
Diversity of Trees on Waterside of the Tapae Canal, Thong Song district, Nakhon Si Thammarat province, Thailand

Na Nakorn Wattana^{1*}, Chankoed Thanyakitt¹, Jitpech Chaichana¹,
Khunchai Hathaichanok¹ and Na Nakorn Somporn²

¹Department of Landscape Technology, Faculty of Agriculture, Rajamangala University of Technology Srivijaya, Nakhon Si Thammarat Campus, 80110 Thailand

²Department of Plant Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Rajamangala University of Technology Srivijaya, Nakhon Si Thammarat Campus, 80110Thailand

Na Nakorn, W., Chankoed T., Jitpech, C., and Khunchai, H., and Na Nakorn, S. (2016). Diversity of trees on waterside of Tapae canal, Thong Song district, Nakhon Si Thammarat province, Thailand. *International Journal of Agricultural Technology*12(7.2):2289-2308.

The diversity of trees on waterside of Tapae canal, Thong Song district, Nakhon Si Thammarat province, Thailand. The field study of trees on the waterside of Tapae canal was conducted from March, 2015 to September, 2016. The methods of study were sampling the diversity and evenness of trees at 9 stations from distance of Tapae canal waterside is 9 kilometers (1 kilometer/station), the sampling area was used 100 x 100 m² and the data was recorded : 1) take a photograph of all trees 2) record the scientific name, family name, the height of trees, diameter of canopy 3) analysis the diversity indices and evenness indices of trees. The result showed that the total of trees in 9 stations were found 1016 trees, 78 species, 65 genera and 39 families. The most abundance family are in GUTTIFERAE, PALMAE, EUPHORBIACEAE, respectively. The five most abundance are ; 1) *Garcinia maggostna* L. (13.78%); 2) *Elaeis guinensis* Jacq. (10.83%); 3) *Hevea brasiliensis* Muell.Agr. (8.86%); 4) *Bambusa sp.* (7.19%); and 5) *Leuaena leucocephala* Lamk. (6.89%), respectively. The diversity indices was found that the most of the diversity indices were shown on station seven 1.25 and the least diversity indices were shown on station two 0.58. The most of the evenness indices were shown on station six and station seven were 0.89 and 0.85, respectively. The least evenness indices was shown on station two in 0.56.

Keywords: diversity, evenness, trees, waterside

Introduction

Thailand has suffered a rapid decline in forest cover over the past three decades, losing more than one half of the forest area from the forest of 53.30 % in 1961. Nowadays Thailand has the forest only about 30.92 % of the country

* **Coressponding Author:** Na Nakorn, W., **E-mail address:** wattana.nn@hotmail.com

area (Forestry Statistic of Thailand, 2007). Generally, the loss and degradation of tropical forests are no longer only the concern of affected nations but also of the international community because of the damage such consequences have on the health of the environment. The destruction of tropical forests, therefore, is the topic of major global discussions, especially because science has shown that these resources house rich pools of biodiversity (Preecha Ongprasart, n.d.). Changes in plant diversity are known to affect aboveground ecosystem functioning (Tilman *et al.* 1997, 2001; Hector *et al.* 1999), but it is increasingly recognized that changes in plant diversity also have an impact on belowground ecosystem functioning, including soil processes, soil structure and soil biota (Hooper *et al.* 2001; Wardle *et al.* 2002; Zak *et al.* 2003; Heemsbergen *et al.* 2004; Bardgett and Shine 1999). The benefits of trees can reduce runoff by intercepting precipitation, absorb pollutants, emit hydrocarbons, and modify solar radiation, air temperature, wind speed and relative humidity. Green landscaping supports the conservation of biodiversity in urban areas (Kummerling and Muller, 2012). Planting more trees can help increasing the quality of urban landscapes by regulating microclimate, increasing the CO₂ sequestration (Merry *et al.* 2013); reducing surface water runoff (Stringer and Ennos, 2013; Soares *et al.* 2011; Wolch *et al.* 2014, Zhang and Liu, 2010); conserving energy (McPherson and Rowntree, 1989); supporting biodiversity and providing wildlife habitats (Ivanko, 2001; William, 2003). Enhancement of tree diversity plays an important role in forest management, by preventing native species lost from disturbance pollutions (Zhang and Jim, 2014).

There are several aspects to be considered in managing the trees in a way that they can efficiently provide ecosystem services, shading provide and reduce runoff of the water. The objective of this study to find out the diversity and evenness of the trees on the waterside of Tapae canal.

Materials and methods

Study area

A field study of the diversity of trees on the waterside of Tapae canal, Thong Song, Nakhon Si Thammarat province in Southern Thailand, was surveyed at the long distance of 9 kilometers along the waterside of Tapae canal, the scale of data recording is 1 kilometers per 1 station, the total survey area of 1 station is 100 x100 square meters of both waterside. The total 9 stations for data recording of this study.

Data recording

A field study of the tree diversity was conducted in 19 months from March, 2015 to September, 2016. The process of study were recorded : 1) take a photograph of tree 2) record the scientific name, family name, the height of tree, diameter of canopy and 3) analysis the diversity indices and evenness indices of trees.

Analysis and classified of the trees diversity and evenness

The trees diversity were uses the Shannon-Weiner Species Diversity Index (Krebs, 1985). The formula is as follows:

$$H' = - \sum_{i=1}^s p_i \ln p_i$$

where :

H' is the species diversity index,

s is the number of species,

p_i is the proportion of individuals of each species belonging to the i^{th} species of the total number of individuals

The trees evenness were uses the reference of Hill,1973. The formula is as follows:

$$E = H' / \ln S$$

where :

E is the species evenness index

H' is the diversity of Shannon- Wiener's

S is the number of species

Results

Diversity and evenness of tree

The result of the diversity indices of trees in 9 stations of Tapae canal waterside in Thong district, Nakhon Si Thammarat Thailand, were found the diversity indices of trees in station one to station nine 0.71 0.58 0.81 0.83 1.04 1.23 1.25 0.62 and 0.88, respectively. The most of the diversity indices were shown on station seven 1.25 and otherwise, the least diversity indices were shown on station two in 0.58 (Table 1). The result of the evenness indices of trees in 9 stations were found the evenness indices of trees in station one to station nine 0.79 0.56 0.73 0.69 0.75 0.89 0.85 0.74 and 0.81, respectively.

The most of the evenness indices were shown in station six and station seven were 0.89 and 0.85, respectively, on otherwise, the least evenness indices were shown on station two in 0.56 (Table 1).

The result of the total of trees in 9 stations of Tapae canal waterside were found 1016 trees, 78 species, 65 genera and 39 families. Table 1 shows the most abundance of 3 families are in GUTTIFERAE, PAMAE and EUPHORBIACEAE, respectively. The five most abundant are; 1) *Garcinia mangostana* L. (13.97%); 2) *Elaeis guinenensis* Jacq. (10.83%); 3) *Hevea brasiliensis* Mull.Agr. (8.86%); 4) *Bambusa sp.* (7.18%); and 5) *Leucaena leucocephala* Lamk. (6.89 %), respectively.

Tree size

Average tree canopy diameter of total 139 trees from 9 stations on the Tapae canal waterside showed that the most trees (46.04 percent) are in small with the canopy diameter 3-4 m, the second number of canopy diameter in small size with the canopy diameter 1-2 m are 43.88 percent and the biggest size of canopy diameter with the canopy diameter 5-6 m are 9.35 percent (Table 2). The average tree height of total 1016 trees was showing the most tree height is 74.82 percent with the tree height 1-10 m, the medium tree height 22.30 percent with the tree height 11-20 m and the small number of tree height 2.87 percent with the tallest tree height 21-30 m

Table 1 The diversity and evenness of trees on the waterside of Tapae canal, Thong Song, district, Nakhon Si Thammarat, Thailand

Scientific Name	Family	Station										N	%	Rank
		ST	S	S	S	S	S	S	ST	ST				
		1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	8	9				
<i>Bambusa sp.</i>	Gramineae/ Poaceae	15	3	8		22	4	5	6	10	73	7.19	4	
<i>Cocos nucifera</i> L.	Palmae	2				2			4	21	29	2.85	9	
<i>Nephelium lappaceum</i> L.	Sapindaceae		4			11			2		17	1.67	14	
<i>Garcinia mangostana</i> L.	Guttiferae	2	78			31	1	2	26		140	13.78	1	
<i>Durio zibethinus</i> Murray	Bombacace- ne	2									2	0.20	25	
<i>Chrysalidocarpus lutescens</i> H.Wendl.	Palmae	7		7	5	8	1	1	23	3	55	5.41	6	

Table 1. (Cont.) The diversity and evenness of trees on the waterside of Tapae canal, Thong Song, district, Nakhon Si Thammarat, Thailand

Scientific Name	Family	Station									N	%	ranks		
		ST 1	S T2	S T3	S T4	S T5	S T6	S T7	ST 8	ST 9					
<i>Parkia speciosa</i> Hassk.	Leguminosae	1				2						3	0.30	24	
<i>Metroxylon sagus</i> Rottb.	Palmae	1	1									1	3	0.30	24
<i>Azadirachta indica</i> A.Juss.	Meliaceae		9			29			3				41	4.04	7
<i>Flacourtia indica</i> (Burm.f.) Merr.	Flacourtiaceae		2	1									3	0.30	24
<i>Antidesma ghaesembilla</i> Gaertn.	Euphorbiaceae		1	1									2	0.20	25
<i>Annona muricata</i> L.	Annonaceae		1										1	0.10	26
<i>Lansium domesticum</i> Corr.	Meliaceae		7										7	0.69	21
<i>Manilkara zapota</i> (L.) P.Royen	Sapotaceae		2										2	0.20	25
<i>Garcinia cowa</i> Roxb.	Guttiferae		2					1					3	0.30	24
<i>Leucaena leucocephala</i> (Lamk.)	Leguminosae			21		15	14	1				19	70	6.89	5
<i>Ficus religiosa</i> L.	Moraceae			1	4								5	0.49	22
<i>Pterocarpus indicus</i> Willd	Leguminosae			1									1	0.10	26
<i>Moringa oleifera</i> Lam.	Moringaceae			3				2					5	0.49	22
<i>Barringtonia acutangula</i> (L.) Gaertn.	Lecythidaceae			1		1							2	0.20	25
<i>Oroxylum indicum</i> (L.) Kurz	Bignoniaceae			1									1	0.10	26

Table 1. (Cont.) The diversity and evenness of trees on the waterside of Tapae canal, Thong Song, district, Nakhon Si Thammarat, Thailand

Scientific Name	Family	Station									N	%	ranks
		ST 1	S T2	S T3	S T4	S T5	S T6	S T7	ST 8	ST 9			
<i>Terminalia catappa</i> L.	Combretaceae			1		1					2	0.20	25
<i>Lagerstroemia floribunda</i> Jack	Lythraceae			2		9					11	1.08	17
<i>Elaeis guineensis</i> Jacq.	Palmae				1		6		103		110	10.83	2
<i>Alpinia galanga</i> (L.) Willd.	Zingiberaceae				40						40	3.94	8
<i>Musa sapientum</i> L.	Musaceae				3		6				9	0.89	19
<i>Psidium guajava</i> L.	Myrtaceae				1						1	0.10	26
<i>Hevea brasiliensis</i> Muell. Arg.	Euphorbiaceae				60	30					90	8.86	3
<i>Dolichandrone spathacea</i> Schum.	Bignoniaceae				1						1	0.10	26
<i>Ficus racemosa</i> L.	Moraceae				5		2			3	10	0.98	18
<i>Lansium parasiticum</i>	Meliaceae				19						19	1.87	12
<i>Musa acuminata</i> Colla	Musaceae				8		3		9	1	21	2.07	11
<i>Momordica cochinchinensis</i> (Lour.) Spreng.	Cucurbitaceae				1						1	0.10	26
<i>Caryota mitis</i> Lour.	Palmae				3			1			4	0.39	23
<i>Sandoricum koetjape</i> (Burm. f.) Merr.	Meliaceae				1	1					2	0.20	25
<i>Feroniella lucida</i> (Scheff.) Swingle	Rutaceae				1						1	0.10	26

Table 1. (Cont.) The diversity and evenness of trees on the waterside of Tapae canal, Thong Song, district, Nakhon Si Thammarat, Thailand

Scientific Name	Family	Station										N	%	ranks	
		ST 1	S T2	S T3	S T4	S T5	S T6	S T7	ST 8	ST 9					
<i>Dillenia obovata</i> (Blume)	Dilleniaceae					1							1	0.10	26
<i>Hooglandia Flacourtia indica</i> (Burm.f.) Merr.	Flacourtiaceae					6	1						7	0.69	21
<i>Memecylon myrsinoides</i> Blume	Melastomaceae					1							1	0.10	26
<i>Glochidion Perakense</i> Hook. f.	Euphorbiaceae					4							4	0.39	23
<i>Salacca wallichiana</i> Mart.	Arecaceae					3							3	0.30	24
<i>Artocarpus heterophyllus</i> Lam.	Moraceae					3	1						4	0.39	23
<i>Dipterocarpu s alatus</i> Roxb. ex G. Don	Dipterocarpaceae					1							1	0.10	26
<i>Anacardium occidentale</i> L.	Anacardiaceae					1		3					4	0.39	23
<i>Lagerstroemia speciosa</i> (L.)	Lythraceae					1		10					11	1.08	17
<i>Duabanga grandiflora</i> (DC.) Walp.	Lythraceae					1							1	0.10	26
<i>Streblus asper</i> Lour.	Moraceae							8					8	0.79	20
<i>Mangifera indica</i> Linn.	Anacardiaceae							1					1	0.10	26
<i>Alstonia scholaris</i> (L.) R. Br.	APOCYNAC EAE							1					1	0.10	26

Table 1.(*Cont.*) The diversity and evenness of trees on the waterside of Tapae canal, Thong Song, district, Nakhon Si Thammarat, Thailand

Scientific Name	Family	Station										N	%	ranks
		ST 1	S T2	S T3	S T4	S T5	S T6	S T7	ST 8	ST 9				
Rhapis excelsa (Thunb.) Henry	Arecaceae Palmae						3					3	0.30	24
Costus speciosus (J.G.Kieng) Sm.	Zingiberaceae						4					4	0.39	23
Polyscias fruticosa Harms.	Araliaceae						10					10	0.98	18
Tamarindus indica L.	Leguminosae						2	2				4	0.39	23
Senna siamea (Lam.) Irwin & Barneby	Leguminosae- Caesalpinoideae						10	3			27	40	3.94	8
Ceiba pentandra (L.) Gaertn.	Malvaceae						1	2				3	0.30	24
Amorphophallus paeoniifolius (Dennst.) Nicolson	Araceae						4					4	0.39	23
Amorphophallus paeoniifolius (Dennst.) Nicolson	Araceae						7					7	0.69	21
Garcinia cowa Roxb.	Guttiferae		2				1				1	4	0.39	23
Cyrtostachys renda	Palmae							2				2	0.20	25

Table 1.(Cont.) The diversity and evenness of trees on the waterside of Tapae canal, Thong Song, district, Nakhon Si Thammarat, Thailand

Scientific Name	Family	Station										N	%	ranks
		ST 1	S T2	S T3	S T4	S T5	S T6	S T7	ST 8	ST 9				
Swietenia macrophylla King	Meliaceae							14				14	1.38	15
Fagraea fragrans Roxb.	Gentianaceae							1				1	0.10	26
Cassia fistula L.	Fabaceae							1				1	0.10	26
Dieffenbachia seguine (Jacq.)	Araceae							5				5	0.49	22
Millingtonia hortensis L.f.	Bignoniaceae							3				3	0.30	24
Bauhinia saccocalyx Pierre	Caesalpinioideae							2				2	0.20	25
Cycas cirinalis L.	Cycadaceae							1				1	0.10	26
Plumeria spp.	Apocynaceae							22				22	2.17	10
Syzygium cumini Druce	Myrtaceae							1				1	0.10	26
Hopea odorata Roxb.	Dipterocarpaceae							1				1	0.10	26

Table 1.(Cont.) The diversity and evenness of trees on the waterside of Tapae canal, Thong Song, district, Nakhon Si Thammarat, Thailand

Scientific Name	Family	Station									N	%	ranks
		ST 1	S T2	S T3	S T4	S T5	S T6	S T7	ST 8	ST 9			
Bougainvillea spp.	Nyctaginaceae							5			5	0.49	22
Calotropis gigantea (L.) Dryand.	Apocynaceae							1			1	0.10	26
Caesalpinia pulcherrima (L.) Swartz.	Caesalpinaceae							1			1	0.10	26
Delonix regia (Boj. ex Hook.) Raf.	Caesalpinaceae							2			2	0.20	25
Microcos paniculata Linn.	Tiliaceae							2			2	0.20	25
Acacia pennata (L.) Willd.	Fabaceae									18	18	1.77	13
Phyllanthus acidus (L.) Skeels	Phyllanthaceae									5	5	0.49	22
Capsicum annum L.	Solanaceae									13	13	1.28	16
Solanum torvum Sw.	Solanaceae									3	3	0.30	24
	Total Number Of Trees	30	112	48	153	184	94	97	191	107	1016	100 %	
	Number Of Trees At Each Station	8	11	12	16	22	24	28	6	12			
	Evenness Indices	0.79	0.56	0.70	0.69	0.77	0.89	0.85	0.74	0.81			
	Diversity Indices	0.71	0.58	0.81	0.83	1.04	1.23	1.25	0.62	0.88			

Table 2 The kind of trees from survey area (100 m²) at station 1-9 on waterside of Tapae canal, Thong Song district, NakhonSi Thammarat province, Thailand

Station 1 Scientific Name	Family	Frequency	Percent (%)	Average of tree height (m)	Average of canopy diameter (m)
<i>Bambusa sp.</i>	Gramineae	15	0.15	15	5
<i>Cocos nucifera</i> L.	Palmae	2	0.07	14	3
<i>Nephelium lappaceum</i> L.	Sapindaceae	1	0.10	16	3
<i>Garcinia mangostana</i> L.	Guttiferae	2	0.07	10	3
<i>Durio zibethinus</i> Murray	Bombacacene	2	0.07	10	4
<i>Chrysalidocarpus lutescens</i> H.Wendl.	Palmae	7	0.14	9	3
<i>Parkia speciosa</i> Hassk.	Leguminosae	1	0.04	16	3
<i>Metroxylon sagus</i> Rottb.	Palmae	1	0.04	5	2
<hr/>					
Station 2 Scientific Name					
<i>Azadirachta indica</i> A.Juss.	Meliaceae	9	0.08	3.5	4
<i>Flacourtia indica</i> (Burm.f.) Merr.	Flacourtiaceae	2	0.04	1.7	2
<i>Bambusa sp.</i>	Gramineae	3	0.03	18	5
<i>Antidesma ghaesembilla</i> Gaertn.	Euphorbiaceae	1	0.01	2	1
<i>Annona muricata</i> L.	Annonaceae	1	0.01	3.5	1
<i>Garcinia mangostana</i> L.	Guttiferae	78	0.12	12	2
<i>Durio zibethinus</i> Murray	Bombacacene	16	0.11	12	1
<i>Lansium domesticum</i> Corr.	Meliaceae	7	0.07	11	2
<i>Manilkara zapota</i> (L.) P.Royen	Sapotaceae	2	0.02	6	3
<i>Garcinia cowa</i> Roxb.	Clusiaceae Guttiferae	2	0.02	6	3
<i>Metroxylon sagus</i> Rottb.	Palmae	1	0.01	6	4

Table 2. (cont.) The kind of trees from survey area (100 m²) at station 1-9 on waterside of Tapae canal, Thong Song district, NakhonSiThammarat province, Thailand

Station 3					
Scientific Name					
<i>Bambusa sp.</i>	Gramineae	8	0.12	16	6
<i>Leucaena leucocephala</i> (Lamk.)	Leguminosae-Mimosoideae	21	0.15	5	2
<i>Chrysalidocarpus lutescens</i> H.Wendl.	Palmae	7	0.12	10	3
<i>Antidesma ghaesembilla</i> Gaertn.	Euphorbiaceae	1	0.03	4	2
<i>Ficus religiosa</i> L.	Moraceae	1	0.03	7	5

Station 3	Family	Frequency	Percent (%)	Average of tree height (m)	Average of canopy diameter (m)
Scientific Name					
<i>Flacourtia indica</i> (Burm.f.) Merr.	Flacourtiaceae	1	0.03	6	3
<i>Pterocarpus indicus</i> Willd	Leguminosae-Papilionoideae	1	0.03	8	4
<i>Moringa oleifera</i> Lam.	Moringaceae	3	0.07	8	4
<i>Barringtonia acutangula</i> (L.) Gaertn.	Lecythidaceae	1	0.03	9	3
<i>Oroxylum indicum</i> (L.) Kurz	Bignoniaceae	1	0.03	5	2
<i>Terminalia catappa</i> L.	Combretaceae	1	0.03	9	3
<i>Lagerstroemia floribunda</i> Jack	Lythraceae	2	0.05	12	3

Station 4	Family	Frequency	Percent (%)	Average of tree height (m)	Average of canopy diameter (m)
Scientific Name					
<i>Elaeis guineensis</i> Jacq.	Palmae	1	0.01	10	5
<i>Alpinia galanga</i> (L.) Willd.	Zingiberaceae	40	0.14	2	0.5
<i>Ficus religiosa</i> L.	Moraceae	4	0.03	7	3
<i>Musa sapientum</i> L.	Musaceae	3	0.03	4	2
<i>Psidium guajava</i> L.	Myrtaceae	1	0.01	3	1
<i>Hevea brasiliensis</i> Muell. Arg.	Euphorbiaceae	60	0.15	28	3

Table 2. (Cont.) The Kind Of Trees From Survey Area (100 M²) Atstation 1-9 On Waterside Of Tapae Canal , Thong Song District, Nakhonsithammarat Province, Thailand

<i>Dolichandrone spathacea</i> Schum.	Bignoniaceae	1	0.01	10	4
<i>Nephelium lappaceum</i> L.	Sapindaceae	11	0.07	15	3
<i>Ficus racemosa</i> L.	Moraceae	5	0.04	14	3
<i>Chrysalidocarpus lutescens</i> H.Wendl.	Palmae	5	0.04	13	2
<i>Lansium parasiticum</i>	Meliaceae	19	0.18	12	1
<i>Musa acuminata</i> Colla	Musaceae	8	0.06	4	2
<i>Momordica cochinchinensis</i> (Lour.)	Cucurbitaceae	1	0.01		2
<i>Caryota mitis</i> Lour.	Palmae	3	0.03	4	2
<i>Sandoricum koetjape</i> (Burm. f.) Merr.	Meliaceae	1	0.01	15	2
<i>Feroniella lucida</i> (Scheff.) Swingle	Rutaceae	1	0.01	3	1.5
Station 5 Scientific Name	Family	Frequency	Percent (%)	Average of tree height (m)	Average of canopy diameter (m)
<i>Bambusa sp.</i>	Gramineae	22	0.11	17	5
<i>Garcinia mangostana</i> L.	Guttiferae	31	0.13	10	3
<i>Azadirachta indica</i> A.Juss.	Meliaceae	29	0.13	10	3
<i>Lagerstroemia floribunda</i> Jack	Lythraceae	9	0.06	15	4
<i>Dillenia obovata</i> (Blume) Hoogland	Dilleniaceae	1	0.01	4	2
<i>Chrysalidocarpus lutescens</i> H.Wendl.	Palmae	8	0.06	13	3
<i>Leucaena leucocephala</i> (Lamk.)	Leguminosae- Mimosoideae	15	0.09	7	4
<i>Flacourtia indica</i> (Burm.f.) Merr.	Flacourtiaceae	6	0.05	5	2
<i>Memecylon myrsinoides</i> Blume	Melastomaceae	1	0.01	5	2
<i>Glochidion perakense</i> Hook.	Euphorbiaceae	4	0.03	4	1.5
<i>Salacca wallichiana</i> Mart.	Arecaceae	3	0.03	5	2.5

Table 2. The kind of trees from survey area (100 m²) at station 1-9 on waterside of Tapae canal , Thong Song district, NakhonSi Thammarat province, Thailand

<i>Barringtonia acutangula</i> (L.) Gaertn.	Lecythidaceae	1	0.01	6	3
<i>Artocarpus heterophyllus</i> Lam.	Moraceae	3	0.03	8	2
<i>Dipterocarpu salatus</i> Roxb. ex G.Don	Dipterocarpaceae	1	0.01	30	4
<i>Hevea brasiliensis</i> Muell. Arg.	Euphorbiaceae	30	0.13	25	2
<i>Cocos nucifera</i> L.	Arecaceae	2	0.02	2	1
<i>Anacardium occidentale</i> L.	Anacardiaceae	1	0.01	10	4
<i>Lagerstroemia speciosa</i> (L.)	Lythraceae	1	0.01	8	3
<i>Duabanga grandiflora</i> (DC.) Walp.	Lythraceae	1	0.01	7	3
<i>Terminalia catappa</i> L.	Combretaceae	1	0.01	6	4
<i>Parkia speciosa</i> Hassk.	Leguminosae	2	0.02	28	6
<i>Sandoricum koetjape</i> (Burm. f.) Merr.	Meliaceae	1	0.01	7	3

Station 6 Scientific Name	Family	Frequency	Percent (%)	Average of tree height (m)	Average of canopy diameter (m)
<i>Bambusa sp.</i>	Gramineae	4	0.05	9	4
<i>Flacourtia indica</i> (Burm.f.) Merr.	Flacourtiaceae	1	0.20	4	2
<i>Ficus racemosa</i> L.	Moraceae	2	0.03	6	2
<i>Streblus asper</i> Lour.	Moraceae	8	0.08	2.2	1
<i>Mangifera indica</i> Linn.	Anacardiaceae	1	0.02	4.4	2
<i>Alstonia scholaris</i> (L.)R. Br.	Apocynaceae	1	0.02	8	3
<i>Rhapis excelsa</i> (Thunb.) Henry	Arecaceae Palmae	3	0.04	1.5	1
<i>Costus speciosus</i> (J.G.Kieng) Sm.	Zingiberaceae	4	0.05	1	1
<i>Polyscias fruticosa</i> Harms.	Araliaceae	10	0.10	1.3	1
<i>Tamarindus indica</i> L.	Leguminosae-Papilionoideae	2	0.03	5	3

Table 2. The kind of trees from survey area (100 m²) at station 1-9 on waterside of Tapae canal, Thong Song district, NakhonSi Thammarat province, Thailand

<i>Chrysalidocarpus lutescens</i> H.Wendl.	Palmae	1	0.02	7	2
<i>Garcinia mangostana</i> L.	Guttiferae	1	0.10	10	4
<i>Senna siamea</i> (Lam.) Irwin & Barneby	Leguminosae-Caesalpinioideae	10	0.05	7	3
<i>Cocos nucifera</i> L.	Palmae	4	0.02	17	4
<i>Ceiba pentandra</i> (L.) Gaertn.	Malvaceae	1	0.12	12	3
<i>Leucaena leucocephala</i> (Lamk.)	Leguminosae-Mimosoideae	14	0.12	4	2
<i>Elaeis guineensis</i> Jacq.	Palmae	7	0.08	13	3
<i>Artocarpus heterophyllus</i> Lam.	Moraceae	1	0.02	8	3
<i>Musa acuminata</i> Colla	Musaceae	3	0.04	3	1
		2	0.03	5	2
<i>Moringa oleifera</i> Lam.	Moringaceae				
<i>Musa sapientum</i> L.	Musaceae	6	0.07	3	1
<i>Amorphophallus paeoniifolius</i> (Dennst.) Nicolson	Araceae	4	0.05	0.5	1
<i>Amorphophallus paeoniifolius</i> (Dennst.) Nicolson	Araceae	7	0.08	0.5	1
<i>Garcinia cowa</i> Roxb.	Clusiaceae Guttiferae	1	0.02	7	4

Station 7 Scientific Name	Family	Frequency	Percent (%)	Average of tree height (m)	Average of canopy diameter (m)
<i>Tamarindus indica</i> L.	Leguminosae-Papilionoideae	4	0.03	8	4
<i>Cyrtostachys renda</i>	Palmae	2	0.03	5	2
<i>Swietenia macrophylla</i> King	Meliaceae	14	0.11	15	4
<i>Bambusa</i> sp.	Gramineae/Poaceae	5	0.06	7	3
<i>Fagraea fragrans</i> Roxb.	Gentianaceae	1	0.01	10	3
<i>Garcinia mangostana</i> L.	Guttiferae	2	0.03	11	3
<i>Cassia fistula</i> L.	Fabaceae	1	0.01	14	5
<i>Dieffenbachia seguine</i> (Jacq.)	Araceae	5	0.06	1.5	1

Table 2. The kind of trees from survey area (100 m²) at station 1-9 on waterside of Tapae canal , Thong Song district, NakhonSi Thammarat province, Thailand

<i>Millingtonia hortensis</i> L.f.	Bignoniaceae	3	0.04	6	2
<i>Azadirachta indica</i> A.Juss.	Meliaceae	3	0.04	7	3
<i>Bauhinia saccocalyx</i> Pierre	Caesalpinioideae	2	0.03	9	2
<i>Cycas cirinalis</i> L.	Cycadaceae	1	0.01	1.4	1
<i>Lagerstroemia speciosa</i> (L.)	Lythraceae	10	0.09	12	3
<i>Plumeria</i> spp.	Apocynaceae	22	0.14	3	1.5
<i>Syzygium cumini</i> Druce	Myrtaceae	1	0.01	5	2
<i>Caryota mitis</i> Lour.	Palmae	1	0.01	1.8	4
<i>Hopea odorata</i> Roxb.	Dipterocarpaceae	1	0.01	16	3
<i>Senna siamea</i> (Lam.) Irwin & Barneby	Leguminosae- Caesalpinioideae	3	0.04	8	2
<i>Leucaena leucocephala</i> (Lamk.)	Leguminosae- Mimosoideae	1	0.01	4	1
<i>Bougainvillea</i> spp.	Nyctaginaceae	5	0.06	1.8	2
<i>Caesalpinia pulcherrima</i> (L.) Swartz.	Caesalpinaceae	1	0.01	5	1.5
<i>Calotropis gigantea</i> (L.) Dryand.	Apocynaceae	1	0.01	2	3
<i>Nephelium lappaceum</i> L.	Sapindaceae	2	0.03	10	4
<i>Delonix regia</i> (Boj. ex Hook.) Raf.	Caesalpinaceae	2	0.03	9	3
<i>Chrysalidocarpus</i> <i>lutescens</i> H.Wendl.	Palmae	1	0.01	10	2
<i>Microcos paniculata</i> Linn.	Tiliaceae	2	0.03	3.6	4
<i>Anacardium occidentale</i> L.	Anacardiaceae	3	0.04	8	3
<i>Ceiba pentandra</i> (L.) Gaertn.	Malvaceae	2	0.03	10	3
Station 8	Family	Frequency	Percent (%)	Average of tree height (m)	Average of canopy diameter (m)
Scientific Name					
<i>Bambusa</i> sp.	Gramineae/Poaceae	6	0.04	14	5
<i>Elaeis guineensis</i> Jacq.	Palmae	103	0.14	16	4

Table 2. (cont.) The kind of trees from survey area (100 m²) at station 1-9 on waterside of Tapae canal, Thong Song district, NakhonSiThammarat province, Thailand

<i>Chrysalidocarpus lutescens</i> H.Wendl.	Palmae	32	0.11	12	2
<i>Musa acuminata</i> Colla	Musaceae	9	0.06	4	2
<i>Acacia pennata</i> (L.) Willd.	Fabaceae	13	0.07	1.4	1.5
<i>Garcinia mangostana</i> L.	Guttiferae	26	0.11	10	3
Station 9 Scientific Name	Family	Frequency	Percent (%)	Average of tree height (m)	Average of canopy diameter (m)
<i>Senna siamea</i> (Lam.) Irwin & Barneby	Leguminosae-Caesalpinioideae	27	0.15	10	3
<i>Cocos nucifera</i> L.	Palmae	21	0.13	15	3
<i>Ficus racemosa</i> L.	Moraceae	3	0.04	8	2
<i>Metroxylon sagus</i> Rottb.	Palmae	1	0.01	6	3
<i>Musa acuminata</i> Colla	Musaceae	1	0.01	3	1
<i>Bambusa</i> sp.	Gramineae/Poaceae	10	0.09	14	5
<i>Leucaena leucocephala</i> (Lamk.)	Leguminosae-Mimosoideae	19	0.13	3	2
<i>Phyllanthus acidus</i> (L.) Skeels	Phyllanthaceae	5	0.06	4	2
<i>Garcinia cowa</i> Roxb.	E Guttiferae	1	0.01	8	3
<i>Capsicum annuum</i> L.	Solanaceae	13	0.11	1.5	1
<i>Chrysalidocarpus lutescens</i> H.Wendl.	Palmae	3	0.04	10	2
<i>Solanum torvum</i> Sw.	Solanaceae	3	0.04	1.5	0.8
Total		1,016	100 %	-	-

Discussion

The diversity and evenness observations of trees are varied by area and climatic around the world. In Lisbon, Portugal, street tree community was dominated by *Celtis australis* L., *Tillias* pp., and *Jacaranda mimosifolia* D. which together counted 40% of tree population (Soares *et al*, 2011). In Bangalore, India, the four most commonly found species; *Albizia saman*, *Peltophorum pterocarpum*, *Spathodea campanulata*, and *Pongamia pinnata*, while *Albizia saman* common species that was found less than 10% of the population (Nagendra and Gopal, 2010). The most of trees in schools the main

benefit use for propose of shading 78 percent. The primary and high school in urban of Thailand, they are popular to grow the perennial plant for the student use a shading during at noon time and sometime the use a shading for the class activity. The minority of beneficial use of trees for landscaping and the aesthetics (Na Nakorn *et al*, 2016). The diversity of trees on the Tapae canal waterside at the distance of 9 kilometers were found 1016 plants, the most abundance family were GUTTIFERAE, PALMAE, EUPHORBIACEAE, respectively, because of this family can grow well in tropical area and it is the important plant for economics planting of the people in the southern part of Thailand. In this study shown that, higher plant diversity in the survey area may have influenced of economics trees is relationship of plant species richness. The diversity of tree sometime depends on the benefit used.

Conclusion

The diversity of trees on the Tapae canal waterside were found 1016 trees, 78 species, 65 genera and 39 families. The most abundance family are in GUTTIFERAE, PALMAE, EUPHORBIACEAE, respectively. The five most abundant are; 1) *Garcinia maggostna* L. (13.78%); 2) *Elaeis guinensis* Jacq. (10.83%); 3) *Hevea brasiliensis* Muell.Agr. (8.86%); 4) *Bambusa Sp.* (7.19%); and 5) *Leuaena leucocephala* Lamk. (6.89%), respectively. The diversity indices were found that the most of the diversity indices were shown on station seven 1.25 and the least diversity indices were shown on station two in 0.58. The most of the evenness indices was shown in station six and station seven were 0.89 and 0.85, respectively. The least evenness indices were shown on station two 0.56.

Acknowledgement

The authors are thankful to the Tapae Community, Maeng Thong Song Municipality, Thong Song district, Nakhon Si Thammarat province, Thailand who are cooperating and give the convenient to a field study in their areas.

References

- Bardgett, RD., Shine, A. (1999). Linkages between plant litter diversity, soil microbial biomass and ecosystem function in temperate grassland. *Soil Biol Biochem* 31:317–321
- Forestry Statistic of Thailand. (2007). *Forestry in Thailand*. Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment, Bangkok, Thailand. 46 p.
- Hector, A., Schmid, B., Beierkuhnlein, C., Caldeira, MC., Diemer, M., Dimitrakopoulos, PG., Finn, JA., Freitas, H., Giller, PS., Good, J., Harris, R., Hogberg, P., Huss-Danell, K., Joshi, J., Jumpponen, A., Korner, C., Leadley, PW., Loreau, M., Minns, A., Mulder, CPH., O'Donovan, G., Otway, SJ., Pereira, JS., Prinz, A., Read, DJ., Scherer-Lorenzen,

- M., Schulze, ED., Siamantziouras, ASD., Terry, AC., Troumbis, AY., Woodward, FI., Yachi, S. and Lawton, JH. (1999). Plant diversity and productivity experiments in European grasslands. *Science* 286:1123–1127
- Heemsbergen, DA., Berg, MP., Loreau, M., van Hal, JR., Faber JA. and Verhoef, HA. (2004). Biodiversity effects on soil processes explained by site-specific functional dissimilarity. *Science* 306:1019–1020
- Hooper, DU., Bignell, DE., Brown, VK., Brussaard, L., Dangerfield, JM., Wall, DH., Korthals, GW., Smilauer, P., van Dijk, C. and van der Putten, WH. (2001). Linking above- and below-ground biodiversity: abundance and trophic complexity in soil as a response to experimental plant communities on abandoned arable land. *Funct Ecol* 15:506–514
- Hill, M.O. (1973). Diversity and evenness: a unifying notation and its consequences. *Ecology*, 54, p. 427-432.
- Ivanko J. (2001). “Planting trees for the future.” *Environmental Magazine* 12, 14
- Kummerling M. and Norbert M., (2012). “The relationship between landscape design style and the conservation value of park A case study of historical park in Weimar, Germany.” *Landscape and Urban Planning* 107,111-117.
- Krebs, C.J. (1985). Chapter 23. Species Diversity I: Theory. Pp. 513-542. In *Ecology: The Experimental*
- Merry K., Siry J., Betting P and J.M Bowker J.M. (2013). “Efficient assessments of urban tree planting potential within or near the southern Piedmont region of the United States.” *Computer, Environment and Urban System* 39, 39-47.
- McPherson E.G. and Rowntree A. R. (1989). “Using structural measures to compare twenty-two U.S. street tree populations.” *Landscape Journal* 8,13–23.
- Nagendra H. and Gopal D. (2010). “Street trees in Bangalore: Density, diversity, composition and distribution.” *Urban Forestry and Urban Greening* 9, 129-137
- Preecha Ongprasart. (n.d.) *Forest Management in Thailand*. Royal Forest Department, Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment. 23 p.
- Soares A.L., Rego E.G., McPherson, J.R. Simpson, P.J. Peper and Q. Xiao F.C. (2011). “Benefits and costs of street trees in Lisbon, Portugal.” *Urban Forestry and Urban Greening* 10, 69-78.
- Stringer D. A and Ennos P.A.R. (2013). “The effect of street trees and amenity grass on urban surface water runoff in Manchester, UK.” *Urban forestry and Urban Greening* 12, 282-286
- Tilman, D., Knops, J., Wedin, D., Reich, PB., Ritchie, M. and Siemann, E. (1997). The influence of functional diversity and composition on ecosystem processes. *Science* 277:1300–1302
- Tilman, D., Reich, PB., Knops, J., Wedin, D., Mielke, T. and Lehman, C. (2001). Diversity and productivity in a long-term grassland experiment. *Science* 294:843–845.
- Wardle, DA., Bonner, KI. and Barker, GM. (2002). Linkages between plant litter decomposition, litter quality, and vegetation responses to herbivores. *Funct Ecol* 16:585–595
- Na Nakorn, W., Hatthong, J., and Hatsaponpan, S., (2016). Diversity, prevalence and benefits use of trees in the primary and high schools in Thong Song district, Nakhon Si Thammarat province, Thailand. *Journal of Agricultural Technology* 12(4):565-577
- William, Kathryn. (2003). “Social preferences for street trees.” *Treenet proceeding of the 4th National Street tree Symposium, 4th and 5th September 2003*. University of Melbourne.

- Wolch J.R., Byrne B. and Newell J.P. (2014). "Urban green space, public health, and environmental justice: The challenge of making cities 'just green enough.'" *Landscape and Urban Planning* 125, 234–24
- Zak, DR., Holmes, W., White, DC., Peacock, A. and Tilman, D. (2003). Plant diversity, soil microbial communities, and ecosystem function: are there any link? *Ecology* 84:2042–2050
- Zhang Hao and Jim C.Y. (2014). "Species diversity and performance assesment of trees in domestic gardens." *Landscape and Urban Planning* 28, 23-34
- Zhang Shanfeng and Fuying Liu. (2010). "Green Streetscape Design Modals Based on StromwaterManagement " 2010 2nd Conference on Environmental Science and Information Application Technology.