Root Rot of Geranium transplants and Its Biological Control

Adolf, K.M.

Department of Plant Pathology, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, P.O. Box 68 Hadayek Shoubera, Cairo 11241, Egypt

Adolf, K.M. (2016). Root Rot of Geranium transplants and Its Biological Control. International Journal of Agricultural Technology 12(5):899-914

Geranium is one of the most popular greenhouses potted and bedding plants in Egypt. Root rot disease is responsible for important losses in geranium plant production. A total of 11 fungal isolates were isolated from rotted roots of geranium plants, collected from nurseries in Shoubra El-Khima and El-Qanater El-Khaireya, during winter 2012-2013. Disease plants were stunted with yellowed leaves and decayed root system with browning of the surface of the basal portion of the stem. The isolated fungi include: Fusarium anthophilum, F. equiseti, F. proliferatum, F. semitectum, F. solani and Pythium ultimum, where the highest frequency was Fusarium semitectum (36.36%). A total of 10 bacterial and 5 fungal isolates, were isolated from rhizospheric soil of 3 different ornamental plants, and tested, in vitro, in addition to a known bio-agent, Pseudomonas fluorescens (strain 3339), for their antagonistic effect against the pathogens of root rot. In vitro assays indicated that Trichoderma harizinum (TCNu1) was highly antagonistic against F. anthophilum, F. proliferatum and F. semitectum, while it gave slight antagonistic effect against P. ultimum. As for Pseudomonas fluorescens, it showed moderate antagonistic effect against 3 tested Fusarium spp., however, it slightly reduced growth of P. ultimum. Under greenhouse conditions, soil treatment with Pseudomonas fluorescens (strain3339) significantly reduced foliar disease severity, while Trichoderma harzianum gave the highest reduction of root rot incidence and severity. Treatment with Trichoderma harzianum and Pseudomonas fluorescens improved growth of geranium plants grown in pathogen infested soil, where main shoot length, main root length, fresh and dry weights of plants were increased. However, both bio-agents had no significant effect on number of flowers/plant. Therefore, this study confirms the potential of Trichoderma harzianum (TCNu1) and Pseudomonos fluorescens (strain 3339) to be used as one component in integrated program to control root rot disease in geranium transplants in Egypt.

Keywords: Geranium, Root Rot Disease, Biological Control, *Fusarium* spp., *Pythium* sp., *Pseudomonas fluorescens* and *Trichoderma harzianum*.

Introduction

Geranium (*Pelargonium* × *hortorum* Bailey) is considered one of the most popular greenhouses potted and bedding plants worldwide (Miller, 2002). The genus *Pelargonium* includes annuals and herbaceous perennials, shrubs and subshrubs, and both evergreen and deciduous plants (Miller, 2002).

^{*}Corresponding author: Adolf, K.M.; E-mail: kirollos magdy@agr.asu.ed.eg

Geranium is characterized by wide appeal and the ability to adapt to a wide range of climates, and is popular for their continuous flowering throughout the summer and early winter in most of the world (Schoellhorn, 2003). Geranium plants have many benefits within the medical and pharmaceutical fields and chemical industries (Lis-Balchin, 2002; Saraswathi *et al.*, 2011). In Egypt, geranium is popular ornamental plant and is widely cultivated in many areas.

Geranium plants are liable to attack by several soil borne pathogens, causing severe losses in geranium plant production and quality (Daughtrey et al. 1995; El-Gamal, 1995; Douglas, 2003). Root rot is one of the most important diseases affecting production of geranium and that reduce the production of flowering and ornamental plants (Gullino and Wardlow, 1999; Douglas, 2003; Gravel et al., 2009). Geranium plants are susceptible to root diseases caused by various soil borne fungi, which include Fusarium spp. 1999: Douglas. 2003) and Pythium (Szczech. spp. (Desilets et al., 1994; Moorman et al., 2002; Gravel et al., 2009) and Rhizoctonia spp. (Douglas, 2003). However, root rot must be detected and identified early to can be controlled.

Generally, fungicides that control Pythium diseases does not control Rhizoctonia and Fusarium root rot (Hausbeck, 2013). However, resistance to certain fungicides can be stimulated by sublethal doses of the fungicide, and that this stimulation can result in significantly higher rates of Pythium damping-off of geranium seedlings (Garz on et al., 2011). approaches for root rot management aim to use biological methods to reduce use of chemical substances and to achieve effective and durable control. Several antagonists of either of the fungi Gliocladium and Trichoderma or the bacteria Pseudomonas and Bacillus have been widely used for biocontrol of soil-borne pathogens (Reddy, 2016). Certain bio-agent strains of Pseudomonas spp. and Trichoderma spp. succeeded in reducing Fusarium oxysporum on Gladiolus (Naqvi and Ahmed, 2012) and on bean plants (Otadoh et al., 2011). Trichoderma harzianum controlled R. solani in poinsettia, geraniums and periwinkles, and Pythium on geraniums, impatiens and petunias (Reddy, 2016). Soil treatments by beneficial rhizosphere microorganisms as P. putida, and T. atroviride, suppress Pythium root rot, caused by Pythium ultimum and significantly increased the fresh and dry weight of the shoot and root of organically grown geranium plants (Gravel et al., 2009).

The objectives of this study aimed to identify the casual pathogens of root rot of geranium and to control the disease using different bio-agents.

Material and Methods

Source of diseased plants:

Geranium plants showing typical symptoms of root rot were collected from different nurseries located at Shoubra El-Khima and El-Qanater El-Khaireya, in Qaliubiya governorate, during winter 2012-2013.

Isolation, purification and identification of geranium root rot pathogens:

Isolation of root rot pathogens was conducted as described by Dhingra and Sinclair (1985). Small pieces were surface-sterilized in 1 % sodium hypochlorite solution for 2 min, then washed in sterile distilled water for 4 min. Small pieces were dried between layers of sterile filter papers and were transferred to Water agar (WA) in Petri dishes, where dishes were incubated in incubator at temperature 23±2 °C for 2-4 days. The obtained fungal colonies were purified using hyphal tip techniques on Potato dextrose agar (PDA) medium in Petri dishes where dishes were incubated in incubator at temperature 25±2 °C for 10-14 days. Stock cultures were maintained on PDA slants and kept in a refrigerator at 5 °C till use. Identification of the isolated fungi were carried out based on microscopic and culture characteristic according to Leslie, et al., (2006) for Fusarium spp. and Plaats-Niterink, (1981) for *Pythium* spp. However, pathogenicity tests of these isolates were performed and their pathogenic potentialities were proven.

Source of antagonists:

Rhizosphere-colonizing bacteria and fungi were isolated from three different ornamental plant species (Carnation, Gernium and Hopbush), grown in nurseries located at Qaliubiya and Nubariya as described by El-Hadidy *et al.* (2002). From each soil sample, 10 g were suspended in 90 ml sterile water and serial dilutions to 10^6 were prepared. Dilutions from each sample were plated in triplicate on three different media: Nutrient agar (NA) and King's media B (KB) King *et al.*,1954) for isolation of bacteria, and potato dextrose agar (PDA) supplemented with 50 µg /ml Penicillin-G for isolation of fungi. Plates were incubated at 23 ± 2 °C for 2-4 days, when individual colonies were picked up, purified and stored at 5 °C on the appropriate medium. In addition, a known bio-agent, *Pseudomonas fluorescens*(strain3339,kindly provided by Dr. Nevein A. Shehata (Potato Brown Rot Project, ARC, Giza, Egypt), was also used.

Assay of antagonism, in vitro:

Antagonism between five fungal isolates and 11 bacterial isolates against the root rot pathogen (three *Fusarium* spp. isolates and an isolate of *Pythium ultimum*) were studied under *in vitro* conditions, following the dual culture technique (Skidmore and Dickinson, 1976) on PDA plates. All inoculated plates were incubated at 25 ± 2 °C for 5 days. All plates were observed visually and the linear growth of the pathogens was measured. Percentages of inhibition of mycelial growth (PIMG) of pathogenic fungiculonies were calculated using the formula:

$$PIMG = (A1-A2) / A1 \times 100$$

Where.

The diameter of mycelial growth of the test pathogen in control plates A1: (cm)

The diameter of mycelial growth of the pathogen in dual culture (with A2: the tested antagonist)

Meanwhile, an antagonism rating scale (1-5) modified from Bell *et al.*(1982) and by Otadoh *et al.*, (2011) was also used where, Class 1 = no antagonism (0 %), Class 2 = slightantagonism (1-33 %), Class <math>3 = moderate antagonism (34-66 %), Class 4 = high antagonism(67-99%), Class 5 = overgrowth (100 %).

Efficacy of antagonists under greenhouse conditions:

Preparation of pathogen inoculum and soil infestation:

Fungal mass production of four root rot fungal isolates (Fusarium anthophilum GSh8, F. proliferatum GSh6, F. semitectum GQa1 and Pythium ultimum GSh9) was prepared as described by Singleton et al., (1992) by growing each isolates on sorghum grain medium. Previously sterilized soil, with formalin 5%, was infested with each pathogen inoculum at a rate of 5 g/kg soil, and mixed thoroughly to ensure equal distribution of fungal inoculums and then filled in plastic pots (10 cm diameter). The infested pots were irrigated regularly day for 1 week before geranium transplanting.

Preparation of bio-agent inoculums:

Inoculum of an antagonistic fungal isolate identified as *Trichoderma harzianum* (TCNu1) according to Rifai (1969), and the bacterial isolate, *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (strain3339) were selected and used in this study. Fungal mass production of *T. harzianum* (TCNu1) was obtained by growing the isolate on sorghum grain medium (Rini and Sulochana, 2007) for 2 weeks at room temperature.

Pseudomonas fluorescens (strain 3339) was grown on King's B media (KB) at $(28\pm2\,\text{°C})$ for 48h (Mosa et al., 1997). The bacterial cells were harvested in sterilized water and centrifuged at 10000 rpm for 5 min. Then supernatant was discarded, and the precipitate was finally suspended in sterilized distilled water. Bacterial concentrations were determined according using spectrophotometer optical density at A_{640} nm compared to reference curves. As compared to standard curve and the concentration was adjusted to give 1×10^9 (cfu/ml⁻¹).

Soil treatment:

Healthy geraniums transplants were planted in plastic pots (10 cm diameter) containing artificially infested soil with four selected pathogenic fungal isolates. Inoculum of T. harzianum (TCNu1) was added to previously pathogen infested soil 48h before transplanting, while P. fluorescens (strain 3339) was added as bacterial suspension (10^9 cfu /ml) to infested soil at a rate of 100 ml/kg soil, at the time of transplanting.

This experiment included the following treatments:

- **a)** Infested soil with each pathogen isolate and with adding bio-agent (Treated).
- **b**) Infested soil with each pathogen isolate without adding bio-agent (Infested Control).
- c) Non-infested and untreated soil (Control).

All treated and untreated pots were arranged in a completely randomized design, with three replicates per tested isolate. Disease symptoms were observed and disease incidence and severity were assessed 30 and 45 days after transplanting. The efficacy of antagonists was calculated using the following formula:

Efficacy of Antagonists =
$$\frac{Treatment-Control}{Treatment} x 100$$

Disease assessment:

The severity of foliar and root symptoms was assessed for each plant on a 0 to 4 scale (Sanchez-Hernandez *et al.*, 2001), according to the percentage of foliage with yellowing or necrosis or root necrosis (0 = no infection, 1 = 1-33%, 2 = 34-66%, 3 = 67-99% and 4 = dead plant) at the end of experiment. The disease indices were calculated based on the following formula (Yildiz *et al.*, 2007):

Disease severity =
$$\frac{\Sigma(\text{Class rating} \times \text{Class frequency})}{\text{Total number of plants} \times \text{highest rating}} x \ 100$$

Effect on plant growth:

Data of shoot and root height, number of leaves and flowers, and fresh and dry weights of plants, were recorded at the end of the experiment, after 60 days from transplanting.

Statistical analysis:

All experiments were performed in triplicate. Duncan Multiple Range Test was used to evaluate the significant differences between treatments ($P \le 0.05$). ANOVA analysis was done with the SAS statistics software (SAS Institute, Inc., 1996).

Results and Discussion

Isolation, purification and identification of geranium root rot pathogens:

A total of 11 fungal isolates were isolated from rotted roots of geranium plants, collected from nurseries in two locations in Egypt (Table 1). Samples from Shoubra El-Khima yielded eight fungal isolates: *i.e. Fusarium* spp. and an isolate of *Pythium* sp., while samples from El-Qanater El-Khaireya yielded two fungal isolates of *Fusarium* spp. The obtained isolates identified as *Fusarium anthophilum* (9.09%), *F. equiseti* (9.09%), *F. proliferatum* (18.18%), *F. semitectum* (36.36%), *F. solani* (18.18%) and *Pythium ultimum* (9.09%)(Table 1). These results confirm findings of Haggag and Abdel-latif (2001), Armengol *et al.* (2005) and Hassan, *et al.* (2014), whereas highest frequency of *Fusarium* spp. and *Pythium* spp. (Moorman *et al.*, 2002) were detected and are common pathogens in ornamental nurseries.

Table 1: Frequency and occurrence of fungi isolated from diseased geranium, obtained from two locations in Egypt during 2012-2013.

Pathogenic Fungal	Shoubra El-Kheima	El-Qanater El-Khaireya	Total No	Frequen (%)
Isolate	No. of isolates No. of isolates		No.	ncy)
Fusarium anthophilum	1	-	1	9.09
Fusarium equiseti	1	-	1	9.09
Fusarium proliferatum	2	-	2	18.18
Fusarium semitectum	2	2	4	36.36
Fusarium solani	2	-	2	18.18
Pythium ultimum	1	-	1	9.09

Isolation, purification and identification of antagonists:

Rhizosphere-colonizing bacteria and fungi were initially isolated from three different ornamental plant species (geranium, carnation and hopbush) grown in different nurseries located at Qaliubiya and Nubariya. A total of 10 bacterial and 5 fungal isolates were selected. The obtained fungal isolates were identified as *Trichoderma harzianum* according to Rifai (1969).

Assay of antagonism, in vitro:

The results of the *in vitro* assay by which 15 bacterial and fungal isolates, in addition to one known bio-agent, were evaluated for their antagonistic effect against 4 fungal pathogens causing root rot of geranium and hopbush in nursery are shown in Table (2). The most antagonistic bacterial isolate was *P. fluorescens* (starin3339), which reduced mycelial growth of the four tested pathogens; meanwhile the most antagonistic fungal isolate was *T. harzianum* (TCNu1) which reduced mycelial growth of the four tested fungal pathogens isolates. In dual culture, *T. harzianum* (TCNu1) significantly reduced mycelial growth of all tested *Fusarium* isolates with varying degrees (Table 2), with slight effect against *Pythium ultimum*. However, *P. fluorescens* (strain3339) showed less effect and reduced growth of the three tested 3 *Fusarium* spp. by 39.00% to 57.08%, and slightly reduced *P. ultimum* growth (33.33%).

Table 2: Antagonistic effect of selected rhizosphere-colonizing bacterial and fungal isolates against mycelial growth of four plant pathogenic fungi, *in vitro*.

Isolate code	Percent In	Percent Inhibition of Fungus Mycelia Growth (PIMG)					
	F. semitectum (GSh1)*	F. solani (HoAl10)**	F. oxysporum (HQa10)**	P. ultimum (GSh9)*			
Rhizospheric Isolates:							
Fungi:							
TGSh 1	12.97	20.88	9.81	17.72			
TGSh 2	17.72	9.81	9.81	12.97			
THQa 1	9.81	12.97	12.97	20.88			
TCNu 1	68.35	66.66	69.50	33.33			
TCNu 2	20.88	9.81	9.81	12.97			

Table 2 (Con.)

	Percent In	Percent Inhibition of Fungus Mycelia Growth (PIMG)					
Isolate code	F. semitectum (GSh1)*	F. solani (HoAl10)**	F. oxysporum (HQa10)**	P. ultimum (GSh9)*			
Bacteria:							
BGSh 1	8.22	7.43	9.81	4.43			
BGSh 2	5.06	4.43	9.01	11.39			
BGSh 3	11.39	9.01	7.43	7.43			
BHQa 1	12.18	7.43	9.81	9.81			
BHQa 2	9.81	5.85	12.18	5.06			
BHQa 3	5.85	4.43	11.39	12.18			
BHQa 4	9.81	11.39	9.01	9.81			
BHQa 5	9.01	5.85	4.43	11.39			
BCNu 1	4.43	5.06	11.39	7.43			
BCNu 2	7.43	11.39	7.43	4.43			
Known bio-agent:							
P. fluorescens (strain3339)	39.39	41.66	41.66	33.33			

^{*}Isolated from geranium plants

The results in Table 3 confirmed the antagonistic effect of *Trichoderma harzianum* (TCNu1) and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (strain3339) when re-evaluated against 4 fungal pathogens causing root rot of geranium.

^{**}Isolated from hopbush plants

Table 3: Antagonistic effect, *in vitro*, of *Trichoderma harzianum*(TCNu1) and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (strain3339) against mycelial growth of pathogenic fungal isolates, causing root rot of geranium plants.

Treatment	Isolate and code	Control (cm)	Treatment (cm)	% inhabitation	Arbitrary antagonism scale*
<u> </u>	F. anthophilum (GSh 8)	6.00	1.50	75.00 _{Ba}	High
harzianum (TCNu1)	F. proliferatum GSh 6	6.00	1.83	69.50 _{Ca}	High
T. harz (TCI	F. semitectum GQa 1	4.66	1.33	71.45 _{Aa}	High
	P. ultimum GSh 9	6.00	4.00	33.33 _{Da}	Slight
P. fluorescens (strain3339)	F. anthophilum GSh 8	6.00	3.50	41.66 _{Bb}	Moderate
	F. proliferatum GSh 6	6.00	3.66	39.00 _{Cb}	Moderate
	F. semitectum GQa 1	4.66	2.00	57.08 Ab	Moderate
	P. ultimum GSh 9	6.00	4.00	33.33 _{Db}	Slight

*Arbitrary antagonism scale (Classes 1 to 5) modified from Bell et al., (1982)

These results are consistent with those obtained by several authors (Singh and Kumar, 2011; Alwathnani and Perveen, 2012; Gajera et al., 2012; Mokhtar and Dehimat, 2012) that Trichoderma spp. had the ability to antagonism various pathogenic fungi. Different mechanisms are known and include mycoparasitism, antibiotics and degrading enzymes production have been detected (Haran et al., 1996; Sharma et al., 2009). Also, Pseudomonas fluorescens had been reported as effective bio-agent against Fusarium spp. (Sallam et al., 2013; Toua et al., 2013), and Pythium spp. (Gravel et al., 2009). The ability of Pseudomonas fluorescens to suppress plant pathogenic fungi may be due to the production of antibiotics, hydrolytic (hydrolase) enzymes that can degrade cell walls, iron-chelating siderophores, and several cyclic lipodepsipeptides (Weller, 2007; Kim et al., 2008).

Efficacy of antagonists under greenhouse conditions:

A-Effect on root rot incidence and severity:

Results presented in Table 5 indicate that treatment with *P. fluorescens* (strain3339) reduced foliar disease severity on geranium plants, grown in *Fusarium* and *Pythium* infested soil, after 45 days from transplanting. However, after 60 days, the efficacy of *T. harzianum* (TCNu1) treatment on reducing root rot severity with fungal isolates of *F. anthophilum* and *F. semitectum* was 42.85% and 100.00%,

respectively, while, it had no significant effect with F. proliferatum and P. ultimum. Meanwhile, P. fluorescens significantly reduced root rot severity with F. anthophilum (14.28%) and F. semitectum (60.00%), although it had no significant effect on F. proliferatum and P. ultimum. The results revealed that T. harzianum (TCNu1) was superior than P. fluorescens (strain3339) in reducing root rot severity (Table 5). These results in accordance with the findings of Haggag and Abdel-latif, (2001); Showkat et al., (2012) and Akrami et al., (2013), that T. harzianum and P. fluorescens had the ability to reduce foliar and root rot disease severity, may be due to the multiple mechanisms of action of T. harzianum including: co-parasitism via production of chitinases,β-1-3 glucanases and β-1-4 glucanases, production of geliotoxin, competition, solubilisation of inorganic plant nutrients, induced resistance and inactivation of the pathogen's enzymes involved in the infection process according to Harman (2006); Sundaramoorthy and Balabaskar (2013). Also, the ability of *P. fluorescens* may be due to producing known secondary metabolites such as siderophores, HCN and protease and biosynthesis of these metabolites is modulated by a number of biotic and a biotic factor (Raaijmakers et al. 2002; Ahmadzadeh et al., 2006).

Table 5: Effect and efficacy of antagonists: *Trichoderma harzianum* (TCNu1) and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (strain3339) on incidence and severity of root rot of geranium transplants, grown in soil infested with different fungal pathogen isolates, under greenhouse conditions

Fungal Isolates	Treatment -	Foliar Disease Severity (%)			Root rot severity (%) **	
		30 Days	45 Days	Efficacy (%)*	60 Days	Efficacy (%)
F.	T. harzianum	25.00 _{Aa}	16.66 _{Bb}	50.01	33.33 _{Cc}	42.85
anthophilum	P. fluorescens	0.00_{Ac}	$0.00~\mathrm{Bc}$	100.00	50.00_{Cb}	14.28
GSh 8	None	8.33_{Ab}	33.33_{Ba}	-	58.33 _{Ca}	-
F.	T. harzianum	8.33 _{Ba}	8.33 _{Cb}	66.68	33.33 _{Dc}	0.00
proliferatum	P. fluorescens	$0.00~\mathrm{Bc}$	8.33 _{Cc}	66.68	33.33_{Db}	0.00
GSh 6	None	8.33_{Bb}	25.00_{Ca}	-	33.33_{Da}	-
F. semitectum GQa 1	T. harzianum	8.33 _{Ba}	8.33 _{Ab}	83.34	41.66 Ac	50.00
	P. fluorescens	0.00_{Bc}	0.00_{Ac}	100.00	33.33 Ab	60.00
	None	8.33_{Bb}	50.00_{Aa}	-	83.33 _{Aa}	-
P. ultimum GSh 9	T. harzianum	8.33 _{Ca}	16.66 Ab	50.00	50.00 _{Bc}	0.00
	P. fluorescens	0.00_{Cc}	8.33_{Ac}	75.00	$50.00_{\mathrm{\ Bb}}$	0.00
	None	0.00_{Cb}	33.33 _{Aa}	-	50.00_{Ba}	-
Un-treated		0.00	0.00		0.00	

^{*}Efficacy was calculated, after 45 days from planting of geranium transplants.

Multiple Range Test at probability of 0.05 to identify significant effect of a treatments.

^{**}Root rot severity was assessed on a modified scale of S ánchez-Hern ández *et al.* (2001). ***A, B, C: Data were analyzed by Duncan Multiple Range Test at probability of 0.05 to identify significant effect of an isolates, while a, b, c: Data were analyzed by Duncan

B-Plant growth Parameters:

Results presented in Figure 1A indicated that treatment with T. harzianum (TCNu1) increased main shoot length of geranium plants in infested with F. anthophilum (75.55%),grown soil F. proliferatum (64.05%), F. semitectum (28.46%) and P. ultimum (36.23%), while treatment of P. fluorescens (strain3339) increased main shoot length with fungal isolates of F. anthophilum (68.57%), F. proliferatum (66.68%), F. semitectum (21.43%) and P. ultimum (37.79%). Results presented in Figure (1B) indicate that treatment of T. harzianum (TCNu1) increased main root length of geranium plants grown in soil infested with F. anthophilum (74.61%), F. proliferatum (85.43%), F. semitectum (72.72%) and P. ultimum (20.02%), while treatment of P. fluorescens (strain3339) increased main root length with fungal isolates of F. anthophilum (76.13%), F. proliferatum (84.99%), F. semitectum (61.11%) and P. ultimum (41.36%). Results presented in Figure 1C indicated that treatment of T. harzianum (TCNu1) increased number of leaves of geranium plants grown in soil infested with fungal isolates of F. proliferatum (34.33%) and F. semitectum (42.16%), while it had no significant effect with fungal isolates of F. anthophilum and P. ultimum. Treatment of P. fluorescens (strain3339) increased number of fungal isolates of proliferatum leaves with F. (19.16%),F. semitectum (54.54%) and P. ultimum (21.72%), while it had no significant effect with fungal isolates of F. anthophilum. Results presented in Figure 1D indicated that treatment of T. harzianum (TCNu1) increased number of flowers of geranium plants grown in soil infested with fungai solates of F. semitectum (100.00%) and P. ultimum (100.00%), while it had no significant effect with fungal isolates of F. anthophilum and F. proliferatum. Treatment of P. fluorescens (strain 3339) increased number of flowers by (100.00%) for both F. semitectum and P. ultimum, while it had no significant effect with fungal isolates of F. anthophilum and F. proliferatum. Results presented in Figure 1E indicated that treatment of T. harzianum (TCNu1) increased fresh weight of geranium plants grown in soil infested with F. anthophilum(42.90%), F. proliferatum (43.21%), F. semitectum (38.14%) and P. ultimum (54.87%). Meanwhile treatment of P. fluorescens (strain3339) increased fresh weight with fungal isolates of F. anthophilum (17.65%), F. proliferatum (34.15%), F. semitectum (32.58%) and P. ultimum (31.54%).




Figure (1): Efficacy of antagonists: *Trichoderma harzianum* (TCNu1) () and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (strain3339) () on some growth characters of geranium plants, grown in artificially infested soil, after 60 days from transplanting, under greenhouse conditions.

Results presented in Figure (1F) indicated that treatment of *T. harzianum* (TCNu1) increased dry weight of geranium plants grown in soil infested with *F. anthophilum* (68.98%), *F. proliferatum* (25.89%), *F. semitectum* (33.39%) and *P. ultimum* (41.35%), while treatment of *P. fluorescens* (strain3339) increased dry weight with fungal isolates of *F. anthophilum* (61.71%), *F. proliferatum* (8.28%), *F. semitectum* (15.40%) and *P. ultimum* (34.28%).

Treatment of both *Trichoderma harzianum* (TCNu1) and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (strain3339) had best significant effect on main shoot length and fresh weight of plants. Meanwhile treatment of both *T. harzianum* and *P. fluorescens* had the same effect on the main root length and dry weight of plants. It had no significant effect on number of flowers, while *P. fluorescens* was a more significant than *T. harzianum* on number of leaves.

The positive effect on growth of geranium plants due to treatment with bio-agent have also been reported in other studies (Haggag and Abdel-latif, 2001; Weller, 2007; Alwathnani and Perveen, 2012; Sallam et al., 2013), where Trichoderma harzianum and Pseudomonas fluorescens strains had ability to increase plant growth and vigor, and this increase was attributed to reduce negative effects on diseased root or may be due to the production of plant growth promoters (auxins, gibberellins and cytokines) or through indirect stimulation of nutrient uptake and by producing siderophores or antibiotics to protect plants from deleterious rhizosphere organisms (Harman, 2006; Weller, 2007; Sivasakthi et al., 2014).

Additional work is necessary in order to demonstrate the biocontrol efficacy of these isolates under commercial greenhouses conditions as a component of integrated control strategy of root rot diseases in ornamental nurseries.

Acknowledgment

The research is a part of M.Sc. thesis to be submitted by the author to Plant Pathology Department Fac. Agric., Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt, under supervision of

Prof. Dr. A. A. Mosa and Prof. Dr. M. K. Ali. I greatly acknowledge both supervisors for guidance, valuable help and patience and critical reading of the manuscript.

References

Ahmadzadeh, M.; Afsharmanesh, H.; Javan-Nikkhah, M. and Sharifi-Tehrani, A. (2006). Identification of some molecular traits in fluorescent pseudomonads with antifungal activity. *Iranian Journal of Biotechnology*, 4: 245-253.

Akrami, M.; Khiavi, H.K; Shikhlinski, H. and Khoshvaghtei, H. (2013). Bio controlling two pathogens of chickpea *Fusarium solani* and *Fusarium oxysporum* by different combinations of *Trichoderma harzianum*, *Trichoderma asperellum* and

- *Trichoderma virens* under field condition. *International Journal of Microbiology Research*, 1(2): 052-055.
- Alwathnani, Hend A. and Perveen, K. (2012). Biological control of fusarium wilt of tomato by antagonist fungi and cyanobacteria. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 11(5): 1100–1105. http://doi.org/10.5897/AJB11.3361.
- Armengol, J.; Moretti, A.; Perrone, G.; Vicent A.; Bengoechea, J.A. and Garc á-Jim énez J. (2005). Identification, incidence and characterization of *Fusarium proliferatumon* on ornamental palms in Spain. *European Journal of Plant Pathology*, 112(2): 123–131. http://doi.org/10.1007/s10658-005-2552-6.
- Bell, D.K.; Wells H.D. and Markhan, C.R. (1982). *In vitro* antagonism of *Trichoderma* species against six fungal pathogens. *Phytopathology*, 72: 379-382.
- Daughtrey, M.L.; Wick, R.L and Peterson, J.L. (1995). Compendium of Flowering Potted Plant Diseases. APS Press, St. Paul, MN. 90pp.
- Desilets, H.; Benhamou N. and Be Ianger R.R., (1994). A comparative study of histological and ultrastructural alterations induced by *Pythium ultimum* or its metabolites on geranium (*Pelargonium*) roots. *Physiol. Mol. Plant Pathol.*, 45: 21–36.
- Dhingra, O.D. and Sinclair, J.B. (1985). Basic Plant Pathology Methods.CRC Press Inc., Florida, USA. 355pp.
- Douglas, S.M. (2003). Diseases of Geranium. The Connecticut Agricultural Experiment Station, New Haven, CT. 8pp. http://www.ct.gov/caes/lib/caes/documents/publications/fact_sheets/plant_pathology_and_ecology/diseases_of_geranium.pdf
- El-Gamal, N. G. (1995). Relationship between rhizospheric microflora and fungi causing some root diseases of geranium plants. M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. of Agric., Ain Shams Univ., Cairo, Egypt. 92 pp.
- El-Hadidy, A.A.; Mosa, A.A.; Gowily, A.M. and Aly, M.M. (2002). Biological control of root-rot and wilt diseases of pepper plants. *Journal of Agriculture Science, Mansoura University*, 27(9): 6427-6444.
- Gajera, H.P.; Bambharolia, R.P.; Patel, S.V.; Khatrani, T.J. and Goalkiya, B.A. (2012). Antagonism of *Trichoderma* spp. against *Macrophomina phaseolina*: evaluation of coiling and cell wall degrading enzymatic activities. *Journal of Plant Pathology and Microbiology*, 3(7): 149-155. http://doi.org/10.4172/2157-7471.1000149.
- Garz ón, C.D.; Molineros, J.E.; Yánez, J.M.; Flores, F.J.; Jim énez-Gasco, M.M. and Moorman, G.W. (2011). Sublethal doses of mefenoxam enhance Pythium damping-off of geranium. *Plant Disease*. 95:1233-1238.
- Gravel, V.; Me nard, C. and Dorais, M. (2009). Pythium root rot and growth responses of organically grown geranium plants to beneficial microorganisms. *Horticulture Science*, 44(6): 1622–1627.
- Gullino, M.L. and Wardlow, L.R. (1999). Ornamentals. In: Albajes R.; Gullino M. Lodovica; van Lenteren J.C. and Elad Y. (ed.). Integrated Pest and Disease Management in Greenhouse Crops, pp. 486-505. Kluwer Academic Publisher, The Netherlands. http://doi.org/10.1007/0-306-47585-5.
- Haggag, W.M. and Abdel-latif, F.M. (2001). Interaction between vasicular arbuscular mycorrhizae and antagonistic biocontrol micro-organisms on controlling root-rot disease incidence of geranium plants. *Online Journal of Biological Sciences*, 1(12):1147-1153.
- Haran, S.; Schickler, H. and Chet, I. (1996). Molecular mechanisms of lytic enzymes involved in the biocontrol activity of *Trichoderma harzianum*. *Microbiology*, 142: 2321-2331.
- Harman, G.E. (2006). Overview of mechanisms and uses of *Trichoderma* spp. *Phytopathology*, 96(2): 190-194.

- Hassan, N.; Shimizu, M. and Hyakumachi, M. (2014). Occurrence of root rot and vascular wilt diseases in roselle (*Hibiscus sabdariffa L.*) in upper egypt. *Mycobiology*, 42(1): 66-72. http://dx.doi.org/10.5941/MYCO.2014.42.1.66.
- Hausbeck, M. (2013). Pythium root rot in the greenhouse. Michigan State University Extension, Department of Plant, Soil and Microbial Sciences. http://msue.anr.msu.edu/news/pythium root rot in the greenhouse
- Kim, H.S.; Sang, M.K.; Jeun, Y.C.; Hwang, B.K. and Kim, K.D. (2008). Sequential selection and efficacy of antagonistic rhizobacteria for controlling Phytophthora blight of pepper. *Crop Prot.*, 27 (3–5): 436–443.
- King, E.O.; Ward, M.K. and Raney, D.E. (1954). Two simple media for demonstration of pyocyanin and fluorescein. *J. Lab. Clin. Med.*, 44: 301–307.
- Leslie, J.F.; Summerell, B.A. and Bullock, S. (2006). The Fusarium Laboratory Manual. 1st ed.
 - Wiley-Blackwell Publishing, 388pp.
- Lis-Balchin, M. (2002). Geranium and Pelargonium: History of Nomenclature, Usage and Cultivation. CRC Press. 344pp.
- Miller, D. M. (2002). The taxonomy of Pelargonium species and cultivars, their origins and growth in the wild, pp. 49-79. In: Lis-Balchin, Maria (ed). Geranium and pelargonium: The genera Geranium and Pelargonium. New York: Taylor & Francis.
- Mokhtar, H. and Dehimat, A. (2012). Antagonism capability invitro of *Trichoderma* harzianum against some pathogenic fungi. Agriculture and Biology Journal of North

 America, 3(11): 452–460. http://doi.org/10.5251/abjna.2012.3.11.452.460.
- Moorman, G.W.; Kang, S.; Geiser, D.M. and Kim, S.H. (2002). Identification and characterization of Pythium species associated with greenhouse floral crops in Pennsylvania. *Plant Dis.*, 86: 1227–1231.
- Mosa, A.A.; Shehata, S.T. and Aballah, Soad M. (1997). Biocontrol of cucumber damping-off by fluorescent Pseudomonades. *Egypt. J. Appl. Sci.*, 12: 268-286.
- Naqvi, S.D.Y. and Ahmad, S. (2012). Effect of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* on *Fusarium oxysporum f.sp. gladioli* causing corm rot disease of gladiolus. *Journal of Stored Products and Postharvest Research*, 3(4): 49–51. http://doi.org/10.5897/JSPPR11.049.
- Otadoh, J.A.; Okoth, S.A.; Ochanda, J. and Kahindi, J.P. (2011). Assessment of *Trichoderma* isolates for virulence efficacy on *Fusarium oxysporum f.sp. phaseoli*. *Tropical and Subtropical Agroecosystems*, 13(1): 99–107.
- Plaats-Niterink, A.J.van der. (1981). Monograph of the genus Pythium. Studies in Mycology. 21:1-244.
- Raaijmakers, J.M.; Vlami, M. and de Souza J.T. (2002). Antibiotic production by bacterial biocontrol agents. *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek*, 81: 537–47.
- Reddy, P.P. (2016). Biological Control of Plant Pathogens In: Sustainable Crop Protection under Protected Cultivation, pp. 61-69. Springer Science+Business Media Singapore, (India) Pvt Ltd, New Delhi. http://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-287-952-3.
- Rifai, M.A. (1969). A reversion of the genus Trichoderma. Mycol. Papers, 116:1-56.
- Rini, C.R. and Sulochana, K.K. (2007). Substrate evaluation for multiplication of *Trichoderma* spp. *Journal of Tropical Agriculture*, 45(1-2): 58-60.
- Sallam, N. A.; Riad, S.N.; Mohamed, M.S. and El-Eslam, A.S. (2013). Formulations of *Bacillus* spp. and *Pseudomonas Fluorescens* for biocontrol of cantaloupe root rot caused by *Fusarium solani*. *Journal of Plant Protection Research*, *53*(3): 295–300. http://doi.org/10.2478/jppr-2013-0044.

- Sanchez-Hernandez, E.; Munoz-Garcia, M.; Brasier, C.M. and Trapero-Casas, A. (2001). Identity and pathogenicity of two *Phytophthora* taxa associated with a new root disease of olive trees. *Plant Disease*, 85(4): 411–416.
- Saraswathi, J.; Venkatesh, K.; Baburao, Nirmala; Hilal, M.H. and Rani, A.R. (2011). Phytopharmacological importance of Pelargonium species. *Journal of Medicinal Plants Research*, 5(13): 2587-2598.
- SAS Institute, Inc. (1996). SAS/STAT User's Guide, Version 6, 12th ed. Vol. 2. SAS Institute Inc. Cary, North Carolina, 546pp.
- Sharma, K.; Mishra, A.K. and Misra, R.S. (2009). Morphological, biochemical and molecular characterization of *Trichoderma harzianum* isolates for their efficacy as biocontrol agents. *Journal of Phytopathology*, 157: 51-56.
- Schoellhorn, R. (2003). Geranium for Florida. Environmental Horticulture Fact Sheet CIR472 Florida Cooperative Extension Service, Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences, University of Florida. 7pp.
- Showkat, S.; Murtaza, I.; Laila, O. and Ali, A. (2012). Biological control of *Fusarium oxysporum* and *Aspergillus*sp. by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* isolated from wheat rhizosphere soil of Kashmir. *IOSR Journal of Pharmacy and Biological Sciences*, 1(4): 24–32.
- Singh, P.K. and Kumar, V. (2011). Biological control of Fusarium wilt of Chrysanthemum with *Trichoderma* and botanicals. *Journal of Agricultural Technology*, 7(6): 1603–1613.
- Singleton, L.L; Mihail, J.D. and Buch, C.M. (1992). Methods for research on soil borne phytopathogenic fungi. Aps press, St. Paul, MN, USA. 265pp.
- Sivasakthi, S.; Usharani, G. and Saranraj, P. (2014). Biocontrol potentiality of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR)–*Pseudomonas fluorescens* and *Bacillus subtilis*: A Review. *African Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 9(16): 1265 1277.
- Skidmore, A.M. and Dickinson, C.H. (1976). Colony interactions and hyphal interference between Septoria nodorum and phylloplane fungi. *Transactions of the British Mycological Society*, 66: 57-64.
- Sundaramoorthy, S. and Balabaskar, P. (2013). Biocontrol efficacy of *Trichoderma* spp. against wilt of tomato caused by *Fusarium oxysporum f.sp. lycopersici*. *Journal of Applied Biology* & *Biotechnology*, 1(03): 036-040. http://doi.org/10.7324/JABB.2013.1306.
- Szczech, M.M. (1999). Suppressiveness of vermicompost against Fusarium wilt of tomato. *Journal of Phytopathology*, 147: 155-161.
- Toua, D.; Benchabane, M.; Bensaid, F. and Bakour, R. (2013). Evaluation of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* for the biocontrol of fusarium wilt in tomato and flax. *African Journal of Microbiology Research*, 7(48): 5449–5458. http://doi.org/10.5897/AJMR12.2019.
- Weller, D.M. (2007). *Pseudomonas* biocontrol agents of soilborne pathogens: Looking back over 30 years. *Phytopathology*, 97: 250-256
- Yildiz, F.; Yildiz, M.; Delen, N.; Coşkuntuna, A.; Kinay, P. and Türküsay, H. (2007). The effects of biological and chemical treatment on gray mold disease in tomatoes grown under greenhouse conditions. Turkish Journal of Agriculture and Forestry, 31(5): 319–325.

(Received 29 July 2016; accepted 5 September 2016)