The Occurrence and the Approach to Control of Root and Foot Rot of Pummelo (*Citrus maxima* (Burm.)Merr.)var. Tabtimsiam in Nakhorn Si Thammarat Province

Chaisit Preecha*¹, Wethi Wisutthiphaet¹ and Pornsil Seephueak¹

¹Department of Plant Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Rajamangala University of Technology Srivijaya, Nakhon Si Thammarat, Thailand 80110

Preecha C. Wisutthiphaet W. and Seephueak P. (2015). The Occurrence and the Approach to Control of Root and Foot Rot of Pummelo (Citrus maxima (Burm.)Merr.)var. Tabtimsiam in Nakhorn Si Thammarat Province. Journal of Agricultural Technology. 11(8): 1911-1918.

Pummelo(Citrus maxima (Burm.)Merr.)var. Tabtimsiam growing in PakPanang , Nakhon Thammarat was severe damaged by root and foot rot caused by Si PhytophthoraparasiticaDastur. These survey found that disease severity increasing was related to developing stage from young to mature leaf, flowering, young fruit and mature fruit stage. Symptom began at young leaf stage, leaf turned to brown rot cover all leaf and fall down. At fruit stage, symptom of brown rot appeared from young fruit to mature stage, brown rot extended and fruit also fall dawn. Infectious plant showed stunting, pale and yellow leaf. Main root and stem rotted and gummosis, bark broke out. Severe infect plant, shoot dieback, poor healthy plant with thin canopy appeared, at final stage plant decline most leaf fall down and plant died. Antagonistic bacterial against P.parasitica was isolate PNTS06-5, one out of 123 isolates. It inhibited 95.56 % mycelium growth of *P. parasitica*. Fungal antagonist isolate PNTS 02-1, one of 8 isolates inhibited mycelium growth of 75.93 %. Control efficacy against pathogen of antagonistic bacterium and fungus, and fungicides were done in vitro and orchard. The result shown that fosetyl-aluminum was the highest efficacy control P.parasiticain vitro with 100% mycelium growth inhibition. In orchard, fosetyl-aluminum also shows the best control efficacy. It reduced disease severity of 59.80 %. While, antagonistic bacterial isolate PNTS 06-5, antagonistic fungal isolate PNTS02-1 and conventional fungicide (famer application), carbendazim were lower control efficacy of 36.27, 26.47 and 18.62% respectively.

Keywords: root and foot rot, antagonist

Introduction

Pummelo (*Citrus maxima* (Burm.)Merr.)was economic cash fruit of Thailand. Growing area were NakhonPathom, SumutSakhon, Rajaburee, Chinat, Pichit (central of Thailand), PrachinBuree (eastern of Thailand) Chumporn and Nakhon Si Thammarat (southern Thailand). Common name of this citrus plant was pummelo, pomelo, ,pommelo, pamplemousse, or shaddock. Pummelois one of the four original citrus species including citron, mandarin, and papeda, while *Citrus grandis* (grapefruit) is a pomelo

^{*}Correspondence author: Chaisit Preecha email: skpreecha@yahoo.co.uk

backcross with pummelo \times sweet orange (Mabberley, 1997). The commercial cultivars in Thailand were KhaoTongdee (brilliant gold pomelo), , KhaoPaen, KhaoPhuang, KhaoYai, KhaoHom and Bang Khun Non. The cultivar growing in specific area were KhaoTaengkwa, and ThaKhoi, Kao Nam Pueng (white honey pomelo), KhaoGaew and Pattavia (Chomchalow, et al., n.d.). Pummelo var. Tabtimsiamgrowing in PakPanang ,Nakhon Si Thammarat is the new cultivar adapted in PakPanang from indigenous variety at Pattani. The green pear shape which pink red, sweet juice is its dominance over other varieties. The distinctive taste and red juice color rise up the demand and price. This distinctive produce was limited by response of this cultivar on geographic produce only from PakPanang basin growing area. Disease is one of the seriously problem that will reduce yield and produce quality. Greening, tristeza and root and foot rot is the most destructive disease of pummelo. Root rot and foot rot cause fatal disease of citrus and also pummelo. The symptom appear afterroot system were destroyed 20-30 %. Leaves turned to yellow and some fall, twinge and branch dieback. Plant declined and died at finally. Disease was severe when pummelo was grow on low pH clay soil (Department of Agricultural Extension, Ministry of Agriculture and Cooperative. 2013; Paradornuwatet al., 1984; Sadoodee, 2010).

Phytophthoraparasitica (Dastur), pathogen of root rot disease was soil fungus which wide host range. Morphological characteristic of this pathogen was hyaline coenocytic hypha, produced round ovoid or pear shape sporangium, sexual oospore from oogonium and antheritium, and pair flagella zoospore. (Gallegly, 2008; Ann *et al.*, 2010; Graham and Timmer, 1994; Manoch, and Chana, C.2012). Control this disease was done by amendment soil with decomposes and suppressing pathogen population by adding antagonistic *Chaetomiumcupreum*, *Trichodermarharzianum*, *Bacillus subtilis*, and *B. amyloliquerfaciens*. The infected main root and foot should be whittled and coast with metalexyl or fosetylaluminium(Intana*et al.*, 2009; Phung, *et al.*, 2015; Paradornuwat*et al.*, 1999; Soytong, 2010). This research objected to observed disease incidence in the farmer orchard and also trial to developed control methods to reduce root rot and foot rot cause by *P. parasitica*

Materials and Methods

Survey incident of root and foot rot caused by *P. parasitica* on Pummelo var. Tabtimsiam: was carried out at growing in PakPpanang, Nakhon Si Thammarat. Plant age was category to 3 groups: 1-5, 6-10 years and older than 10 years. Five orchards were sampled and disease symptom was monitor at leaf, twinge, branch, flower, fruit, stem and over soil bare root. Pathogen, *P parasitica* was isolated from sample.Infected symptoms collected from famer orchard in Pakpanung ,Nakhon Si Thammarat were isolated by tissue transplanting method on Modified BNPRA. For soil sampling from rhizosphere was isolate by soil surface dilution plate. Pure culture was transferred to Carrot Agar (CA), Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA) and V-8Juice Agar (V-8) for morphological characteristic identification.

Antagonistic fungi and bacteria were isolated from leaves by leaf washing technique and from soil by soil surface dilution plate. Nutrient using to isolate antagonistic bacteria was added cabendazim and metalaxyl($300 \mu mg/ml$) and added streptomycin ($100 \mu mg/ml$) for isolation antagonistic fungi.

Antagonistic screening was done *in vivo*. High potential antagonistic bacterial fungi and bacterial against *P.parasitica* was screened by dual culture technique. Inhibition percentage of antagonists was calculated on mycelium inhibition.

Fungicides were preliminary screened*in vivo*. Fosetylaluminium(2.5mg/ml),mancozeb(1.8 mg/ml),kresoxim-methyl(0.25mg/ml), copperoxychloride(0.67mg/ml),carbendazim (0.13mg/ml),tridemorph (0.11mg/ml), metalaxyl (0.45mg/ml), were fungicides which farmer in PakPanang , Nakhon Si Thammarat application in their orchards. Those were brought to test *in vivo* at recommended dosage as above. **P**oison media technique was used to screen high potential fungicides to control *P*. *parasitica*.

Fungicides and antagonists were confirmed screening in greenhouse. *P. parasitica*cultured on Oat meal- sand for 10 days was mixed to sterilized soil medium (soil: sand: manure: coconut dust 1: 1: 1: 3) in 6 inches plastic pot. Seedling of pummelo var. Taptimsiam at 75 days was washed and cut off root tip. The bare root seedling was grown on inoculation soil. Two antagonistic fungal PNTS 01-2 and PNTS 02-1and three antagonistic bacterial PNTS 06-5, PNPL 01-5, and PNTS 05-2-3 were compared with fosetyl-aluminium, mancozeb, tridemorph and control. Spore suspension of antagonistic fungi cultured on cooking rice was adjusted to 10⁵ spores /ml. For antagonistic bacterial suspension was adjusted to 10⁶ cfu. Suspension of fungicides and antagonist were poured to soil medium in plastic pot after transferring pomelo seedling 500ml/pot. Disease incidence was monitored until 6 months.

Fungicides and antagonists were trialed in farmer orchard. One of antagonistic fungus, bacterium and two fungicides testing in greenhouse at above were trialed in famer orchard. Three appearing infected symptom at 4 years age pummelo var. Tabtimsiam were selected to be tested plant of each treatment. The antagonistic fungus, bacterium and fungicides suspension were prepared as above. The antagonist was added to mix with cow manure and rice bran (1: 4:100; 1: kg), mixed decompose was leave for seven days before applied to rhizosphere of 4years age infected plants at 5 kg/ plant and

applied 3 time each 30- day interval for 3 month. For fungicide application was sprayed and poured on rhizosphere of infected plants at 20 l/plant each 30-day interval for 3months. Plants healthy recover and disease incidence were observed and recorded for six months.

Statistical analysis

Disease incidence, severity and control efficacy were analysis on CRD. Correlation was used to analysis correlating between disease incidence and environmental factors. RBCD was statistical design for trialing at farmer orchard.

Results and Discussions

The survey of root and foot rot on pummelo var. Tabtimsiamwas done at growing in PakPanang, Nakhon Si Thammarat. The result showed that *P. parasitica* infected and caused disease on the most part of plant. Disease severity on infected part included shoot/young leaf, mature leaf, flower, young fruit, and mature fruit were 0.83, 1.05,1.00, 6.17, and 13.83 % respectively. For root infected plant was difficult to observed, but it caused deadly symptom on plant. The first appearance of symptom was observed as similar to nutrient deficiency/ greening/tristeza. Plant declined, leave and fruit fall and died at final. Paradornuwat et al (1984) described that citrus root infected by*P. parasitica* only 20-30% did not showed the appearance symptom, when symptom appear, the plant was severe damage, foot rot, bark loose, leaf and fruit fall.(Paradornuwat *et al*, 1984; Sadoodee, 2010).

Antagonistic bacteria and fungi against *P. parasitica* were screened *in vivo* throughout mycelium inhibition. Five out 123 isolates of bacteria indicated of high control efficacy. The isolation of PNTS06-5, PNPL 01-5, PNTS 05-2-3, PNPL07-4, andPNTS 02-5 showed the high mycelialgrowth inhibition of 95.56, 89.26, 85.93, 85.19 and84.44% respectively. Four out 8 isolates of fungi including PNTS 02-1, PNTS 01-2, PNTS 03-2andPNTS 04-1 were the high mycelial inhibition of 75.93, 67.41, 65.18and59.26 % respectively (table 1).

 Table 1. High control efficacy bacteria and fungi antagonistic isolates against P. parasiticain vivo.

Bacterial isolate	% inhibition	Fungal isolate	% inhibition
PNTS 02-5	$84.44^{c1/}$	PNTS 01-2	$67.41^{ab1/}$
PNTS 06-5	95.56 ^a	PNTS 04-1	59.26 ^b
PNPL 01-5	89.26 ^b	PNTS 03-2	65.18 ^b
PNPL 07-4	85.19 ^c	PNTS 02-1	75.93 ^a
PNTS 05-2-3	85.93 ^{bc}		

1/= Same letters in the same column indicate that values are not significantly different (p > 0.05).

Several fungicides used by farmer were screened for high control efficacy against P. parasiticain vivo by poison media technique. Fosetylaluminiumwas the excellent control P. parasitica with 100 % inhibited mycelial growth, compared with mancozeb,kresoxim-methyl, copperoxychloride, carbendazim,tridemorph, and metalaxyl of 84.44, 72.22 , 64.07, 61.48, 50.00 and 16.67 % respectively (table 2). Metalexyl was normaly high efficacy to control Phythophthora spp. Whatevermetalaxyl was priority recommend to control Phythophthora spp., in this test, the result revealed that its control efficacy was lower than mancozeb. P. parasitica resistance to metalaxyl have been report by Cohen and Reuveni (1982) and Sliwkaet al. (2007). It was possibility that this pathogen should be resistance to metalaxylin vivo test.

 Table 2. Efficacy control of fungicides to inhibit mycelium growth of *P. parasitica* causing root and foot rot of pummelo (*Citrus maxima* (Burm.) Merr.)var. Tabtimsiamin wive

1110		
Fungicide	% inhibition	
Fosetyl-aluminium (2.50 mg/ml) ^{2/}	$100.00^{a1/}$	
Mancozeb(1.80 mg/ml)	72.22 ^c	
Kresoxim-methyl (2.5mg/ml)	64.07^{d}	
Copperoxychloride(0.68mg/ml)	50.00 ^e	
Carbendazim(0.13mg/ml)	16.67 ^f	
Tridemorph(0.11mg/ml)	84.44 ^b	
Metalaxyl(0.45mg/ml)	61.48^{d}	
Control	_	

1/= Same letters in the same column indicate that values are not significantly different (p > 0.05).

2/ = Testing dosage fallowed product label recommendation

Trial to control root rot of pummelo var. Tabtimsiamseedling caused by *P. parasitica* was carried outin greenhouse. The result showed that fosetyl-aluminium was the highest control efficient of 91.40 %, but it did not distinguish to antagonistic bacteria strains PNTS 06-5, antagonistic fungi strains PNTS 02-1, antagonistic bacteria strains PNTS 05-2-3, and tridemorph with control efficacy 86.96, 80.66, 77.33, 75.84 % respectively (table 3).

Table 3. The efficacy of fungicides and antagonists to control root and foot rot of pummelo

 (*Citrus maxima* (Burm.) Merr.)var. Tabtimsiam seedling caused by *P. parasitica* in greenhouse.

Fungicide and antagonist	Control efficacy	
Fosetyl-aluminium (2.50 mg/ml)	91.40 ^{a1/}	
Mancozeb (1.80 mg/ml)	63.62 ^{cd}	
Tridemorph(0.11mg/ml)	75.84 ^{abcd}	
Fungi strains PNTS 01-2	58.81 ^d	
F strains PNTS 02-1	80.66^{abc}	
Bacteria strains PNTS 06-5	86.96 ^{ab}	
Bacteria strains PNPL 01-5	67.33 ^{bcd}	
Bacteria strains PNTS 05-2-3	77.33 ^{abcd}	
Control	_	

1/= Same letters in the same column indicate that values are not significantly different (p > 0.05).

2/ = Testing dosage fallowed product label recommendation

Fungicides and antagonist that expressed high control efficacy *in vivo* and greenhouse testing were brought to demonstrate at farmer orchard. Control efficacy of fungicides and antagonist were evaluated though the disease severity of test plant. The result showed that disease severity of infected plant treated with fosetyl-aluminium bacterial strains PNTS 06-5 and fungal strains PNTS 02-1 were 34.17, 54.17 and 62.50 % respectively with control efficacy 59.80, 36.27, and26.47 % respectively. They were higher than carbendazim and untreated control of severity 85.00and 69.17 % with control efficacy of cabendazim lowest as 18.62% (table4).

Table 4. The efficacy of fungicides and antagonists to control root and foot rot of pummelo(Citrus maxima (Burm.)Merr.)var. Tabtimsiam caused by P. parasiticaatfarmer orchard.

Fungicide and antagonist	Severity (%)	Control efficacy(%)
Bacterial strains PNTS 06-5	$54.17^{b1/}$	36.27
Fungal strains PNTS 02-1	62.50 ^b	26.47
Fosetyl-aluminium (2.50 mg/ml)	34.17 ^c	59.80
Mancozeb (1.80 mg/ml)	69.17^{ab}	18.62
Control	85.00^{a}	-

 $^{1/}$ = Same letters in the same column indicate that values are not significantly different (*p*> 0.05).

2/ = Testing dosage fallowed product label recommendation

From this research we found that several farmers used the non-effect fungicide (carbendazim) which we indicated the lowest control efficacy *in vivo*, in greenhouse and orchard testing. This trial used cow manure fertilizer to enhance antagonist and plant growth which several reports were supported that manure could prepare nutrient and ecological supporting for antagonist and also for plant growth. (Nesbitt, *et al.*, 1979; Malajczuk and McComb, 1979; Sivapalan*et al.*, 1993, Casale*et al.*, 1995 and Aryantha*et al*, 2000).Although fosetyl-aluminium and bacterial strains PNTS 06-5 and fungal strains PNTS 02-1 were seemly reduced disease incidence when compared with control, but overall performance of plant to recover back and it spent much more cost without return. From this research, these methods were suitable to protect plant. If plant appeared symptom, we recommend cutting dawn and growing the new one after treating soil with antagonist or fungicide.

Acknowledgments

I would like to grateful thank to National Research Council of Thailand for financial supporting and Rajamangala University of Technology Srivijaya for providing fund and facility to do research.

References

Ann, P.J., Wong, I. T. and Tsai, J. N. 2010. New records of Phytophthoradiseases of

aromaticcrops in Taiwan.Plant Pathology Bulletin. 19: 53-68.

- Aryantha, I.P., Cross, R. and Guest, D.I. 2000. Suppression of *Phytophthoracinnamomi*in potling mixes amended with uncomposted and composted animal manures. The American Phytopathological society.90: 775.
- Casale, W.L., Minassian, V., Mengge, J.A., Lovatt, C.J., Pnd, E., Johnson E., and Guillemet. F. 1995. Urban and agricultural wastes for use as mulches on avocado and citrus and for delivery of microbial biocontrol agents. Horticulture. Science. 70(2): 315-332.
- Chomchalow, N., Somsri, S. and Songkhla, P.N.n.d. Market trends and export of Thai fruits. 2010. Available from:<u>http://www.itfnet</u>. org/gfruit/ Slides/Session%201/Market%20 Trends%20and%20 Export%20of%20Thai%20Fruits.pdf.
- Department of Agricultural Extension, Ministry of Agriculture and Cooperative. 2556. Growing Pomelo: Available from <u>http://www.doae.</u> go.th/library/html/detail/somo/so2.html
- Gallegly, M E.2008.Phytophthora: Identifying Species by Morphology and DNA Fingerprints. APS Press. 168.
- Intana, W., Chamswarng, C., Ngamriabsakul, C. and Chantrapromma, K. 2009. The increased efficacy of tangerine root rot control by using combination of mutant strains of *Trichodermaharzianum*. Philippine Agricultural Scientist. 92 (1): 39-45.
- Mäkynena, K., Jitsaardkul, S., Tachasamran, P., Sakaic, N., Puranachotic, S., Nirojsinlapachaic, N., Chattapatc, P., Caengprasatha, N., Ngamukotea, S, and Adisakwattana, S.2013. Cultivar variations in antioxidant and antihyperlipidemicproperties of pomelo pulp (*Citrus grandis* [L.] Osbeck) in Thailand. Food Chemistry: 139(1–4) 735–743.
- Mabberley, D.J. 1997. A classification for edible Citrus (Rutaceae). Telopea 7(2): 167–172.
- Malajczuk, N. and McComb,A.J. 1979. The microflora of unsuberized roots of *Eucalyptuscalophylla*R.Br. and *Eucalyptus marginata*Donn ex Sm. seedlings grown in soilsuppressive and conducive to *Phytophthoracinnamomi*Rands. I. Rhizosphere bacteria,actinomycetes and fungi. Australian Journal of Botany. 1979: 235-254.
- Manoch, L.and Chana, C.2012. Full report submission to National Center for Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology, The collecting and preservation soil and aquafungi project, Biodiversity-Based Economy Development Office (Public Organization), Department of Plant Pathology, Faculty of Agriculture Kasetsart University, 496.
- Nesbitt, H.J., Malajczuk, N.and Glenn, A.R. 1979. Effect of organic matter on the survival of *Phytophthoracinnamomi*Rands in Soil.Soil Biology and Biochemistry. (1): 1-4.
- Paradornuwat, A., Attathom, S., Thaveechai, N., and Hamelink, P.1999. Integrated technology: Tangerine. Department of Plant Pathology, Faculty of Agriculture Kasetsart University, 181.
- Paradornuwat, A., Kositratana, W., Kamjaipai, W, Attathom, S, Thaveechai, N ;Rojanaridpiched,C. 1984. Citrus disease in Thailand. Funny Publishing Part., Ltd., Bangkok, 126.
- Phung, M H, Pongnak, W, Soytong, K, and Supatta, P. 2015. Biological control of *Phytophthorapalmivora* causing root rot of pomelo using Chaetomium spp. Mycobiology. 43(1): 63–70.

- Sadoodee, R. 2010. Diseases and insect pests of pomelo (root rot and foot rot). Department of Pest Management, Faculty of Natural Resources, Prince of Songkla University: Available from <u>http://tubtim.siam2 web.com//?cid=455886</u>.
- Sivapalan, A., Morgan, W.C. and Franz, P.R. 1993. Monitoring populations of soil microorganisms during a conversion from a conventional to an organic system of vegetable growing. Biological Agriculture and Hortriculture. 10: 9-27.
- Sliwka, J.Sobkowiak, S. Lebecka.m, R. and Zimnochm, E.2007. Mating type, virulence, aggressiveness and of metalaxyl-resistant isolates of *Phytophthorainfestants* in Poland.Potato Research (49): 155-166.
- Soytong, K.2010.Evaluation of Chaetomium Biological Fungicide to Control PhytophthoraStemand Root Rot of Durian. Research Journal 3(2):115-124.
- Yigal, C. and Moshe, R.1982. Occurrence of Metalaxyl-Resistant Isolates of *Phytophthorainfestants* in Potato.Fields in Israel. 73(6): 925-927.