k-1}(-4)^{k-2}.$$

By using Lemma 4, from (34) we have

$$Re\frac{\tau^{(p)}(z)}{p!} > 1 + 4(1-\alpha_1)(1-\alpha_2)\ldots(1-\alpha_k)(\ln2-1)^k(-4)^{k-1}, \ z \in E, \ k \geq 2. \qquad (35)$$

Applying Lemma 4, (35) can be written as

$$Re\frac{\tau^{(p-1)}(z)}{zp!} > 1 - 8(1-\alpha^*)(1-\alpha_{k+1}).$$

Now with the same procedure used in Theroem 10, we have $\tau(z) \in S^*p(p-1)$, provided that

$$(1-\alpha_1)(1-\alpha_2)\ldots(1-\alpha_k)(1-\alpha_{k+1}) < \frac{3}{\{8(\ln2-1)^2+4\}\{(-4)(\ln2-1)\}^{k-1}}.$$

Therefore, the result is true for $n = k+1$ and hence by using mathematical induction, (32) holds true for all $n \geq 2$. This completes the proof.

REFERENCES

1. S. Owa, "On certain classes of p-valent functions with negative coefficients", *Simon Stevin.*, **1985**, *59*, 385-402.

2. J. L. Liu, "Certain convolution properties of multivalent analytic functions associated with a linear operator", *General Math.*, **2009**, *17*, 41-52.

3. J. Sokol, J. Dziok and M. K. Aouf, "On certain classes of meromorphically p-valent starlike and p-valent quasi-convex functions", *Tamkang J. Math.*, **2013**, *44*, 261-269.

4. M. Arif, "Sufficiency criteria for a class of p-valent analytic functions of complex order", *Abst. Appl. Anal.*, **2013**, doi: 10.1155/2013/517296.

5. M. Raza, M. Arif and M. Darus, "Fekete-Szego inequality for a subclass of p-valent analytic functions", *J. Appl. Math.*, **2013**, *2013*, Art.ID 127615.

6. S. Hussain, N. Anjum and I. Z. Cheema, "Certain classes of multivalent functions related with a linear operator", *Acta. Uni. Apul.*, **2011**, *28*, 367-377.

7. Z. Shareef, S. Hussain and M. Darus, "Convolution operators in the geometric functions theory", *J. Ineq. Appl.*, **2012**, doi: 10.1186/1029-242X-2012-213.

8. J. Sokol, "Classes of multivalent functions associated with a convolution operator", *Comput. Math. Appl.*, **2010**, *60*, 1343-1350.

9. R. Singh and S. Singh, "Convolution properties of a class of starlike functions", *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **1989**, *106*, 145-152.

10. A. Y. Lashin, "Some convolution properties of analytic functions", *Appl. Math. Lett.*, **2005**, *18*, 135-138.

11. L. Fejer, "Uber die positivitat von summen, die nach trigonometrischen order Legendreschen funktionen fortschreiten", *Acta Litt. Ac. Sci. Szeged*, **1925**, *2*, 75-86.

12. R. J. Libera, "Some classes of regular univalent functions", *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **1965**, *16*, 755-758.

13. L. Yi and S. Ding, "A new criterion for starlike functions", *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, **1996**, *19*, 613-614.

14. S. Ponnusamy and V. Singh, "Convolution properties of some classes of analytic functions", *J. Math. Sci.*, **1998**, *89*, 1008-1020.

15. M. S. Robertson, "An extremal problem for functions with positive real part", *Michigan Math. J.*, **1964**, *11*, 327-335.

16. W. Rogosinski and G. Szego, "Uber die abschimlte von potenzreihen die in ernein kreise be schrankt bleiben", *Math. Z.*, **1928**, *28*, 73-94.

17. S. Ozaki, "On the theory of multivalent functions", *Sci. Rep. Tokyo Bunrika Daigaku A.*, **1935**, *40*, 167-188.

18. I. S. Jack, "Functions starlike and convex of order α", *J. London Math. Soc.*, **1971**, *s2-3*, 469-474.

19. M. Nunokawa, S. Owa, T. Sekine, R. Yamakawa, H. Saitoh and J. Nishiwaki, "On certain multivalent functions", *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, **2007**, *2007*, Art. ID 72393.

20. P. N. Chichra, "New subclasses of the class of close-to-convex functions", *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **1977**, *62*, 37-43.

21. S. Ruscheweyh and T. Sheil-Small, "Hadamard products of schlicht functions and the Polya-Schoenberg conjecture", *Comm. Math. Helv.*, **1973**, *48*, 119-135.

22. S. D. Bernardi, "Convex and starlike univalent functions", *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.,* **1969**, *135*, 429-446.

23. B. C. Carlson and D. B. Shaffer, "Starlike and prestarlike hypergeometric functions", *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, **1984**, *15*, 737-745.

24. N. E. Cho, O. S. Kwon and H. M. Srivastava, "Inclusion relationships and argument properties for certain subclasses of multivalent functions associated with a family of linear operators", *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **2004**, *292*, 470-483.

25. J. Dziok and H. M. Srivastava, "Classes of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function", *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **1999**, *103*, 1-13.

26. J. L. Liu and H. M. Srivastava, "A linear operator and associated families of meromorphically multivalent functions", *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **2001**, *259*, 566-581.

27. K. I. Noor, "Some classes of p-valent analytic functions defined by certain integral operator", *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **2004**, *157*, 835-840.

28. K. I. Noor, "On new classes of integral operators", *J. Natur. Geom.*, **1999**, *16*, 71-80.

29. K. I. Noor and M. A. Noor, "On integral operators", *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **1999**, *238*, 341-352.

30. J. Sokol, K. I. Noor and H. M. Srivastava, "A family of convolution operators for multivalent analytic functions", *Eur. J. Pure Appl. Math.*, **2012**, *5*, 469-479.

31. D. J. Hallenbeck and S. Ruscheweyh, "Subordination by convex functions", *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **1975**, *52*, 191-195.