MALARIA INFECTION AND LIFE-STYLE FACTORS AMONG HILLTRIBES ALONG THE THAI-MYANMAR BORDER AREA, NORTHERN THAILAND

Natchaporn Pichainarong and Wisit Chaveepojnkamjorn

Department of Epidemiology, Faculty of Public Health, Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand

Abstract. A cross sectional study was conducted between January, 2001 and June, 2002 to determine the life-style factors associated with malaria infection among hilltribes in the Chiang Rai Province, Mae Fah Luang district located along the Thai-Myanmar border, northern Thailand. The data collected were a thick blood film examination and a face-to-face interview using a local language interviewer at a mobile clinic or a home visit. The chi-square test, odds ratio, 95% confidence interval and multiple logistic regression were used as data analysis. *P. vivax* (61.3%) was detected more than *P. falciparum* (38.2%). Parasitic infection was seen in 45.8% of a total of 417 blood examinations. The study area was in a valley covered with forests and small streams, which was ideal for a malaria epidemic. The communities were distributed along different ethnic groups. There were 12 ethnic groups, dominated by the Muser, Eko, and Akha tribes (60-70%). The risk factors included living or working in the forest, accompanying their family during movement through the forest, age ≤ 14 years (40.9%), poor knowledge of how to protect against malaria (75-80%), and unavailability of protection against malaria via long sleeved clothes, topical repellents, and insecticide treated nets (use and carry), which resulted in an increased exposure to malaria and risk for malaria infection.

INTRODUCTION

Malaria is a major vector-borne disease. The worldwide incidence is estimated to be 300-500 million clinical cases each year, mostly caused by P. falciparum. The mortality due to malaria has been estimated to be between 1.5 and 2.7 million deaths each year. Of these deaths, about 1 million are children under the age of 5 years (WHO, 2000). Other high-risk groups are pregnant women, non-immune travellers, refugees, displaced persons, laborers and other workers entering endemic areas. Malaria remains a serious health problem in Thailand. The government provides malaria treatment free of charge, with an average expenditure of more than 100 million baht each year. In 2001, there were 67,749 malaria cases in Thais and 58,846 malaria cases in aliens who mainly live on the Thai-Myanmar border (91.15%) (Malaria Division, 2001). The common parasites are P. vivax (53.20%) and P. falciparum (46.29%), which differs from the past year where *P. falciparum* was detected more often than *P. vivax*. Other malaria parasites are very rare (Malaria Division, 2001). Nomadic hilltribes are at risk in several ways. They travel through endemic or high-risk areas with highly efficient infectious vectors. Nomadic hilltribes often have inadequate self-protection, such as chemo-prophylactic drugs, bednets, or repellent. They irregularly use nets because nets are unaffordable and too bulky to carry with them when moving (Plasai and Thimasarn, 1999).

Chiang Rai is similar to other provinces in northern Thailand, which have many hilltribes. The hilltribes are scattered across different provinces. This study aimed at determining the lifestyle factors in the hilltribe population of Mae Fah Luang district, Chiang Rai Province which put them at risk for malaria, and to identify the association between those suspected risk factors and malaria infection.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design and population

A cross-sectional study was carried out in

Correspondence: Natchaporn Pichainarong, Department of Epidemiology, Faculty of Public Health, Mahidol University, Bangkok 10400, Thailand.

Chiang Rai Province, Mae Fah Luang district, between January, 2001 and June, 2002, to assess the risk factors that contribute to malaria infection among hilltribes. The target population was nomadic hilltribes who lived in the endemic areas along the Thai-Myanmar border. All thick blood film (TBF) positive patients were classified as cases, whereas persons who were TBF negative were classified as controls. Mobilization was classified according to the length of stay in the visited area, namely daily movement (≤ 24 hours) and periodic movement (>24 hours to one month, or up to one year) (WHO, 2000). The housing was identified as either permanent or temporary. Permanent was defined as having a complete wall, roof, and high quality construction materials. Temporary had the opposite meaning. Protection was defined as knowledge and activities, which were either preventive or harmful, relevant to malaria infection. This comprised bednet utilization, repellent use, warming near a smoky fire, clothes protection, or chemo-prophylactic drugs. A total of 191 cases and 226 controls were recruited. The TBF testing and interviewing were performed by a mobile clinic and home visits in the Mae Fah Luang district. A questionnaire consisting of six sections: general information, mobilization, dwelling, residential surrounding, knowledge, and practice towards primary protection was utilized. Details of the study were explained verbally to all the participants and informed consent was obtained in writing or by thumb print. The questionnaire was developed and used in the Thai language since the respondent groups were from different ethnic groups and the trained interviewers translated the questionnaire into their local language. The interviewers were able to communicate by using the local language in order to formulate informative validity. General information was described by percentage, mean, median, standard deviation and quartile deviation. Chi-square tests were performed to differentiate proportional exposures between cases and controls for qualitative variables. Univariate analysis, odds ratio, and 95% confidence interval were used for the external variables. Multiple logistic regression was utilized to verify and adjust for possible confounding variables, with statistical significance defined as p < 0.05.

Sample size

The sample size was calculated by the formula (Kelsey *et al*, 1996).

$$n = \frac{2P(1-P)[Z_{\alpha/2} + Z_{\beta}]^2}{(P_1 - P_{\alpha})^2}$$

Where n = the minimum number of subjects that were included, P_o = the proportion working in the forest 2 weeks prior to blood examination in controls = 0.18 (Fungladda *et al*, 1987), P₁ = proportion of those working in the forest 2 weeks prior to blood examination in cases = 0.34, $Z_{\alpha/2} = 1.96$ at $\alpha = 0.05$, $Z_{\beta} = 1.28$ at $\beta = 0.10$, P = 0.26, the calculated sample size in each group was at least 158.

RESULTS

The TBF test results revealed that 61.3% of the positive cases were infected with P. vivax, whereas 38.2% were infected with P. falciparum. This differs from the previous year where P. falciparum was detected more often than P. vivax. Of the total 191 positive malaria infected cases, approximately 69% were male. The majority of the cases were age ≤ 14 years (40.9%). The controls were mostly aged greater than 34 years (53.5%). The average age was 22.8 years in the cases and 35.8 years in the controls. Most of them (60.7% of cases, 83.6% of controls) had no schooling. The two most common occupations among the cases were agriculture (42.5%) and unemployment (33.9%), and among the controls were agriculture (52.2%) and employees (11.5%). The malaria cases had a higher median family monthly and daily income than the controls (2,400.0 baht/month, 70.0 baht/day in the cases; 900.0 baht/month, 50.0 baht/day in the controls) (1 US = 40 baht). The study was conducted among the hilltribes, most of them being Muser and Eko (66.7%) in the cases; and Muser, Eko, and Akha (71.6%) in the controls. More than half of them resided near a stream (58%), and almost half of them had a workplace near a stream (45.6%). The average distance to a stream from their residence was $1,432.9 \pm 1,192.3$ m in the cases and $1,435.0 \pm 873.6$ m in the controls (p = 0.680). The average distance to the forest from their residence was $1.212.7 \pm 966.7$ m in the cases and 873.6 ± 863.4 m in the controls (p = 0.642).

Table	1
-------	---

Comparison of the selected socio-demographics, movement and environment between the malaria cases and the non-malaria controls.

Malaria infection					Malaria infection						
Characteristics	Pos	Positive		itive Negative		Characteristics	Positive		Negative		p-value
	N	%	N	%	_ 1		N	%	N	%	
Age (yr) (N=417)				<0.001 ^a	Movement (N=	417)			<	< 0.243
0-4	7	3.7	2	0.9)	Yes	150	78.5	187	82.7	
5-14	71	37.2	6	2.7	7	No	41	21.5	39	17.3	
15-24	37	19.4	35	15.5	5	Forest stay 14 d	lays pri	or to bei	ng sick	. <	<0.001 ^a
25-34	27	14.1	62	27.4	1	(N=413)					
35-44	31	16.2	69	30.5	5	Yes	126	66.0	62	27.9	
≥45	18	9.4	52	23.0)	No	65	34.0	160	72.1	
Mean ± SD	22.8	± 14.9	35.8 ±	± 12.6		Dwelling location	on (N=	396)			0.003ª
Gender (N=417))				0.790	Employer provid	led 12	6.5	16	7.6	
Male	131	68.59	153	67.3	7	Their own	165	89.7	168	79.2	
Female	60	31.41	73	32.3	3	Others	7	3.8	28	13.2	
Education (N=4	17)				<0.001 ^a	House condition	n (N=4	14)			0.031ª
No schooling	116	60.7	189	83.0	5	Permanent	166	88.3	180	79.6	
≥Primary school	75	39.3	37	16.4	1	Temporary	22	11.7	46	20.4	
Occupation (N=	412)				<0.001ª	House construc	tion (N	N=415)			0.255
Agriculture	79	42.5	118	52.2	2	Hut / bamboo	153	80.5	174	77.3	
Wood cutting and	4	2.2	18	8.0)	Brick / wood	37	19.5	51	22.7	
gathering forest						Wall type (N=4	13)	1710	01		0.140
products						Thatch/leaf/	161	85.2	177	79.0	01110
Employee	9	4.8	26	11.5	5	hamboo	101	05.2	1//	17.0	
Merchant	12	6.5	14	6.2	2	Brick/wood	28	14.8	47	21.0	
Othemployment	63	33.9	14	6.2	2	Well commission		202)		2110	0.071
Others	19	10.1	36	15.9)	wan completen	less (IN	=392)	107	00.0	0.271
Family income (baht/n	nonth) (l	N=297))	<0.001ª	res	108	92.3	187	89.0	
< 1,000	11	10.1	107	56.9)		14	1.1	23	11.0	0.044
1,001-2,000	30	27.5	52	27.7	7	Roof type (N=:	365)				0.064
2,001-3,000	54	49.6	25	13.3	3	Thatch/leaf	136	82.4	146	73.0	
> 3,000	14	12.8	4	2.	l	Ceramic/	29	17.6	54	27.0	
Mean±SD	2,431.8	±1,078.8	1,226	.7±95().1	galvanized iro	n				
Median±QD	2,400.0	±750.0	900	.0±450	0.0	Dwelling in the	forest	(N=417)	<	<0.001ª
Family income (baht/d	ay) (N=	176)		<0.001ª	Yes	90	47.1	61	27.0	
≤ 30	5	8.5	13	11.	1	No	101	52.9	165	73.0	
31-60	20	33.9	74	63.	2	Workplace in t	ne fores	st (N=4	17)	<	<0.001ª
61-90	16	27.1	20	17.	2	Yes	154	80.6	101	44 7	
> 90	18	30.5	10	8.	5	No	37	19.4	125	55.3	
Mean±SD	72.1	±30.4	56.	3±21.	2	Malaria knowl	dae (N	J_417)	120	0010	0 169
Median±QD	70.0	±25.0	50.	.0±15.	0		age (r	N=417	12	10.0	0.108
Ethnic groups (1	N=379)			<0.001 ^a	Low	47	24.0 75.4	43 183	81.0	
Akha	12	7.5	58	27.0)			,	100	0110	
Hou	16	10.1	29	13.5	5	p-value of Pearson	n chi-sq	uare; ^a S	tatistica	al signi	ificance
Muser	37	23.3	52	24.	-	at $\alpha \le 0.05$					
EKO Losow	69 17	43.4	44 21	20.	2						
Other (Yao Lahu	1/	5.0	21 11	9.0) 	The average put	mher o	f famil	v mem	here	in both
Mong, Maew, Awa)						the groups wer		valant (5 8 L	23	Noorly

p-value of Pearson chi-square; "Statistical significance at $\alpha \le 0.05$

The average number of family members in both the groups were equivalent (5.8 \pm 2.3). Nearly 100% of both groups had no prophylactic drug use. As expected, the majority of the cases worked

Variables	Po	sitive	Nega	p-value			
	Ν	%	N	%			
Use of bednets (N=41	5)			0.410		
Yes	103	54.5	114	50.4			
No	86	45.5	112	49.6			
Bednet condition	(N=2	269)			0.002ª		
Good	97	80.8	103	69.1			
Poor	23	19.2	46	30.9			
Insecticide treate	d net i	use (N=2	230)		0.006ª		
Yes	63	60.0	53	42.4			
No	42	40.0	72	57.6			
Sleep under bedr	Sleep under bednets (N=249)						
Never	7	6.5	25	17.7			
Sometimes	50	46.3	34	24.1			
Every night	51	47.2	82	58.2			
Carried bednets (outdo	or stay)	(N=275	5)	0.364		
Never	81	61.4	74	51.7			
Sometimes	30	22.7	38	26.6			
Regular	21	15.9	31	21.7			
Use of carried be	Use of carried bednets (N=145)						
Never	4	7.0	18	70.5			
Sometimes	34	59.7	37	42.0			
Regular	19	33.3	33	37.5			
Go to bed (N=12	8)				0.312		
After midnight	2	3.6	7	9.7			
After 10 PM	35	62.5	38	52.8			
Early evening	19	33.9	27	37.5			

				Tabl	e 2				
Com	parison of the	protective	activities	between	the malaria	a cases a	and the	non-malaria	controls.

in the forest (80.6%). There were significant differences in age, education, occupation, family income, ethnic groups, forest stay 14 days prior to being sick, dwelling location, house permanence, working or living in the forest, and preventive activities, namely sleeping under bednets, the condition of the bednets, carrying the bednets, chemical bednet use, repellent use, protective outdoor clothes, and insecticide indoor spraying by officers (p < 0.001). The other variables had no statistical significance in either group (Tables 1-2).

After adjusting for potential confounders, 7 independent variables were significantly associated with malaria infection in the final model of multiple logistic regression. These include age

Variables	Ро	sitive	Nega	p-value	
	Ν	%	Ν	%	
Mosquito repelle	nt use	(N=37	2)		0.001ª
Yes	7	3.7	27	14.7	
No	181	96.3	157	85.3	
Wear long sleeve	dress	inside (N=394)	1	0.191
Never	6	3.3	3	1.4	
Sometimes	70	38.7	98	46.0	
Everyday	105	58.0	112	52.6	
Wear long sleeve	dress	outdoor	rs (N=4	13)	0.001ª
Never	6	3.1	1	0.4	
Sometimes	100	52.4	91	41.0	
Everyday	85	44.5	130	58.6	
Repel mosquitos	by sm	oky fire	e (N=41	4)	0.279
Never	44	23.0	41	18.4	
Sometimes	84	44.0	93	41.7	
Everyday	63	33.0	89	39.9	
Insecticide spray	N=412)			<0.001ª	
Never	19	10.1	50	22.4	
Partial spray	71	37.6	101	45.3	
Complete spray	99	52.3	72	32.3	
Insecticide indoo (N=410)	<0.001ª				
Never	91	48.6	151	67.7	
Once/year	62	33.2	45	20.2	
Twice/year	34	18.2	27	12.1	

.

p-value of Pearson chi-square; "Statistical significance at $\alpha \le 0.05$

(0-14 years), occupation (merchant, unemployment), family income \geq 2001 baht/month, ethnic groups (Muser, Eko), forest stay 14 days prior to being sick, working or living in the forest (adjusted OR = 15.27, 8.85, 16.41, 9.10, 5.67, 6.56, 19.49, 3.23, 4.83, respectively) (Table 3).

DISCUSSION

According to the univariate analysis the results show that the sociodemographic status and living or working in the forest are associated with malaria infection. These findings are similar to previous studies (Butraporn *et al*, 1986; Fungladda *et al*, 1987). Practical preventive activities, such as using insecticide treated bednets, repellent, pro-

p-value of Pearson chi-square; "Statistical significance at $\alpha \le 0.05$

Age (yr) 24.58 15.27 $2.26-103.60$ 0.005° 15-3415.010.770.39-1.55 0.005° ≥ 351.00 0.77 $0.39-1.55$ 0.607 EducationNo schooling 3.30 1.23 $0.55-2.75$ 0.607 ≥ Primary school 1.00 0.52 0.65 $0.15-2.82$ 0.568 Mod cutting and gathering forest products 0.33 0.48 $0.12-1.98$ 0.311 Employee 0.52 0.65 $0.15-2.82$ 0.568 Merchant 1.28 8.85 $2.21-35.37$ 0.002° Unemployment 6.72 16.41 $5.42\cdot49.73$ $<0.001^{\circ}$ Others 0.79 1.28 $0.51-3.23$ 0.595 Agriculture 1.00 0.595 0.595 0.595 Employee (baht / month) $\ge 2,001$ 9.09 9.10 $3.04-27.22$ $<0.001^{\circ}$ $< 2,000$ 1.00 1.00 0.99 9.10 $3.04-27.22$ $<0.001^{\circ}$ Ethnic groupsHou 2.67 1.67 $0.44-6.35$ 0.453 Muser 3.91 2.03 $0.65-6.35$ 0.223 Others 3.51 2.28 $0.46-11.34$ 0.312 Akha 1.00 -1.00 -1.001° -1.001° Ethnic groupsHou 2.67 1.67 $0.44-6.35$ 0.453 Mode Solution Solution Solution	Variables	OR _c	OR _a	95% CI (OR _a)	p-value
0-14 24.58 15.27 2.26-103.60 0.005 ^a 15-34 15.01 0.77 0.39-1.55 0.005 ^a ≥ 35 1.00 0.005 ^a 0.55-2.75 0.607 Education 1.00 0.005 ^a 0.55-2.75 0.607 ≥ Primary school 1.00 0.005 ^a 0.55-2.75 0.607 Occupation 0.00 0.002 ^a 0.55-2.75 0.607 Wood cutting and gathering forest products 0.33 0.48 0.12-1.98 0.311 Employee 0.52 0.65 0.15-2.82 0.568 Merchant 1.28 8.85 2.21-35.37 0.002 ^a Unemployment 6.72 16.41 5.42-49.73 <0.001 ^a Others 0.79 1.28 0.51-3.23 0.595 Agriculture 1.00 0.09 9.10 3.04-27.22 <0.001 ^a ≤ 2,001 9.09 9.10 3.04-27.22 <0.001 ^a < 2,000	Age (yr)				
15-34 15.01 0.77 0.39-1.55 ≥ 35 1.00 Education No schooling 3.30 1.23 0.55-2.75 0.607 ≥ Primary school 1.00 0 0 0 Occupation 0.052 0.65 0.15-2.82 0.568 Merchant 1.28 8.85 2.21-35.37 0.002° Unemployee 0.79 1.28 0.51-3.23 0.595 Agriculture 1.00 0 0 0 0 Family income (baht / month) 2,001 9.09 9.10 3.04-27.22 <0.001°	0-14	24.58	15.27	2.26-103.60	0.005ª
≥ 35 1.00 Education No schooling 3.30 1.23 0.55-2.75 0.607 ≥ Primary school 1.00 Occupation Wood cutting and gathering forest products 0.33 0.48 0.12-1.98 0.311 Employee 0.52 0.65 0.15-2.82 0.568 Merchant 1.28 8.85 2.21-35.37 0.002 ^a Unemployment 6.72 16.41 5.42-49.73 <0.001 ^a Others 0.79 1.28 0.51-3.23 0.595 Agriculture 1.00 Family income (baht / month) ≥ 2,001 9.09 9.10 3.04-27.22 <0.001 ^a < 2,000 1.00 Ethnic groups Hou 2.67 1.67 0.44-6.35 0.453 Muser 3.44 5.67 1.97-16.30 0.001 ^a Eko 7.57 6.56 2.36-18.25 <0.001 ^a Eko 7.57 6.56 2.36-18.25 <0.001 ^a Lesaw 3.91 2.03 0.65-6.35 0.223 Others 3.51 2.28 0.46-11.34 0.312 Akha 1.00 Forest stay 14 days prior to being sick 5.81 19.49 9.17-41.44 <0.001 ^a Hous 6.690	15-34	15.01	0.77	0.39-1.55	
Education No schooling 3.30 1.23 0.55-2.75 0.607 ≥ Primary school 1.00 1.00 0 <t< td=""><td>≥ 35</td><td>1.00</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	≥ 35	1.00			
No schooling 3.30 1.23 0.55-2.75 0.607 ≥ Primary school 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 Occupation Uwod cutting and gathering forest products 0.33 0.48 0.12-1.98 0.311 Employee 0.52 0.65 0.15-2.82 0.568 Merchant 1.28 8.85 2.21-35.37 0.002 ^a Unemployment 6.72 16.41 5.42-49.73 <0.001 ^a Others 0.79 1.28 0.51-3.23 0.595 Agriculture 1.00 Description Description Description ≥ 2,001 9.09 9.10 3.04-27.22 <0.001 ^a < 2,000 1.00 Description Description Description Ethnic groups Umatrix Section Section Description Hou 2.67 1.67 0.44-6.35 0.453 Muser 3.44 5.67 1.97-16.30 0.001 ^a Eksaw 3.91 2.03 0.65-6.35 0.223 Others 3.51 2.28 0.46-11.34 <	Education				
≥ Primary school 1.00 Occupation Wood cutting and gathering forest products 0.33 0.48 0.12-1.98 0.311 Employee 0.52 0.65 0.15-2.82 0.568 Merchant 1.28 8.85 2.21-35.37 0.002 ^a Unemployment 6.72 16.41 5.42-49.73 <0.001 ^a Others 0.79 1.28 0.51-3.23 0.595 Agriculture 1.00 Family income (baht / month) ≥ 2,001 9.09 9.10 3.04-27.22 <0.001 ^a < 2,000 1.00 Ethnic groups Hou 2.67 1.67 0.44-6.35 0.453 Muser 3.44 5.67 1.97-16.30 0.001 ^a Eko 7.57 6.56 2.36-18.25 <0.001 ^a Lesaw 3.91 2.03 0.65-6.35 0.223 Others 3.51 2.28 0.46-11.34 0.312 Akha 1.00 Forest stay 14 days prior to being sick 5.81 19.49 9.17-41.44 <0.001 ^a Hous 0.690	No schooling	3.30	1.23	0.55-2.75	0.607
Occupation 0.33 0.48 0.12-1.98 0.311 Employee 0.52 0.65 0.15-2.82 0.568 Merchant 1.28 8.85 2.21-35.37 0.002 ^a Unemployment 6.72 16.41 5.42-49.73 <0.01 ^a Others 0.79 1.28 0.51-3.23 0.595 Agriculture 1.00 1.00 Family income (baht / month) ≥ 2,001 9.09 9.10 3.04-27.22 <0.001 ^a < 2,000	\geq Primary school	1.00			
Wood cutting and gathering forest products0.330.480.12-1.980.311Employee0.520.650.15-2.820.568Merchant1.288.852.21-35.370.002°Unemployment6.7216.415.42-49.73<0.001°	Occupation				
Employee0.520.650.15-2.820.568Merchant1.288.852.21-35.370.002°Unemployment6.7216.415.42-49.73<0.001°	Wood cutting and gathering forest products	0.33	0.48	0.12-1.98	0.311
Merchant1.288.852.21-35.37 0.002^{a} Unemployment 6.72 16.41 $5.42-49.73$ $<0.001^{a}$ Others 0.79 1.28 $0.51-3.23$ 0.595 Agriculture 1.00 $22,001$ 0.99 9.10 $3.04-27.22$ $<0.001^{a}$ Ethnic groups Hou 2.67 1.67 $0.44-6.35$ 0.453 Muser 3.44 5.67 $1.97-16.30$ 0.001^{a} Eko 7.57 6.56 $2.36-18.25$ $<0.001^{a}$ Lesaw 3.91 2.03 $0.65-6.35$ 0.223 Others 3.51 2.28 $0.46-11.34$ 0.312 Akha 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	Employee	0.52	0.65	0.15-2.82	0.568
Unemployment 6.72 16.41 $5.42-49.73$ $<0.001^{a}$ Others 0.79 1.28 $0.51-3.23$ 0.595 Agriculture 1.00 1.00 1.28 $0.51-3.23$ 0.595 Family income (baht / month) $\geq 2,001$ 9.09 9.10 $3.04-27.22$ $<0.001^{a}$ $< 2,000$ 1.00 1.00 $$	Merchant	1.28	8.85	2.21-35.37	0.002 ^a
Others 0.79 1.28 $0.51-3.23$ 0.595 Agriculture 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 Family income (baht / month) $\geq 2,001$ 9.09 9.10 $3.04-27.22$ $<0.001^a$ $< 2,000$ 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 Ethnic groupsHou 2.67 1.67 $0.44-6.35$ 0.453 Muser 3.44 5.67 $1.97-16.30$ 0.001^a Eko 7.57 6.56 $2.36-18.25$ $<0.001^a$ Lesaw 3.91 2.03 $0.65-6.35$ 0.223 Others 3.51 2.28 $0.46-11.34$ 0.312 Akha 1.00 1.88 1.99 $0.50-2.81$ 0.690	Unemployment	6.72	16.41	5.42-49.73	<0.001ª
Agriculture 1.00 Family income (baht / month) $\geq 2,001$ 9.09 9.10 $3.04-27.22$ $<0.001^a$ $< 2,000$ 1.00 Ethnic groupsHou 2.67 1.67 $0.44-6.35$ 0.453 Muser 3.44 5.67 $1.97-16.30$ 0.001^a Eko 7.57 6.56 $2.36-18.25$ $<0.001^a$ Lesaw 3.91 2.03 $0.65-6.35$ 0.223 Others 3.51 2.28 $0.46-11.34$ 0.312 Akha 1.00 1.88 1.99 $0.50-2.81$ 0.600	Others	0.79	1.28	0.51-3.23	0.595
Family income (baht / month)≥ 2,0019.099.10 $3.04-27.22$ < 0.001^a < 2,000	Agriculture	1.00			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Family income (baht / month)				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	≥ 2,001	9.09	9.10	3.04-27.22	<0.001ª
Ethnic groupsHou2.671.67 $0.44-6.35$ 0.453 Muser3.445.67 $1.97-16.30$ 0.001^a Eko7.57 6.56 $2.36-18.25$ $<0.001^a$ Lesaw3.912.03 $0.65-6.35$ 0.223 Others3.512.28 $0.46-11.34$ 0.312 Akha1.00 $<$ $<$ Forest stay 14 days prior to being sick 5.81 19.49 $9.17-41.44$ $<0.001^a$ House condition 1.88 1.99 $0.50-2.81$ 0.690	< 2,000	1.00			
Hou 2.67 1.67 $0.44-6.35$ 0.453 Muser 3.44 5.67 $1.97-16.30$ 0.001^a Eko 7.57 6.56 $2.36-18.25$ $<0.001^a$ Lesaw 3.91 2.03 $0.65-6.35$ 0.223 Others 3.51 2.28 $0.46-11.34$ 0.312 Akha 1.00 $<$ $<$ $<$ Forest stay 14 days prior to being sick 5.81 19.49 $9.17-41.44$ $<0.001^a$ House condition 1.88 1.99 $0.50-2.81$ 0.690	Ethnic groups				
Muser 3.44 5.67 $1.97-16.30$ 0.001^{a} Eko 7.57 6.56 $2.36-18.25$ $<0.001^{a}$ Lesaw 3.91 2.03 $0.65-6.35$ 0.223 Others 3.51 2.28 $0.46-11.34$ 0.312 Akha 1.00 \cdot \cdot \cdot Forest stay 14 days prior to being sick 5.81 19.49 $9.17-41.44$ $<0.001^{a}$ House condition 1.88 1.99 $0.50-2.81$ 0.690	Hou	2.67	1.67	0.44-6.35	0.453
Eko 7.57 6.56 2.36-18.25 <0.001a Lesaw 3.91 2.03 0.65-6.35 0.223 Others 3.51 2.28 0.46-11.34 0.312 Akha 1.00	Muser	3.44	5.67	1.97-16.30	0.001ª
Lesaw 3.91 2.03 0.65-6.35 0.223 Others 3.51 2.28 0.46-11.34 0.312 Akha 1.00	Eko	7.57	6.56	2.36-18.25	$< 0.001^{a}$
Others 3.51 2.28 0.46-11.34 0.312 Akha 1.00	Lesaw	3.91	2.03	0.65-6.35	0.223
Akha 1.00 Forest stay 14 days prior to being sick 5.81 19.49 9.17-41.44 <0.001 ^a House condition 1.88 1.99 0.50-2.81 0.600	Others	3.51	2.28	0.46-11.34	0.312
Forest stay 14 days prior to being sick 5.81 19.49 9.17-41.44 <0.001 ^a House condition 1.88 1.99 0.50-2.81 0.600	Akha	1.00			
House condition 1.88 1.99 0.50-2.81 0.690	Forest stay 14 days prior to being sick	5.81	19.49	9.17-41.44	<0.001ª
1.00 1.00 0.00-2.01 0.000	House condition	1.88	1.99	0.50-2.81	0.690
Dwelling in the forest 2.41 3.23 1.47-7.13 0.004 ^a	Dwelling in the forest	2.41	3.23	1.47-7.13	0.004^{a}
Work place in the forest 5.15 4.83 2.14-10.89 <0.001 ^a	Work place in the forest	5.15	4.83	2.14-10.89	$< 0.001^{a}$

Table 3 Crude and adjusted odds ratio of various risk factors by multiple logistic regression.

^aStatistical significance at $\alpha \le 0.05$

tective clothing (wearing long sleeved shirts and trousers) and insecticide indoor spraying were inversely associated with malaria infection, which is concordant with the randomized controlled trials (RCTs) of Croft (2000). The significant variables from multivariate analysis were age (0-14 years), unemployment, income, ethnic group, and working or living in the forest. Living in the forest has an association with malaria infection similar to a previous study (Chaveepoinkamjorn and Pichainarong, 2004). Previous studies reported that the older age groups of 15-34 years exhibited the majority of infections but this study found age ≤ 14 years was more often infected. This disagrees with Kanjanapan et al (1983) and Butraporn et al (1995). Many young unemployed hilltribe people accompany their families into the forest. This problem increases the risk of malaria infection in young

838

hilltribe people. Malaria is commonly a jungle disease, requiring a stream nearby. It is transmitted by the bite of an infected female anopheline mosquito (Baudon and Martet, 1997), which bite at dusk and during the night (White, 1996). The hilltribe lifestyle in the forest requires preventive action, such as wearing long sleeved shirts and trousers to reduce the incidence of malaria, p = 0.02(Shoepke et al, 1998). It would seem sensible to wear long sleeved shirts and trousers (long traditional clothes) at dusk, and to wear light, rather than dark, colors, as insects prefer landing on dark surfaces (Bradley and Warhurst, 1997). Infants and young children have sensitive skin. Some researchers advise that only plant base topical repellents, such as citronella oil, are safe for the young and pregnant (Bouchaud et al, 1998). Insecticide treated nets constitute one of the cheapest, simplest, and

most effective methods of preventing morbidity and mortality from malaria in Africa and Asia (Lengeler, 2002; Armstrong-Schellenberg et al, 2001). The use of insecticide treated nets in Thailand resulted in no evidence of toxic effects to mothers or fetuses (Dolan et al, 1993). The greatest challenge over the next decade will be to expand the use of affordable nets among disadvantaged and poor people, who often need the nets the most. New ways of protecting individuals include using insecticides applied to a variety of surface, such as blankets, tents, mats, curtains or incorporated into the fiber of plastic tarpaulins used as shelter materials/dwellings (Hewitt et al, 1995; Rowland et al, 1999). Such measures, according to some studies; have good short term results, and are easy to implement by nomadic hilltribes.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank the staff of the Vector-Borne Disease Control Units 9 in Chiang Rai Province. We also thank those whom we did not mention, for their kindness and encouragement.

REFERENCES

- Armstrong-Schellenberg JRM, Abdulla S, Nathan R, Mukasa O, Lengeler C. Effect of large-scale social marketing of insecticide-treated nets on child survival in rural Tanzania. *Lancet* 2001; 357: 1241-7.
- Baudon D, Martet G. Paludisme et voyageurs: protection et information. *Med Trop* 1997; 57: 497-500.
- Bouchaud D, Longuet C, Coulaud JP. Prophylaxie du paludisme. *Rev Prat* 1998; 48:279-86.
- Bradley DJ, Warhurst DC. Guidelines for the prevention of malaria in travellers from the United Kingdom. *Commun Dis Rep CDR Rev 1997*; 7:R137-52.
- Butraporn P, Sornmani S, Hungsapruek T. Social, behavioural, and housing factors and their interactive effects associated with malaria occurrence in East Thailand. *Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health* 1986; 17: 386-92.
- Butraporn P, Prasittisuk C, Krachaiklin S, Chareonjai P. Behaviors in self prevention of malaria among mobile populations in East Thailand. *Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health* 1995; 26: 213-7.
- Chaveepojnkamjorn W, Pichainarong N. Malaria infection among the migrant population along the Thai-Myanmar border area. Southeast Asian J Trop Med

Public Health 2004; 35: 48-52.

- Croft A. Clinical review: malaria prevention in travellers. Br Med J 2000; 321: 154-60.
- Dolan G, ter Kuile FO, Jacoutot V, *et al.* Bed nets for the prevention of malaria and anemia in pregnancy. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg* 1993; 87: 620-6.
- Fungladda W, Sornmani S, Klongkamnuankarn K, Hungsapruek T. Sociodemograhpic and behavioral factors associated with hospital malaria patients in Kanchanaburi, Thailand. J Trop Med Hyg 1987; 90: 233-7.
- Hewitt S, Rowland M, Nasir M, Kamal M, Kemp E. Pyrethroid sprayed tents for malaria control: an entomological evaluation in Pakistan's North West Frontier Province. *Med Vet Entomol* 1995; 9: 344-52.
- Kanjanapan W. Health effects of labor mobility: A study of malaria in Kanchanaburi Province, Thailand. Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health 1983; 14: 54-7.
- Kelsey LJ, Whittemore AS, Thompson ND, Evan AS. Methods in observational epidemiology. 2nd ed. New York : Oxford University Press, 1996: 332.
- Lengeler C. Insecticide-treated bednets and curtains for preventing malaria. Cochrane Library Issue 2. Oxford: Update Software, 2002.
- Malaria Division, Department of Communicable Disease Control, Ministry of Public Health. Annual report 2001. Bangkok: The War Veterans Organization of Thailand, 2001 (in Thai).
- Plasai V, Thimasarn K. Migration and malaria control. Malaria Forum 1999; 2: 30-6.
- Rowland M, Durrani N, Hewitt S, *et al.* Permethrin treated chaddars and top-sheets: appropriate technology for protection against malaria in Afghanistan and other complex emergencies. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg* 1999; 93: 465-72.
- Schoepke A, Steffen R, Gratz N. Effectiveness of personal protection measures against mosquito bites for malaria prophylaxis in travellers. *J Travel Med* 1998; 128: 931-40.
- White NJ. Malaria. In:Cook GC, ed. Manson's tropical diseases. 20th ed. London: Saunders, 1996: 1087-164.
- World Health Organization. Implementation of Roll Back Malaria in the Six Mekong Countries: Report on the Planning Meeting; Ho Chi Minh City, Viet Nam, 1999 Mar 2-4. WHO/Mal/2000. WHO/CDS/RBM/ 2000; 15: 28-9.
- World Health Organization. WHO Expert Committee on Malaria: twentieth report. Geneva: WHO, 2000.