Selection of Appropriate Species of Plants for Indoor Vertical Garden

Phonpho S.¹ and Saetiew K.

Department of Plant Production Technology, Faculty of Agricultural Technology, King Mongkut's Institute of Technology Ladkrabang, Chalongkrung Rd. Bangkok 10520 Thailand.

Phonpho S. and Saetiew K. (2017). Selection of Appropriate Species of Plants for Indoor Vertical Garden. International Journal of Agricultural Technology 13(1): 119-129.

Thirty-two species of ornamental plants, Asplenium thunbergii, Alocasia sanderiana, Anthurium crystallinum, Anthurium andraeanum, Aeschynanthus radicans, Chlorophytum bichetii, Cercestis mirabilis, Caladium lindenii, Calathea makoyana, Dracaena surculosa, Echinodosus cordifolius, Geogenanthus undatus, Monstera obliqua, Monstera delicosa, Monstera karsteniana, Neoregelia carolinae, Nephrolepsis biserrata, Nephrolepis exaltata, Ophiopogon jaburan, Ophiopgon japonicas, Philodendron erubescens, Phyllanthus myrtifolius, Philodendron moonlight, Philodendron cordatum, Philodendron moonshine, Philodendron Imperial Red, Peperomia caperata, Pseudorhipsalis ramulosa, Scindapsus pictus, Selaginella wallichii, Syngonium podophyllum and Syngonium podophyllum, were planted in vertical garden. They were gathering data; survival rates, growth rates, beautifulness rates and light intensity. Analysis data by calculated the percentages of survival rates, average growth rates, average beautifulness rates and light intensity. The duration of experiment was 8 months. The result showed that 12 species of ornamental plants were most appropriated to vertical garden. The selected plants was 80-100% of survival rate, the score of growth rates and beautifulness rates more than 4 scores. They were Caladium lindenii, Philodendron moonlight, Syngonium podophyllum, Alocasia sanderiana, Philodendron erubescens, Scindapsus pictus, Cercestis mirabilis, Anthurium crystallinum, Dracaena surculosa, Monstera delicosa, Philodendron cordatum and Monstera karsteniana. Light intensity level that appropriate for growth rates was 1600 LUX.

Keywords: ornamental plant, vertical garden

Introduction

At present the vertical garden has attacked attention to people from many country, include Thailand, due to environmental awareness that urge people try to live in green place but the situation wasn't suitable to this because most urban people live in small area such as condominium or commercial building (Phasini, 2013). The idea of vertical garden was created by Patrick Blanc, French botanist who tried to make relationship between building and plant that would make beautifulness and shadow for building (Pasinee, 2014). There weren't abundant studying about vertical

¹ **Corresponding Author:** Phonpho S. **E-mail:** kpsarayu@gmail.com.

garden in Thailand which concern about how to select the ornamental plant that suit with the type of planting and environment for longer living, beautifulness and easy for look after. Beside this the intensity of light was very important for vertical garden. If the intensity of light not enough for plant, we have to enhance for it. The automatic irrigation systems or hydroponic which use together for apply fertilizer have to suitable for vertical garden in type of felt system. The purpose of this study was to find out the plants which appropriate to culture in vertical garden inside building and by using hydroponic nutrient solution in field system, which easy to look after and longer living.

Objective

To selected the appropriated ornamental plants for culture in vertical garden by using hydroponic nutrient solution in field system.

Methodology

Equipment

- 1. The structure of vertical building was made from iron which adheres to the wall of building and left the distance about 10cm.
- 2. The curtain was made by PVC and knitted to be plate (similar to the sack of fertilizer) and dovetailed with sponge (for keeping the moisture) and stitched to be squares in the size of 10cm x 12cm. Then slit the holes likely the pockets. The size of plate was 3m x 3.5m.
- 3. Nutrient solution's tank.
- 4. The media for planting was spathe of coconut, coir, chaff and ricehusk ash.
- 5. The formula of salad's hydroponic fertilizer
- 6. The measurement equipment
 - Digital Lux Meter (Lx1010BS)
 - EC Meter (Bluelab, EC 0.2-3.6)
 - pH adjustment solution
 - Camera (Cannon 60D)
 - Notepaper
- 7. Kind of tree

The plants were 32 species that included 5 properties as beautiful shape, beautiful color, and endurance, small and have abilities to growth indoor faintly. There were Asplenium thunbergii, Alocasia sanderiana, Anthurium crystallinum, Anthurium andraeanum, Aeschynanthus radicans, Chlorophytum bichetii, Cercestis mirabilis, Caladium lindenii, Calathea makoyana, Dracaena surculosa, Echinodosus cordifolius, Geogenanthus undatus. Monstera obliqua, Monstera delicosa, Monstera karsteniana, Neoregelia carolinae, Nephrolepsis biserrata, Nephrolepis exaltata, Ophiopogon jaburan, Ophiopgon japonicas, Philodendron erubescens, Phyllanthus myrtifolius, Philodendron moonlight, Philodendron cordatum, Philodendron moonshine, Philodendron Imperial, Peperomia caperata, Pseudorhipsalis ramulosa, Scindapsus pictus, Selaginella wallichii, Syngonium podophyllum and Syngonium podophyllum.

Method

- 1. Prepared all 32 species of ornamental plants
- 2. Transfer the plant for growing in planting pocket which contain with the media and arranged suit with the planning.
- 3. Prepared 4 LED spot light with 50W, were set on the ceiling far from plant 1.5m. The timer was arranged for turn on spot light from 7am-6.30pm which turn on 1 hour for 8 times per day and during each time had to stop 30 minutes.
- 4. The data collection was done 16 times for 8 months. The data collection was 1) The intensity of light 2) Survival rate 3) Growing rate 4) Beautiful rate
 - 4.1 The light intensity measurement had divided the plate into 12 points and collected data every month and measured 3 times as 9am, 12.00pm and 4pm.
 - 4.2 Survival rate was calculated by

Survival rate (%) =
$$\frac{\text{Number of survival plants x 100}}{\text{Total of plants}}$$

- 4.3 Growing rates were rating by 5 people every month, by use index of Pichsinee, 2013:
 - 0 No growth (died)
 - 1 Low growth
 - 2 Rather low growth
 - 3 Fairly growth
 - 4 Good growth
 - 5 Excellent growth
- 4.4 Beautiful rate was rating by 5 people every month, by use index of Pichsinee, 2013:
 - 0 No beautifulness (died)
 - 1 Low beautifulness
 - 2 Rather low beautifulness
 - 3 Fairly beautifulness

- 4 Good beautifulness
- 5 Excellent beautifulness
- 5. The analysis of data
 - 5.1 Calculated the percentage survival rates
 - 5.2 Calculated averages of growth rate
 - 5.3 Calculated average of beautiful score
 - 5.4 The averages of light intensity 12 points which divided into 3 periods which was morning (9am), afternoon (12.00pm) and evening (4pm).

Results

Light intensity

For the light intensity, the result showed that all 12 points of light intensity showed the different result. Point 1, 2 and 3 got 1,600 LUX, point 4, 5 and 6 got 1,350LUX, point 7, 8 and 9 got 1,250LUX and point 10, 11 and 12 got 1,000LUX (Fig.1).

Point 1	Point 2	Point 3	200 n n 1
Point 4	Point 5	Point 6	จุดที่ 4 จุดที่ 5 จุดที่ 6
Point 7	Point 8	Point 9	ร _{อุคที่} 7 อุคที่ 8
Point 10	Point 11	Point 12	อุดที่ 10 อุดที่ 11 อุดที่ 12
	Model		

Fig.1 Points of light intensity

Survival rates

For survival rates showed that all species gave different survival rates as 100%, 60%, 40%, 20% and 0% or died. There were 12 species that had survival rates percentages as 100%, they were *Monstera delicosa*, *Scindapsus pictus*, *Philodendron cordatum*, *Anthurium crystallinum*,

Dracaena surculosa, Philodendron erubescens, Monstera karsteniana, Alocasia sanderiana, Ophiopogon jaburan, Ophiopgon japonicas, Syngonium podophyllum and Cercestis mirabilis.

Three species gave survival rates percentages as 80%, there were *Caladium lindenii*, *Syngonium podophyllum* and *Philodendron moonlight*, while *Monstera oblique*, *Philodendron moonshine*, *Pseudorhipsalis ramulosa* and *Philodendron Imperial* gave survival rates as 60%. *Nephrolepsis biserrata* was the species which gave survival rates as 40%. Three species were gave survival rates as 20%, there were *Neoregelia carolinae*, *Asplenium thunbergii* and *Anthurium andraeanum*. Finally, there were 9 species which not appropriate for this garden that they all died or gave survival rates as 0%, there were *Selaginella wallichii*, *Geogenanthus undatus*, *Peperomia caperata*, *Echinodosus cordifolius*, *Phyllanthus myrtifolius*, *Chlorophytum bichetii*, *Nephrolepis exaltata*, *Aeschynanthus radicans* and *Calathea makoyana*.

Growth rates

The result of growth rates showed different scores. Six species gave 5 scores of growth rates, there were *Philodendron erubescens*, *Scindapsus pictus*, *Anthurium crystallinum*, *Monstera delicosa*, *Philodendron cordatum* and *Monstera karsteniana*, while 8 species gave four scores, *Cercestis mirabilis*, *Caladium lindenii*, *Philodendron moonlight*, *Philodendron moonshine*, *Philodendron Imperial*, *Syngonium podophyllum*, *Alocasia sanderiana* and *Dracaena surculosa*.

The species gave 3 scores of growth rates had 5 species, there were Monstera oblique, Pseudorhipsalis ramulosa, Asplenium thunbergii, Nephrolepsis biserrata and Neoregelia carolinae. Four species got 2 scores; they were Anthurium andraeanum, Ophiopogon jaburan, Ophiopgon japonicas and Syngonium podophyllum.

Unfortunately, 9 of 32 species got 1 or 0 scores that's mean they couldn't survive in this garden; they were *Echinodosus cordifolius*, *Phyllanthus myrtifolius*, *Chlorophytum bichetii*, *Nephrolepis exaltata*, *Aeschynanthus radicans*, *Selaginella wallichii*, *Selaginella wallichii*, *Geogenanthus undatus* and *Peperomia caperata* (Tabel 1).

Beautifulness rates

After collected the data, all species gave beautifulness differently and got different score levels. Five species got full scores which was 5 scores, they were *Philodendron erubescens*, *Scindapsus pictus*, *Philodendron moonlight*, *Philodendron cordatum* and *Monstera karsteniana*, while another 10 species got 4 scores, *Alocasia sanderiana*, *Cercestis mirabilis*, *Anthurium crystallinum*, *Dracaena surculosa*, *Monstera delicosa*, Caladium lindenii, Philodendron moonshine, Philodendron Imperial, Nephrolepsis biserrata and Syngonium podophyllum.

Three scores was belong to 5 species of plants, there were Asplenium thunbergii, Monstera oblique, Neoregelia carolinae, Pseudorhipsalis ramulosa and Syngonium podophyllum while 4 species got 2 scores, there were Anthurium andraeanum, Ophiopogon jaburan, Ophiopgon japonicas and Syngonium podophyllum. And 9 species had died; they were Echinodosus cordifolius, Phyllanthus myrtifolius, Chlorophytum bichetii, Nephrolepis exaltata, Aeschynanthus radicans, Selaginella wallichii, Peperomia caperata, Geogenanthus undatus and Calathea makoyana (Table 2).

		Growth rates								
No.	Plant species	1st mo nth	2nd mon ths	3rd mon ths	4th mon ths	5th mon ths	6th mon ths	7th mon ths	8th mon ths	Aven age
1	Asplenium thunbergii	4.8 0	4.40	4.10	3.70	3.00	2.30	2.10	1.20	3.20
2	Alocasia sanderiana	4.8 0	4.50	4.70	4.80	4.80	3.80	5.00	4.40	4.60
3	Monstera obliqua	4.5 0	4.25	3.53	3.60	3.70	3.30	3.62	3.00	3.69
4	Philodendron erubescens	5.0 0	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
5	Echinodosus cordifolius	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$	0.00	4.05	4.40	2.90	3.90	died	died	died
5	Phyllanthus myrtifolius	died	died	died	died	died	died	died	died	died
7	Chlorophytum bichetii	died	died	died	died	died	died	died	died	died
8	Scindapsus pictus	5.0 0	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Ð	Cercestis mirabilis	4.6 0	4.30	4.50	4.30	3.50	3.90	5.00	4.20	4.29
10	Anthurium crystallinum	5.0 0	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
11	Dracaena surculosa	4.1 0	4.60	4.90	4.65	4.40	5.00	5.00	4.20	4.61
12	Monstera delicosa	5.0 0	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
13	Caladium lindenii	4.9 0	4.70	4.80	4.90	4.70	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.88
14	Philodendron moonlight	4.9 2	5.00	4.65	4.90	4.80	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.91
15	Philodendron cordatum	5.0 0	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00

Table 1. Showed growth rates of 12 species plant

1 abi										
16	Philodendron moonshine	5.0 0	4.20	4.70	5.00	4.80	5.00	4.30	4.20	4.65
17	Philodendron Imperial	4.6 0	5.00	4.90	4.80	5.00	4.40	4.30	4.00	4.63
18	Neoregelia carolinae	3.7 0	4.20	4.80	4.00	4.00	1.80	2.90	1.90	3.41
19	Anthurium andraeanum	3.8 0	3.70	3.90	4.20	3.30	1.50	2.00	1.00	2.93
20	Nephrolepsis biserrata	2.9 0	3.20	4.00	3.80	5.00	4.20	3.20	3.90	3.78
21	Nephrolepis exaltata	died	died	died	died	died	died	died	died	died
22	Aeschynanthus radicans	died	died	died	died	died	died	died	died	died
23	Selaginella wallichii	1.9 0	2.00	3.90	3.00	3.00	1.50	1.50	died	died
24	Peperomia caperata	died	died	died	died	died	died	died	died	died
25	Ophiopogon jaburan	3.5 0	3.20	2.90	3.20	3.00	2.10	2.00	1.90	2.73
26	Ophiopgon japonicas	3.0 0	2.00	2.56	2.22	2.30	2.00	1.50	1.20	2.10
27	Geogenanthus undatus	5.0 0	4.50	4.90	4.50	3.50	1.50	1.50	died	died
28	Pseudorhipsalis ramulosa	3.2 0	3.60	4.20	3.90	4.10	5.00	4.00	2.80	3.85
29	Syngonium podophyllum	5.0 0	4.80	4.90	4.45	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.90	4.88
30	Calathea makoyana	died	died	died	died	died	died	died	died	died
31	Monstera karsteniana	5.0 0	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
32	Syngonium podophyllum	4.9 0	4.30	3.40	3.10	2.70	1.30	1.50	1.20	2.80

Table 1(Continued)

	Plant species	$\begin{array}{c c} & \text{Beauty rates} \\ \hline 1^{\text{st}} & 2^{\text{nd}} & 3^{\text{rd}} & 4^{\text{th}} & 5^{\text{th}} & 6^{\text{th}} & 7^{\text{th}} & 8^{\text{th}} \\ \hline \end{array}$								-
No.		1 st	2 nd	3 rd	•	•	6 th	7 th	8 th	Aver age
		mo nth	mon ths	mon ths	mon ths	mon ths	mon ths	mon ths	mon ths	
1	Asplenium thunbergii	4.70	4.10	3.80	3.50	3.10	2.30	2.90	1.70	3.26
2	Alocasia sanderiana	4.90	4.70	4.60	4.30	4.50	2.40	5.00	4.90	4.41
3	Monstera obliqua	4.40	3.50	3.90	3.60	4.20	2.80	1.90	1.50	3.23
4	Philodendron erubescens	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
5	Echinodosus cordifolius	0.00	0.00	4.80	4.00	2.10	1.70	1.70	died	died
6	Phyllanthus myrtifolius	died	died	died	died	died	died	died	died	died
7	Chlorophytum bichetii	died	died	died	died	died	died	died	died	died
8	Scindapsus pictus	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
9	Cercestis mirabilis	4.40	4.80	3.50	4.70	3.70	3.80	5.00	4.90	4.35
10	Anthurium crystallinum	5.00	4.80	4.80	5.00	4.90	4.90	5.00	5.00	4.93
11	Dracaena surculosa	4.50	4.70	4.80	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.88
12	Monstera delicosa	4.80	4.90	4.80	5.00	4.70	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.90
13	Caladium lindenii	4.80	4.70	4.80	4.70	4.60	4.60	5.00	4.80	4.75
14	Philodendron moonlight	0.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.90	4.36
15	Philodendron cordatum	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
16	Philodendron moonshine	5.00	4.50	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.80	5.00	4.20	4.81
17	Philodendron Imperial	0.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.90	4.40	5.00	4.40	4.21
18	Neoregelia carolinae	4.20	4.40	4.70	5.00	4.50	3.40	2.40	3.30	3.99
19	Anthurium andraeanum	4.60	4.10	3.70	5.00	2.60	1.50	1.80	1.00	3.04
20	Nephrolepsis biserrata	3.20	3.20	4.00	4.00	4.70	1.90	5.00	5.00	3.88
21	Nephrolepis exaltata	died	died	died	died	died	died	died	died	died
22	Aeschynanthus radicans	died	died	died	died	died	died	died	died	died
23	Selaginella wallichii	2.50	2.40	3.20	3.20	2.80	1.40	1.60	died	died

 Table 2. Showed beauty rates of 12 species plants

Table 2	2(Continued)
---------	--------------

		Beauty rates								
No.	Plant species	1^{st}	2^{nd}	3 rd	4 th	5 th	6 th	7^{th}	8 th	Aver
1100		mo mth	mon	mon	mon	mon	mon	mon	mon	age
		nth	ths	ths	ths	ths	ths	ths	ths	
24	Peperomia caperata	died	died	died	died	died	died	died	died	died
25	Ophiopogon jaburan	3.10	3.00	2.80	2.80	2.10	1.70	2.50	1.70	2.46
26	Ophiopgon japonicas	2.50	1.90	2.10	2.10	2.50	1.70	1.90	1.30	2.00
27	Geogenanthus undatus	5.00	4.90	4.80	3.80	2.80	1.90	2.00	died	died
28	Pseudorhipsali s ramulosa	3.30	3.70	4.00	4.10	3.60	3.20	3.30	2.20	3.43
29	Syngonium podophyllum	4.70	4.70	4.70	4.90	5.00	4.80	5.00	5.00	4.85
30	Calathea makoyana	died	died	died	died	died	died	died	died	died
31	Monstera karsteniana	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
32	Syngonium podophyllum	5.00	4.30	3.30	3.20	2.90	1.50	1.40	1.50	2.89

Discussion

All plant species gave different results where the species that passed the criterion had been gave survival rates more than 80% and the beautifulness rates and growth rates must be more than 4 scores. Three species got 80% of survival rates which were *Caladium lindenii*, *Syngonium podophyllum* and *Philodendron moonlight*, while 12 species gave survival rates 100%, they were *Monstera delicosa*, *Scindapsus pictus*, *Philodendron cordatum*, *Anthurium crystallinum*, *Dracaena surculosa*, *Philodendron erubescens*, *Monstera karsteniana*, *Alocasia sanderiana*, *Ophiopogon jaburan*, *Ophiopgon japonicas*, *Syngonium podophyllum* and *Cercestis mirabilis*.

Growth rates showed that 8 species of plant got 4 scores of growth rates, there were *Cercestis mirabilis*, *Caladium lindenii*, *Philodendron moonlight*, *Philodendron moonshine*, *Philodendron Imperial*, *Syngonium podophyllum*, *Alocasia sanderiana* and *Dracaena surculosa*, while 6 species of plants got 5 scores, they were *Philodendron erubescens*, *Scindapsus pictus*, *Anthurium crystallinum*, *Monstera delicosa*, *Philodendron cordatum* and *Monstera karsteniana*.

Ten species of plants gave 4 scores of beautifulness rates, there were Alocasia sanderiana, Cercestis mirabilis, Anthurium crystallinum, Dracaena surculosa, Monstera delicosa, Caladium lindenii, Philodendron moonshine, Philodendron Imperial, Nephrolepsis biserrata and Syngonium podophyllum, while 5 species got full scores which was 5 scores, they were Philodendron erubescens, Scindapsus pictus, Philodendron moonlight, Philodendron cordatum and Monstera karsteniana.

It can concluded that there were 12 species of plant which passed the criterion, 80% survival rates and 4 scores both growth and beautifulness rates. They were *Caladium lindenii*, *Philodendron moonlight*, *Syngonium podophyllum*, *Alocasia sanderiana*, *Philodendron erubescens*, *Scindapsus pictus*, *Cercestis mirabilis*, *Anthurium crystallinum*, *Dracaena surculosa*, *Monstera delicosa*, *Philodendron cordatum* and *Monstera karsteniana*.

This result indicated that light intensity was the main factor which influence for many plants which grown in vertical garden. Different species of plant required different light intensity; some species required directed light such as Rosa sp. and Helianthus annuus, but some species required dimly light or didn't required directed light (Joanna, 2009). Mostly, indoor plant had fragile leaf that couldn't endure to high intensity light, and they would grow better in dimly light with high moisture with calm wind (Xenia. 2009). However all plants which used in this study were all indoor plants but they were required different level of light intensity and all 12 points got different level of light intensity during 500LUX – 2,000LUX. Five species was suitable for growth on 1,7000LUX – 2,000LUX which were Monstera delicosa, Scindapsus pictus, Anthurium crystallinum, Caladium lindenii and Philodendron erubescens. Another way, vertical garden had depend on the botany of plant where some species required low light intensity which was 500LUX had 5 species, there were Dracaena surculosa, Philodendron erubescens, Monstera karsteniana, Cercestis mirabilis and Syngonium podophyllum. Nutrient solution and EC value was also important for plants. The EC value was suitable with ornamental plant was 0.8-3.0mS/cm (Developmental Club of Ornamental Plant, 2004). In the first month the EC value was 1.2mS/cm which most appropriated for Selaginella wallichii, Ophiopogon jaburan and Ophiopgon japonicas but it was not suitable all species. Selaginella wallichii had withered and blight leaf whole trunk while Ophiopogon jaburan and Ophiopgon japonicas had withered on tip, so had to reduce EC value as 0.8 mS/cm for reduce the blight leaf and withered leaf of some species.

Beside this, there were fraction of media blocked the tube for distributed nutrient that made the plant got nutrient deficiency and became withered also.

Conclusion

Twelve species of indoor ornamental plant which growing in vertical garden in different light intensity between 500LUX-2,000LUX and the concentration of solution was 0.8mS/cm, would screened species which gave survival rates more than 80%, beautifulness and growth rates more

than 4 scores. They were Caladium lindenii, Philodendron moonlight, Syngonium podophyllum, Alocasia sanderiana, Philodendron erubescens, Scindapsus pictus, Cercestis mirabilis, Anthurium crystallinum, Dracaena surculosa, Monstera delicosa, Philodendron cordatum and Monstera karsteniana.

Suggestion

Light intensity and concentration of nutrient solution are very important for plants. In the next study we suggest that should study about the light intensity and concentration of nutrient solution for each species.

References

- Community Development Flowers. (2004). Guide Ornamental Outdoor. Bangkok. United Club Books.
- Joanna. K. (2009). Indoor Plant&Frowers. Hong Kong: Octopus Book Limited.
- Pichsinee Treenusorn, Itthisuntorn Nuntagij and Sarayut Phonpho. (2013). Development of Vertical Garden for Indoor and Outdoor Decoration. Thesis of Master of Science in Horticulture, Faculty of Agriculture. King Mungkut's Institute of Technology, Ladkrabang. Bangkok. Thailand.
- Pasinee Sunakorn. (2014). "Green Wall". Research Specialization Building Innovation to Preserve the Environment, Kasetsart University. Bangkok. Thailand.
- Xenia, f. (2009). Indoor Plants. England. The Hamlyn Publishing Group Limited.

(Received 13 November 2016, accepted 8 January 2017)