Management of potato virus Y (PVY) in potato by some biocontrol agents under field conditions

Al-Ani, Rakib A., Mustafa A. Athab, Oadi N. Matny*

University of Baghdad, College of Agriculture, Department of Plant Protection, Iraq

Al-Ani, Rakib A., Mustafa A. Athab, and Oadi N. Matny (2013) Management of potato virus Y (PVY) in potato by some biocontrol agents under field conditions. Journal of Agricultural Technology 9(4):855-861.

The study was conducted to test the activity of *Pseudomonas fluorescens*, *Rhodotorula* sp and fermented neem extract to protect potato plants against potato virusY disease development under field conditions. Infected potato tubers were soaked in *P. fluorescens*, *Rhodotorula* sp suspensions and in fermented neem extracts separately and sown in the field in completely randomized block design. The development of virus symptoms and the accumulation of virus in the plant based on Enzyme Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) were noted. The results obtained showed that the treatment of potato tubers with the three agents have significantly accelerated plant emergence, 5-6 days earlier than non treated ones, and improved plant growth. The plant dry weights ranged from 120-177 g/plant compared to 42 g/plant in non treated plants. The enhancement of plant growth was found to be associated with reduction in disease severity based on symptoms development and restriction of virus concentration as proven by ELISA absorbance of 405 nm, 0.14-0.23 compared with 2.50 in non treated plants. The results indicated that the use of bio-agent to induce systemic resistance provide an efficient tool, as insecticide alternative to manage potato virus Y in potato.

Key words: PVY, Biological control, Potato

Introduction

Potato virus Y (PVY), the type member of the genus potyvirus family potyviridae, is among the most important viruses infecting potatoes wherever grown in the world causing heavy losses in the yield (Shukla *et al.*, 1994; Glais *et al.*, 2002). PVY induce various types of symptoms on potatoes ranging from mild to severe mosaic often associated with leaf necrosis, crinkling, stunting, and leaf drop (Kerlan *et al.*, 1999; Al-Ani *et al.*, 2011). The virus is transmitted by several species of aphids in a non-persistant manner among them is *Myzus persicae* which was found to be the most efficient (De Bokx and Huttingo, 1980; Brunt *et al.*, 1996; Kerlan, 2006).

^{*} Corresponding author: Oadi N. Matny; e-mail: Oadi77@yahoo.com

The use of insecticides to manage viruses transmitted by aphids in non-persistent manner was found to be ineffective because the insecticide does not act quickly to prevent virus acquisition or inoculation. In addition, the mobility of aphids vectoring the virus during insecticide spray may lead to increase virus dissemination (Stapathy, 1998). Therefore the research was oriented for searching of insecticide alternative to manage non-persistent transmitted viruses.

It has been reported that plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR), mainly Pseudomonas species, isolated from rhizosphere of plants promote plant growth by suppressing soil borne pathogens (Bakker *et al.*,1991;Tuzum and Kloepp,1995; Wei *et al.*, 1996). Some isolates of PGPR were found to be able to activate plant defense through inducing systemic resistance (ISR) in plants against broad spectrum of plant pathogens in various plant species (Kloepper *et al.*, 1992; Van Loon *et al.*, 1998). ISR is generally manifested as reduction of disease and restriction of pathogen growth compared with non-stimulated control plants (Hammerschmidt, 1999). Treatment of plants with plant extracts can also lead to the induction of resistance to pathogen attack (Walter *et al.*, 2005; Al-Ani *et al.*, 2011).

Recently many species of the yeast Rhodotorula have been reported as effective biocontrol agents against pathogens causing post harvest decay on fruits (Quin *et al.*, 2003; Zhang *et al.*, 2007; Zhang *et al.*, 2008, Matny and Faiza, 2012).

The objective of the present study is to evaluate the activity of *Pseudomonas fluorescens*, *Rhodotorula* sp and fermented neem extract in inducing systemic resistance agents against potato viruse Y in potato.

Material and methods

Pseudomonas fluorescens

An isolate of *P. fluorescens* was obtained from plant pathology Lab., Plant protection Dept., College of Agriculture, University of Baghdad, Iraq, which was previously isolated from potato rhizoshere soil. The isolate was grown on nutrient agar (NA) in petri plates at 37 °C for 24 hrs. A well isolated colony was transferred into 200 ml nutrient broth in 250 ml Erlenmeyer flask and maintained at 37 °C for 48 hrs.

Rhodotorula sp.

Rhodotorula was isolated from local pickle. One hundred µl of pickle was plated on potato dextrose agar (PDA) at 25°C for 48 hrs. Well isolated colonies

were separately suspended in 10 ml of physiological solution (0.85% Nacl) and streaked on PDA using sterile loop. The process was repeated several times for isolates purification. The purified isolates were identified as *Rhodotorula* sp at Food Technologies Department, College of Agriculture, University of Baghdad. An isolated colony was inoculated into 200 ml nutrient yeast dextrose broth (NYDB) in 250 ml erlenmeyer flask and incubated at 37 C for 48 hrs.

Fermented neem extract

Leaves of neem, approximately 3 kg, were chopped to small pieces (0.5-1 cm) and plaed in tight plastic container containing 20 L distill water, 450 ml of effective microorganisms (EM) suspension (purchased from EMRO-CO, Japan), and 450 ml of molasses. The container was maintained under Lab conditions for 10-25 days. The mixture was then passed through muslin cloth and used in the next experiments.

Field experiment

Potato tubers of the susceptible cultivar Desiree infected with potato virus Y (PVY) as proven by Enzyme Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) were collected for the field experiment. The tubers were dipped for 12 hrs in suspensions of *P. fluorescens*, *Rhodotorula* sp at 10⁸ cfu/ml and neem extract prepared by the addition of 200 ml of the extract into 5 L of distilled water (according to company instruction) separately. The tubers were sown in the field in a completely randomized block design with 4 treatments and 3 replicates with 6 plants in each replicate. Infected non-treated and healthy tubers were dipped in distilled water as control. Three months after sowing, three of the youngest leaves of each plant were tested for the presence of viral antigens by ELISA protocol.

Enzyme Linked Immunosorbent Assay

Potato virus Y was detected in the plants using double antibody sandwich ELISA as described by Clark and Adams (1977). Young upper leaves of plants were homogenized in carbonate buffer (0.03 M NaHCo3, 0.01M Na2Co3, and 0.2% bovin serum albumin (BSA) at pH 9.6 (1g:10ml). The homogenate was centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 10 min and 200 ml of the supernatant were loaded in each well of ELISA plate previously coated with anti-PVY IgG at 1.5 μg/ml. The plates were incubated at 37 °C for 2 hrs and the wells were washed three times with phosphate buffer saline containing 0.05% Tween-20 (PBST). Each well of ELISA plate was loaded with 200 μl of alkaline phosphates conjugated

IgG (Purchased from BIOREBA AG, Switzerland) diluted at 1:1000 in conjugate buffers (PBST containing 0.2% BSA) and the plates were incubated at 37 °C for 2 hrs. After washing three time as before, the wells were loaded with 200 μl of substrate (P-nitrophenol phosphate) (PNP) at 1 mg/ml in 10% diethanlamine at pH 9.8 and the absorbance values were determined at 405 nm within 2 hrs. Absorbance values equal to twice of healthy tissue absorbance values were considered positive.

Results

Results showed that treatment of PVY-infected tubers with *P.fluorescens*, *Rhodotorula* sp and fermented neem extract induced significant reduction in the time of plant emergence associated with significant increase in plant dry weight compared with infected non-treated plants (control). The times of emergence and plant dry weights were found to be 15, 16, 15 days, and 120.7, 133.3, 177.0 g/plants for the three agents respectively compared with 21 days at 42.7 g/plant from the control (Table 1). Symptoms of mild mosaic appeared on the youngest leaves after 2 weeks of emerging on the control plants, whereas the symptoms on the plants emerged from infected tubers treated with *P.fluorescens*, *Rhodotorula* sp and neem extract were delayed for up to 4 weeks. The symptom on the treated plants remained mild until the end of the experiment, while those on the untreated plants developed rapidly to severe mosaic, crinkling and deformation of the new leaves associated with stunting of the plants.

The treatments of infected potato tubers with the bioagents have induced significant restriction in PVY multiplication in the foliage as shown by low absorbance values on ELISA reaction. The absorbance values on ELISA reaction between anti-PVY antibodies and treated from leaves of plants emerged from infected tubers treated with *P.fluorescens*, *Rhodotorula* sp and neem extract were found to be 0.14, 0.23, 0.18 respectively compared with 2.50 for extract of control plants emerged from infected non-treated tubers (Table 1).

Table 1. Effect of *P.fluorescens*, *Rhodotorula* sp and fermented neem extract on PVY multiplication and plant growth promotion in potato plants

Treatments	Absorbance values	Germination date/day	Dry shoot system/g
P.fluorescens	0.41	15	170.7
Rhodotorula sp	0.23	16	133.3
neem extract	0.18	15	177.0
Infected non-treated	2.50	21	42.70
Healthy non-treated	0.05	18	141.0
LSD 0.05	1.08	2.85	16.40

^{*} Values in the table represent the mean of 6 reading of ELISA absorbance at 405 nm.

Discussion

The result of this study demonstrated that the treatment of potato tubers with fermented neem extract, *P.fluorescens* and *Rhodotorula* sp have significantly stimulated plant emergence and improved plant growth. Several previous studies reported that fermented plant extracts improve plant growth (Lee and Cho,1993; Xu *et al.*, 1999; *Al-Jarah et al.*, 2013). The enhancement of plant emergence by the fermented neem extract could result from substances produced during fermentation of neem leaves by the microorganisms which may act as growth promoters, as well as make others more available to uptake by plant roots. Kremer *et al.* (2000) reported that effective microorganisms induce decomposition of organic compound to other more easy to be obtained by plant roots.

Similar results were obtained with *P.fluorescens* and *Rhodotorula* sp concerning plant emergence and growth promotion. These results showed similarity with many previous studies where microorganisms have been used to promote germination of many crops (Arsac *et al.*, 1990; Kloepper *et al.*, 1980). The enhancement of plant emergence by *P.fluorescens* and *Rhodotorula* sp may be attributed to the secretion of some substances on the tubers that may activate the biological process and accelerate the emergence. Promoting plant growth by the bioagents could results from facilitating uptake of nutrients by roots. It was reported that PGPR promote plant growth directly through nitrogen fixation, phosphorus solubilization to plant available form and production of phytohormones like auxin ,cytokinin, ethylene, indole-3- acetic acid and gibberellic acid, as well as indirectly by suppressing soil borne pathogens (Tuzum and Kloepper,1995; Wei *et al.*, 1996; Kim *et al.*, 1998; Vessey, 2003; Pieterse and Van Loon, 2007).

The enhancement of plant emergence and plant growth promotion triggered by treatment of potato tubers with the bioagents were found to be associated with reduction in disease severity caused by PVY based on symptoms development and restriction of virus accumulation based on ELISA compared with non-induced plants infected with PVY. The activity of PGPR against plant pathogens has been reported to be through competition for nutrients, siderophore medicated competition for iron, or antibiosis (*Bakker et al.*, 1991), or indirectly through induced systemic resistance in plants against pathogens (Van Loon *et al.*, 1998). As there is no direct contact between PVY and bioagents used in this study, the resistance manifested in the plant against the virus can be attributed to some form of induced systemic resistance. It was shown that PGPR strain which induced resistance in cucumber against fungal and bacterial disease can also induced resistance in cucumber and tomato plants against cucumber mosaic virus (Racepach *et al.*, 1996).

Conclusion

PGPR and fermented plant extract are very suitable to promote plant growth and manage plant viral disease because they can be used as seed and seedling treatment or mixed with soil during seedling transplanting. The use of bioagents to induce systemic resistance provides an efficient tool as insecticide alternative to manage potato virus Y in potato.

References

- Al-Ani, R.A, M.A Adhab, S.N.H. Diwan (2011). Systimic resistance induced in potato plants against potato virus Y common strain (PVY⁰) by plant extract in Iraq.Advance in Environmental Biology 5(2):375-380.
- Al-Jarah, N.S, R.A Al-Ani and S. Omar (2013). Biological control of cucumber damping off by using Bokashi and *Trichoderma viride*. International Journal of Sciences. (under publication).
- Arsac, J.F., Lamothe, C., Mulard, D. and Fages, J. (1990). Growth enhancement of maize (*Zea mays* L.) through *A. lipoferum* inoculation: effect of plant genotype and bacterial concentration. Agronomie 10(8):640-654.
- Bakker, P.A.H-M., R. Van Peer and B. Schippers (1991). Suppression of soil-borne plant pathogens by fluorescent Pseudomonads: Mechanisms and prospects In: Beemster A.B.R et al.. leds) Biotic Interaction and soil-Borne Disease, pp. 217-230.
- Brunt, A.A., K. Crabtree, M.J. Dallwitz, A.J. Gibbs and L. Watson (1996). Viruses of Plant .Description and Lists From Wide Database. Cambridge, pp. 1484.
- Clark, M.F. and A.N. Adams (1977). Characteristics of the microplate method of enzymelinked immunosorbent assay for the detection of plant viruses. Journal General Virology 34:475-483.
- De Bokx, J.A, and H. Huttinga (1981). Potato virus Y. CMI/AAB.Description of plant viruses. No. 242. Wellesbourne, UK. Association of Applied Biologists pp. 6.
- Glais, L., M. Tribodet and C. Kerlan (2003). Genomic variability in potato potyvirus Y (PVY): Evidence that PVYNW and PVYNTN variants are single to multiple recombinants between PVY0 and PVYN isolates. Arch. Virol. 147:363-378.
- Hammerschmidt, R. (1999). Induced disease resistance: How do induced plants stop pathogens? Physiol. Mol. Plant Pathology 55:77-84.
- Kerlan, C. (2006). Potato virus Y. CMI/AAB. Description of Plant Viruses, 414: pp. 23.
- Kerlan, C., M. Tribodet, L.Glais and M. Guillet (1999). Variability of potato virus Y in potato crops in France. J. Phytopathol. 147:643-651.
- Kim, K.Y, D. Jordan, G.A Mc Donald (1998). Effect of phosphate solubilizing bacteria and vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhiza on tomato growth and soil microbial activity. Biol. Fertil. Soils. 26:79-87.
- Kloepper, J.W, J. Leong, M. Teintze and M.N. Scorth (1980). Enhanced plant growth by siderophore produce by plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria. Nature. 286:885-886.
- Klopepper, J.W., S. Tuzun and J.A. Kuc (1992). Proposed definitions related to induced disease resistance. Biocontrol Science Technology 2:349-351.
- Kremer, R.J., E.H. Ervin, M.T. Wood and D. Abuchar (2000). Control of *Sclerotinia homoeocarpa* in turf grass using effective microorganism (EM). World J. 1:16-21.

- Lee, K.H. and S.D. Cho (1993). Effective of EM and EM-fermented compost on the growth and yield of rice and vegetable crops in Korea. Proc. 3rd Ind. conf. on Nature forming from sustainable agriculture. California. USA, pp. 5-7.
- Matny, O.N. and F.I. Al-Rawi (2012). Use of Antimicrobial and Biological Agent to Control Green Mold on Orange Fruit. International Journal of Applied Agricultural Research 7(1):45-54.
- Pieterse, C.M.J. and L.C. Van Loon (2007). Signaling cascade involved in induced resistance. In D. Walters, A. Newton and G. Lyon (eds). Induced resistance for plant disease control: A Sustainable Approach to Crop Protection, pp. 65-88.
- Quin, G.Z., S.P. Tian, H.B. Liu and Y. Xu (2003). Biocontrol efficacy of three antagonistic yeasts against *Penicillium expansum* in harvested apple fruits. Acta Botanica Simica 45:417-421.
- Racepach, G.S., L. Liu, J.F Murphy, S. Tuzun and J.W. Kloepper (1996). Induced systemic resistance in cucumber and tomato against cucumber mosaic cucumovirus using plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR). Plant Disease 80:891-894.
- Shukla, D.D., C.W. Ward and A.A. Brunt (1994). The potyviridae, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- Stapathy, M.K. (1998). Chemical control of insect and nematode vectors of plant viruses.P 188-195, in: Plant viruse disease control. A.Hadidi, P.K Khetarpal, and H. Koganezawa, eds. The American Phytopathological Society, St.Paul, MN.
- Tuzum, S. and J.W Kloepper (1995). Practical application and implementation of induced resistance. In: Hammerschmidt R, and Kuc J (eds). Induced Resistance to Disease in Plants, pp. 152-168.
- Van Loon, L.C, P.A.H.M Bakker and M.J. Pieters (1998). Systemic resistance induced by rhizosphere bacteria. Annual Review of Phytopathology 36:453-483.
- Van Loon, L.C., P.A.H.M Bakker and C.M.J. Pieterse (1998). Systemic resistance induced by Rhizosphere bacteria. Annual Review of Phytopathology 36:453-483.
- Vessey, J.K. (2003). Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria as biofertilizers. Plant Soil 255:571-586.
- Wei G, J.W. and S. Tuzun (1996). Induced systemic resistance to cucumber disease and increased plant growth by plant growth promoting rhizobacteria under fild condition. Phytopathology 98:221-224.
- Xu, H.L, R. Wang, M.A.U. Mridha and U. Umemura (1999). Phytophthora resistance of tomato plants grown with EM-Bokashi. Proceeding of the 6th International conference on Kyusei Nature Farming.
- Zhang, H.Y, L.Wang, Y. Dong, S. Jiang, J. Cao, and R.J Meng (2007). Postharvest biological control of gray mold decay of strawberry with *Rhodotorula glutinis*. Biological Control 40:287-292.
- Zhang, H.Y., L. Wang, X.Y. Huang, Y Dong and X.D. Zheng (2008). Integrated control of postharvest blue mold decay of pears with hot water treatment and *Rhodotorula glutinis*. Postharvest Biology and Technology 49:308-313.

(Received 15 March 2013; accepted 30 June 2013)