

ไอดีลเฉพาะของเนียร์เลฟท์ออลโมซทริง Prime Ideals of Near Left Almost Rings

ธิตี เกตุคำ

คณะวิทยาศาสตร์ มหาวิทยาลัยพะเยา จ.พะเยา 56000

Email: newtonisaac41@yahoo.com

บทคัดย่อ

ในงานวิจัยชิ้นนี้เป็นการขยายงานวิจัยของ T. Shah, F. Rehman and M. Raees ซึ่งเราได้นิยามและศึกษาคุณสมบัติของไอดีลเฉพาะ และไอดีลใหญ่สุดของเอ็นแอลเอริง ถ้า M เป็นไอดีลใหญ่สุดเฉพาะของ N ก็ต่อเมื่อ N/M เป็นเอ็นแอลโมฟีลด์ และ P เป็นไอดีลเฉพาะของ N ก็ต่อเมื่อ N/P เป็นแอลเออินกรัลโดเมน

คำสำคัญ เอ็นแอลเอริง ไอดีลเฉพาะ ไอดีลใหญ่สุด

Abstract

This study aimed to expand some of the results of Shah, Rehman and Raees (2011). It defined and investigated the properties of prime ideals and maximal ideal of an nLA-ring. Moreover, M is a maximal ideal if and only if N/M is an n-almost field and P is a prime ideal of N if and only if N/P is an LA-integral domain.

Keywords: nLA-ring: Prime ideals: Maximal ideal

1. Introduction

Kazim and Naseeruddin [1] have introduced a pseudo associate law or left invertive law in a groupoid G by

$$(ab)c = (cb)a \quad \text{for all } a, b, c \in G$$

and have named it as left invertive law, and called the groupoid a left almost semigroup (abbreviated as an LA-semigroup) if it satisfies left invertive law. The groupoid G is also called an Abel-Grassmann's groupoids (abbreviated as an AG-groupoids), see [1] or [2]. It is a nonassociative algebraic structure midway between a groupoid and a commutative semigroup.

Kazim and Naseeruddin [1] asserted that, in every LA-semigroups G a medial law hold

$$(ab)(cd) = (ac)(bd) \text{ for all } a, b, c, d \in G.$$

Mushtab and Khan [3] asserted that, in every LA-semigroups G with left identity

$$(ab)(cd) = (db)(ca) \text{ for all } a, b, c, d \in G.$$

Further Khan, Faisal, and Amjid [4], asserted that, if a LA-semigroup G with left identity the following law holds

$$a(bc) = b(ac) \text{ for all } a, b, c \in G.$$

Sarwar (Kamran) [4] defined LA-group as the following; a groupoid G is called a left almost group, abbreviated as LA-group, if

(1) there exists $e \in G$ such that $ea = a$ for all $a \in G$,

(2) for every $a \in G$ there exists $a^{-1} \in G$ such that, $a^{-1}a = e$,

$$(3) (ab)c = (cb)a \text{ for all } a, b, c \in G.$$

Yusuf [5] introduces the concept of a left almost ring (LA-ring). That is, a non-empty set R with two binary operations “+” and “•” is called a left almost ring, if $(R, +)$ is an LA-group, (R, \cdot) is an LA-semigroup and distributive laws of “•” over “+” holds. Shah and Rehman [6] asserted that a commu-

tative ring $(R, +, \cdot)$ we can always obtain an LA-ring (R, \oplus, \cdot) by defining, for $a, b \in R$, $a \oplus b = b - a$ and ab is same as in the ring. We cannot assume the addition to be commutative in an LA-ring.

An LA-ring $(R, +, \cdot)$ is said to be LA-integral domain if $ab = 0$ for all $a, b \in R$ then $a = 0$ or $b = 0$.

Let $(R, +, \cdot)$ be an LA-ring and S be a non-empty subset of R and S is itself an LA-ring under the binary operation induced by R , the S is called an LA-subring of R , then S is called an LA-subring of $(R, +, \cdot)$. If S is an LA-subring of an LA-ring $(R, +, \cdot)$ then S is called a left ideal of R if $RS \subseteq S$. Right and two-sided ideals are defined in the usual manner.

By [7] a near-ring is a non-empty set N together with two binary operations "+" and "*" such that $(N, +)$ is a group (not necessarily abelian), $(N, +)$ is a semigroup and one sided distributive (left or right) of "*" over "+" holds.

By [8] An ideal P of a near ring N is said to be prime if $IJ \subseteq P$ then $I \subseteq P$ or $J \subseteq P$ for all I, J ideal of N . Let N be a near-ring, K an ideal of N . Let $N/K = \{K + n | n \in N\}$ be the set of cosets of K in N . Then $(N/K, +, \cdot)$ is called the quotient near-ring of N by or over K , where "+" and "*" are defined by $(K + n_1) + (K + n_2) = K + n_1 + n_2$ and $(K + n_1) \cdot (K + n_2) = K + n_1 n_2$ for all $n_1, n_2 \in N$.

2 Near Left Almost Rings

T. Shah, F. Rehman and M. Raees [8] introduces the concept of a near left almost ring (nLA-ring).

Definition 2.1. [9]. A non-empty set N with two binary operation "+" and "*" is called a near left almost ring (or simply an nLA-ring) if and only if

- (1) $(N, +)$ is an LA-group.
- (2) (N, \cdot) is an LA-semigroup.
- (3) Left distributive property of \cdot over $+$ holds,

that is $a(b+c) = ab+ac$ for all $a, b, c \in N$.

Proposition 2.2 [9]. If $(N, +, \cdot)$ is an nLA-ring with additive left identity 0, then for all $a, b \in N$ we have.

- (1) $0a = 0$.
- (2) $a(-b) = -ab$.

Definition 2.3. [9]. An nLA-ring $(N, +, \cdot)$ with left identity 1; such that $1a = a$ for all $a \in N$, is called an nLA-ring with left identity.

Definition 2.4. [9]. A nonempty subset S of an nLA-ring N is said to be an nLA-subring if and only if S is itself an nLA-ring under the same binary operations as in N .

Definition 2.5. [9]. An nLA-subring I of an nLA-ring N is called a left ideal of N if $NI \subseteq I$, and I is called a right ideal if for all $n, m \in N$ and $i \in I$ such that $(i + n)m - nm \in I$; and is called two sided ideal or simply ideal if it is both left and right ideal.

Definition 2.6 [9]. Let N be an nLA-ring and I be an ideal of N . Let $N/I = \{[n] = I + n | n \in N\}$. Then $(N/I, +, \cdot)$ is called the quotient nLA-ring if $(I + n) + (I + m) = I + n + m$ and $(I + n) \cdot (I + m) = I + nm$ where $I + n, I + m \in N/I$.

Definition 2.7 [9]. Let $(N, +, \cdot)$ be an nLA-ring.

(a) An element $a \in N$ is called left (right) cancellative if $ab = ac$, then $b = c$ ($ba = ca$ then $b = c$) where $a, b, c \in N$, and a is called cancellative if it is both left and right cancellative. However the nLA-ring N is called cancellative if each element in N is cancellative.

(b) A non-zero element a of N is called a left zero divisor if there exists $0 \neq b \in N$ such that $ab = 0$. Similarly a is a right zero divisor if $ba = 0$. If a is both a left and a right zero divisor, then a is called a zero divisor.

Definition 2.8 [9]. An nLA-ring $(D, +, \cdot)$ with left identity 1, is called an nLA-integral domain if it has no left zero divisor.

The theorem following we will proof right cancellation law hold in an nLA-integral domain by proof analogous as in [10].

Theorem 2.9. The right cancellation law holds in an nLA-ring N , then N is an nLA-integral domain.

Proof. Let N is an nLA-ring with right cancellation and suppose that $ab = 0$ for some $a, b \in N$.

To show that $a = 0$. For $b \neq 0$. Consider

$$ab = 0$$

$$ab = 0b, \quad \text{by Proposition 2.2 (1)}$$

$$a = 0, \quad \text{by right cancellation law.}$$

Then N is an nLA-ring integral domain.

Definition 2.10 [9]. An nLA-ring $(F, +, \cdot)$ with left identity 1, is called a near almost field (n-almost field) if and only if each non-zero element of F has inverse under "X".

Theorem 2.11 [9]. Every finite nLA-integral domain is an n-almost field.

Definition 2.12 [9] Let $(N, +, \cdot)$ be an nLA-ring with left identity 1. An element $0 \neq n \in N$ is called unit if there exists $m \in N$ such that $mn = nm = 1$.

Next we study properties of unit of nLA-ring with proved same in [12].

Lemma 2.13 If N is an n-LARing with unity and I is an ideal of N . Then $I = N$ if and only if N contains a unit.

Proof. If $I = N$ then $1_N \in N$, and so N contains a unit.

Conversely, let $u \in I$ where u be a unit in N , then $u^{-1} \in N$. Thus $u^{-1}u = 1_N \in N$. Since I is an ideal we have for all $n \in N$, $n = n1_N \in N$ implies $N \subseteq I$. Thus $I = N$.

3. Maximal and Prime ideal of Near Left Almost Rings

Next we defines of a maximal and prime ideal in nLA-ring is defines the same as a maximal and prime ideal in near-ring in [7].

Definition 3.1. An ideal $M \neq N$ in nLA-ring N is called a maximal ideal of N if wherever I is an ideal of N such that $M \subseteq I \subseteq N$ then either $N = I$ or $M = I$.

Definition 3.2 An ideal P of a nLA-ring N is said to be prime if $IJ \subseteq P$ then $I \subseteq P$ or $J \subseteq P$ for all I, J ideal of N .

The following theorems with proved is analogous as in [12].

Theorem 3.3. Let N be an nLA-ring with left identity and let M be an ideal of N . Then M is a maximal ideal if and only if N/M is an n-almost field.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Suppose that M is a maximal ideal in N implies $M \neq N$. Let $(M + a) \in N/M$ with $a \notin M$ so that $M + a$ is not additive identity of N/M . We must show that $M + a$ has a multiplication inverse in N/M . Let

$$I = \{m + na | n \in N, m \in M\}.$$

Then I is an ideal of N , since

$$(m_1 + n_1a) + (m_2 + n_2a) = (m_1 + m_2) + (n_1 + n_2)a \in I,$$

while also,

$$0 = 0 + 0a \text{ and } -(m + na) = (-m) + (-n)a \text{ are in } I.$$

Now

$$n_1(m + na) = n_1m + (n_1n)a,$$

show that $n_1(m + na) \in I$ for $n_1 \in N$ also. Thus I is an ideal.

For $m \in M$, $m = m + 0a \in I$, show that $M \subseteq I$ and $a = 0 + 1a$ show that $a \in I$. But from above $a \notin M$. Hence I is an ideal of N property containing M , ($M \subseteq I$). Since M is a maximal, we must have $I = N$. In particular, $1 \in I$. Then by definition of I there is $b \in N$ and $m \in M$ such that $1 = m + ba$. Therefore

$$M + 1 = M + (m + ba) = (M + b)(M + a)$$

so $M + b$ is a multiplication inverse of $M + a$.

(\Leftarrow) Suppose that N/M is an n-almost field. Then $M + 1_N \neq M + 0$ implies that $1_N \notin N$ and so $M \neq N$. If I is ideal such that

$M \subseteq I$, let $a \in I - M$. Then $M + a$ has a multiplication inverse in N/M say $(M + a)(M + b) = M + 1_N$. Consequently

$M + ab = M + 1_N$ and $ab - 1_N = m \in M$, implies $1_N = ab - m \in I$ because $a \in I$, $m \in M \subseteq I$. That is $1_N \in I$ so $I = N$,

by Lemma 2.13.

Theorem 3.4. Let N be an nLA-ring with left identity. Then P is a prime ideal of N if and only if N/P is an LA-ring integral domain.

Proof. Assume that P is a prime ideal of N and $P + a, P + b \in N/P$. Then $(P + a)(P + b) = P$ for all $a, b \in N$. Thus $ab \in P$.

Since P is a prime we have $a \in P$ or $b \in P$. Then $P + a = P$ or $P + b = P$. Hence N/P is an nLA-integral domain.

Conversely, assume that N/P is an nLA-integral domain with $ab \in P$ for all $a, b \in N$. Then $P + ab = P$ so

$(P + a)(P + b) = P$. Since N/P is an nLA-integral domain we have $P + a = P$ or $P + b = P$. Then $a \in P$ or $b \in P$. Thus P is a prime ideal of N .

Corollary 3.5. Let N be an nLA-ring with left identity and if N is a finite, then every prime ideal is maximal ideal.

Proof Let P be a prime ideal of N and if N be a finite. Then by Theorem 3.4 N/P is an nLA-ring integral domain and by Theorem 3.3, N/P is an n-almost field. Thus P is a maximal ideal of N .

Acknowledgements. The authors are very grateful to the anonymous referee for stimulating comments and improving presentation of the paper.

References

- [1] Kazim M.A. and Naseeruddin MD. 1977. "On almostsemigroup." **Portugaliae Mathematica**; 36, pp. 41- 47.
- [2] Khan M., Faisal, and Amjid V. 2010. "On some classes of Abel-Grassmann's groupoids." **arXiv:1010.59 65v2 [math.GR]**2; pp. 1-6.
- [3] Mushtab B. and Khan M. 2009. "M-System in LA-semi-groups," **Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics**; 33, pp. 321- 327

- [4] Sarwar (Kamran) M. 1993. **Conditions for LA-semi-group to resemble associative structures**. PhD thesis, Buaid-i-Azam University.
- [5] Yusuf S.M. 2006. "On Left Almost Ring," **Proc. of 7th International Pure Math**. Conference.
- [6] Shah T. and Rehman I. 2010. "On LA-rings of finitely nonzero function." **Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sciences**, 5, pp.209-222.
- [7] Pilz G. 1997. **Near-rings**: Noth Holland, Amesterdam-New York.
- [8] Kon Bae C. 1988. "Prime near-ring" **Comm. Korean Math. Soc**; 2, 3, pp.125-131.
- [9] Shah T., Rehman F. and Raees M. 2011. "On Near Left Alomst Rings." **International Mathematical Forum**; 6, pp.1103-1111
- [10] Abbasi. S.J. and Kahhkashan.I. 2007. On near-integral domain: **Journal of Engineering and Scineces**; 1, pp.99-100.
- [11] Abbasi. S.J. and Zehra Rizvi. A. 2008. "Study of prime ideals in near-ring." **Journal of Engineering and Sciences**; 2, .pp.65-66.
- [12] Wanicharpichat W. 2010. **Abstract Algebra I & II**; Phitsanulok, Department Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Naresuan University.