

Applied Environmental Research



Journal homepage : http://www.tci-thaijo.org/index.php/aer

# Species-specific Responses of Water Use by Urban Trees to Artificial Soil Drought: Results from a Small-scale Study

Pantana Tor-ngern <sup>1,\*</sup>, Somsak Panha <sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Environmental Science, Faculty of Science, Chulalongkorn University
 <sup>2</sup> Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand
 <sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author: Email: pantana.t@chula.ac.th

### Article History

Submitted: 9 January 2016/ Accepted: 19 February 2016/ Published online: 21 March 2016

# Abstract

Studying tree water relations in response to soil drought improves our understanding of hydrological processes that are central to most ecosystem modelling. Urban greening is an approach to ameliorate rising carbon dioxide emissions whose effects are intensified in cities. Thus, an investigation of water relations with respect to drought of urban trees is of interest for effective water management in urban greening. This study examined responses of sap flux density, water use and stomatal conductance of potted saplings of three common street trees in Bangkok: Pterocarpus indicus (Pi), Swietenia macrophylla (Sm) and Lagerstroemia speciosa (Ls); under one-week non-irrigated treatment. Results showed that Pi and Sm maintained their water use rate while Ls experienced reduction in water use under soil drying condition. Further analyses on stomatal conductance and leaf area suggested that leaf drop, which may be induced by soil drought, resulted in increases of stomatal conductance. The counteracting effect of increased stomatal conductance and decreased leaf area seems to explain the unaffected water use in Pi and Sm under drought conditions. However, a smaller compensatory effect was observed in Ls, resulting in significant reduction of water use in the non-irrigated saplings. Thus, these results indicate that *Pi* and *Sm* may be preferred for street tree planting since they would be less affected by drought stress than Ls. Nevertheless, further investigations, including detailed measurements of tree hydraulic variables and longer experimental duration, are advised to confirm these findings for extrapolation to a larger scale.

# **Keywords:** *Pterocarpus indicus; Swietenia macrophylla; Lagerstroemia speciosa;* Sap flux density; Stomatal conductance; Drought

# Introduction

Urban greening is increasingly used as a strategy for coping with climate change impacts in cities. Trees use carbon dioxide from the atmosphere for their growth, thus mitigating carbon emissions. Urban trees, whether in parks or along the streets, provide various ecosystem services, such as clean air, shade and cooling effects, recreational and educational values [1-2]. However, trees of various species have different water requirements and growth characteristics. Some tree species use more water than others, thus increasing the need for irrigation. Furthermore, under changing weather conditions such as drought, different tree species adopt different strategies to cope with stress conditions, even if they grow in the same area. Certain trees maintain their low transpiration rate throughout fluctuating weather conditions, while others may not survive a single drought. This latter case is of particular concern because drought impacts are exacerbated in urban areas due to the heat island effect [3]. Therefore, in lieu of the projected intensified drought [4], selective tree planting by choosing species that use water conservatively should be considered to achieve sustainable urban greening management.

Species-specific patterns of water use and responses to soil drying are areas of uncertainty in estimating transpiration, the major component of evapotranspiration in diverse ecosystems [5]. The uncertainty becomes aggravated with forests since it is difficult to directly measure entire canopy transpiration and stomatal conductance, the main variables for determining water and carbon fluxes in terrestrial ecosystem modelling. This issue has been addressed by using thermal methods for measuring sap flux density in stems of large trees. Such information is then used to estimate water use and canopy stomatal conductance. This technique allows researchers to examine tree water relations across multiple time and space scales. Quantifying water use and stomatal conductance and studying their responses to soil drought will thus offer better understanding of plant water relations, potentially leading to improved ecosystem modelling.

This study served as a pilot study to investigate the water relations of urban trees in Bangkok, Thailand. Two earlier studies had examined water relations in some street trees; one addressed different water use strategies among three species differing in leaf phenology based on leaf measurements [6] while the other measured the daily tree water use of four species of seedlings using direct measurement through the gravimetric method [7]. Nevertheless, a study of water relations involving sap flux density at finer timescale (i.e., less than daily) and treelevel stomatal conductance is still lacking. In this study, three common street tree species [8]: Pterocarpus indicus, Swietenia macrophylla and Lagerstroemia speciosa (hereafter, Pi, Sm and Ls, respectively), were selected to investigate their responses to artificial soil drought during a oneweek treatment. In particular, sap flux density was measured using the thermal dissipation technique [9] and scaled to whole-tree water use and stomatal conductance. Changes of these variables with soil drought were compared among the three species. The main research questions were (1) How would whole-tree water use and stomatal conductance of the non-irrigated trees differ from those under frequent irrigation? and (2) How does the difference in these variables between non-irrigated and irrigated trees vary with species? Based on previous findings relevant to water use [7], we hypothesized that Piand Sm would maintain their water use patterns with minimal difference between the non-irrigated and irrigated trees after 7 days of treatment. Meanwhile, the non-irrigated Ls trees were hypothesized to experience reduction in water use compared to the irrigated trees. However, the responses of stomatal conductance were more difficult to discern.

#### **Materials and Methods**

This study was conducted on the balcony of the 4<sup>th</sup> floor in the Department of Environmental Science building located in Chulalongkorn University in Bangkok, Thailand (13 °N 100 °E). We purchased 30 saplings of the three species, each including 10 saplings with characteristics as summarized in Table 1. The saplings were originally grown in the same local field, harvested and potted into 20 L containers with mixed soil and bark growing medium. The potted saplings were transported to the study site and treated with frequent irrigation for five weeks to allow establishment of the trees prior to data collection. One Pi and one Ls sapling died before the experiment started. On December 19, 2015, each species was divided into two groups. One group of saplings continued to receive  $\sim 3$  liters of water twice a day through pot watering (*i.e.*, irrigated group) while the other group received none (*i.e.*, non-irrigated group). Soil moisture level was checked by a soil tester (Takemura Japan test instruments) before each irrigation to ensure that the treated saplings were under well-watered condition (soil moisture level above 5 based on the scale from 1 to 8, indicating dry to wet conditions).

Thirty thermal dissipation sensors (TDPs) were constructed. Each pair comprised two probes made of steel needles which were the sensing part (i.e., 10 mm long metallic parts of hypodermic needle). Each needle contained a T-type thermocouple (copper-constantan) whose tip was in the middle of the sensing part. The constantan ends of the two thermocouples were connected to measure the temperature difference between the two probes. The downstream (upper) probe was continuously heated at constant power while the downstream (lower) probe was unheated and thus tracking ambient temperature of sapwood as reference. The temperature difference between both probes was affected by the heat dissipation effect of water flow in the vicinity of the heated probe. The temperature difference data were collected in millivolts every 30 minutes by a data logger (CR1000, Campbell Scientific, Logan, UT, USA). Water mass per unit sapwood area per time, or sap flux density ( $J_S$ ), was then calculated from the detected changes in temperature difference as [9]

$$J_s = 118.99 \times 10^{-6} \left(\frac{\Delta T_m - \Delta T}{\Delta T}\right)^{1.231}$$
 (1)

where  $J_s$  is sap flux density in  $g_{H2O}$  m<sup>-2</sup><sub>sapwood</sub> s<sup>-1</sup>,  $\Delta T_m$  represents maximum temperature difference established between the heated and nonheated probes at zero flux (*i.e.*,  $J_s = 0$ ) in °C and  $\Delta T$  is temperature difference between the two probes at a given  $J_s$ .

Each TDP was installed at 10 mm depth from the inner bark of each tree sapling and covered by a plastic sheet coated with reflective paint to prevent the natural thermal gradient. Because the stem sizes were small, spatial variation of  $J_S$  should be minimal and only one depth of sensor was sufficient. Furthermore, we assumed that the non-conductive part of the stem was negligible due to small tree size and that all stem cross-sectional area was equal to sapwood area. The whole-tree water use was estimated by integrating  $J_S$  across the entire sapwood area ( $A_S$ ) of the tree. In other words,

$$E_t = J_S \times A_S \tag{2}$$

where  $E_t$  represents whole-tree water use in  $g_{H2O}$  s<sup>-1</sup>. The daily  $E_t$  was computed as the average of half-hourly  $E_t$  during the daytime, assuming from 0600 to 1800 hours.

Vapor pressure deficit (D) represents atmospheric demand and largely contributes to changes of stomatal conductance in plants. We

installed two portable temperature and humidity data loggers (OM-92, Omega Engineering, Stamford, CT, USA) to measure air temperature and relative humidity at the site. The data were averaged to 30-minute values. Then, D was calculated as the difference between saturated and actual vapor pressure. The saturated vapor pressure (SVP in Pa) is expressed as [10]

$$SVP = 610.7 \times 10^{7.5 \text{ T}/(237.3 + \text{T})}$$
(3)

$$D = \left(1 - \frac{RH}{100}\right) \times SVP \tag{4}$$

where T and RH are air temperature (°C) and relative humidity (%), respectively.

At the end of the experiment, total leaves from all saplings were harvested for leaf area (L) measurement. For each sapling, ten leaves were sampled for various sizes, scanned using a printer (LaserJet Pro MFP M125a) and analyzed with the ImageJ program [11]. Total number of leaves was counted and used to scale the scanned leaf area to total leaf area of each sapling.

Stomatal conductance  $(G_S)$  of the saplings was calculated from  $J_S$  using a simplified version of the Penman-Monteith equation [12]:

$$G_{s} = \frac{\gamma \lambda E_{t}}{\rho c_{p} DL}$$
(5)

where  $\gamma$  is the psychrometric constant (kPa K<sup>-1</sup>),  $\lambda$  is the latent heat of vaporization (J kg<sup>-1</sup>),  $\rho$  is the density of moist air (kg m<sup>-3</sup>) and  $c_p$  is the heat capacity of moist air (J kg<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-1</sup>).

This equation assumes that (1)  $J_S$  is uniform throughout the cross-sectional sapwood area, (2) stem capacitance may be neglected, and (3) canopy aerodynamic conductance is much larger than  $G_S$ . These conditions were held in this study because of small tree size with minimal variation in  $J_S$  across the sapwood area and stem capacitance which is usually evident in large trees. Moreover, because the saplings were potted and arranged in similar distance apart from one another, they should experience strong aerodynamic coupling.

Analyses of variables on the final treatment day were based on their ratios. The ratios were computed as daily mean values of  $E_t$ ,  $G_S$  and Lof the non-irrigated saplings to those of the irrigated ones. Statistical tests included t-test for comparisons between the two treatment groups. Data analyses and visualization were conducted using MATLAB 7.12.0 R2011a (The Math-Works, Inc., Natick, Massachusetts, USA) and Sigma Plot 12.0 (Systat Software, Inc., San Jose, California, USA).

#### **Results and Discussion**

Table 1 summarizes characteristics of the saplings used in this study. Mean stem diameters at ~1 m above ground, *i.e.*, approximately at TDP sensor location, ranged from 2.72 to 3.34 cm among the three species with the coefficient of variation (CV) ranging from 9 to 22%. The mean sapwood area also varied with CV ranging from 19 to 49%. Such high CV values of tree size are not trivial and could indicate some variability of sap flow within species [13] which could confound the comparison of water use responses among these species. However, we confirmed that no intra-variability of sap flow existed within each of these species ( $p \ge$ 0.23) and thus tree-size effect should not impede further analyses. During the study period, vapor pressure deficit (D) at daily intervals ranged from 1.8 to 2.2 kPa, averaged 1.98  $\pm$ 0.18 kPa. The sunlight was mostly constant during daytime and no rain events were observed. Thus, the weather conditions were similar throughout the study period and should not confound the comparisons between the nonirrigated and irrigated groups of saplings.

Species	<i>H</i> (m)	<i>d</i> (cm)	$A_{S}$ (cm <sup>2</sup> )	n
Pterocarpus indicus (Pi)	$2.86 \pm 0.18$	$2.72 \pm 0.59$	$6.04 \pm 2.93$	9
Swietenia macrophylla (Sm)	$2.86\pm0.22$	$3.34\pm0.32$	$8.83 \pm 1.67$	10
Lagerstroemia speciose (Ls)	$2.77\pm0.17$	$3.13\pm0.39$	$7.80 \pm 1.91$	9

**Table 1** Characteristics of the tree saplings

\*H = tree height measured from the pot base to the tree top; d = stem diameter at 1 m from the pot base;  $A_s$  = sapwood area at 1 m from the pot base *i.e.*, sensor location; n = number of saplings used in the study. All values are expressed as mean ± one standard deviation (S.D.).

We first evaluated the raw data without scaling, *i.e.*, sap flux density  $(J_S)$ , of all trees during the first (Day 1) and the last (Day 7) day of the experimental period (Figure 1) which had similar D of 1.95 and 1.8 kPa, respectively. On Day 1, the diurnal  $J_S$  patterns were similar between the two groups in all species (p ranged 0.12 -0.32; Fig. 1a, c, e) as this was the onset of the soil drought effect that would be imposed further. During Day 1, the effect from withholding water may not happen yet, especially in Pi (Fig. 1a), but there was some insignificant reduction in Sm and Ls during the afternoon periods in the non-irrigated groups (Fig. 1c, e). On Day 7, the  $J_S$  patterns were still similar between two groups in Pi and Sm (Fig. 1b, d; p = 0.17 and 0.26 for *Pi* and *Sm*, respectively) but significant reduction was seen in Ls (Fig. 1f; p = 0.02). Note that the high mean  $J_S$  of non-irrigated Pi group in the morning (Fig. 1b, open circles) was contributed by a few saplings that were located on the east side of the balcony and thus may be exposed to stronger sunlight than the other Pi saplings. Nevertheless, based on these observations, saplings with treated soil drought in the non-irrigated groups of Pi and Sm did not demonstrate  $J_S$  reduction and possibly maintained water use throughout the study period. In contrast, Ls saplings in the non-irrigated group experienced  $J_S$  reduction after 7 days of treatment. This result supported the hypothesis and agreed with the previous study [7] on similar species as mentioned in the Introduction.

On the last day of the experiment, water use, stomatal conductance and leaf dry mass were compared as the ratio between those under nonirrigated and irrigated treatments (Figure 2). The result showed that, after one-week of treatment, *Pi* and *Sm* saplings maintained similar levels of water use compared to the beginning of the experiment (p = 0.12 and 0.25) but *Ls* saplings in the non-irrigated group used 30% less water than the irrigated one (Figure 2, compare black bars). Thus, a similar result to the *J<sub>S</sub>* patterns in Figure 1 was confirmed with the result from this water use analysis.

Whole-tree stomatal conductance  $(G_S)$  of the non-irrigated saplings significantly increased in all species ( $p \le 0.03$ ). The percentage of increases was smallest in Ls (26%) compared to the other two species (Figure 2, compare light gray bars). Conversely, leaf drop was observed in all species, with 16 - 31% decreases in leaf area among the three species (Figure 2, compare dark gray bars). The enhanced  $G_S$  in these species may be associated with leaf loss due to water withdrawal. Increased gas exchange rates with respect to defoliation have been commonly observed in many species [14-16]. Furthermore, transpira- tion per unit leaf area and stomatal conductance were found to increase with decreasing leaf area [16-18]. Such effect was attributed to microclimatic changes of the remaining foliage and increases of leaf specific hydraulic conductance [19-20] as stomatal conductance has been shown to increase with hydraulic conductance [21-22]. Another study demonstrated enhanced water relations of the

remaining foliage after defoliation in *Populus* tremuloides [23]. They observed increased stomatal conductance in trees with 50 and 98% defoliation but no change in leaf water potential. In addition, they also addressed the compensatory photosynthesis following defoliation with increased stomatal conductance which may attribute to the increases in leaf specific hydraulic conductance. Based on these previous studies, it may be implied that soil drought induced leaf loss which resulted in enhanced stomatal conductance. The increased stomatal conductance may compensate for the reduced leaf area, leading to unaffected water use. These implications were clearly demonstrated in Pi and Sm but to a lesser extent in Ls. The smaller in- crease in stomatal conductance of Ls following leaf loss may contribute to significant reduction in water use after the oneweek treatment. Nevertheless, this finding requires further investigation over a longer nonirrigation period to explore whether such a compensatory effect is sustained. Additionally, detailed measurements of tree hydraulics should be performed to confirm if increases in leaf hydraulic conductance plays a role in the enhanced water relations.



Figure 1 Comparisons of diurnal patterns of sap flux density between the non-irrigated and irrigated saplings in all species during the first and last day of the experiment.P values indicate the t-test comparison between diurnal sap flux density of the two groups



**Figure 2** Ratios of daily water use, stomatal conductance and total leaf area between non-irrigated and irrigated saplings on the last day of the experiment. Error bars indicate one standard error of the ratios. "ns" refers to ratios that were not significantly different from one.

# Conclusions

Species differences in water use and responses to soil drought are important for selective planting for sustainable urban greening. This small-scale study carries implications for water management in street tree planting in Bangkok. Specifically, based on the observations in this study, *Pterocarpus indicus* and *Swietenia macrophylla* may be favored because they maintain water use pattern even when receiving no water for one week. This may be due to compensation of increases in stomatal conductance for the drought-induced leaf loss. However, such compensatory effect was not evident in *Lagerstroemia speciosa*, leading to reduction in water use under drought stress.

#### Acknowledgements

This study was partially supported by Grants for Development of New Faculty Staff, Ratchadaphiseksomphot Endowment Fund, Chulalongkorn University. I would like to express my sincere gratitude to the Department of Environmental Science, Chulalongkorn University for providing space and facility for conducting the research. Finally, I would like to specially thank P. and P. Tor-ngern for their field assistance.

#### References

- Akbari, H. 2002. Shade trees reduce building energy use and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from power plants. Environ. Pollut. 116:S119 -S216.
- [2] Pataki, D.E., Alig, R.J., Fung, A.S., Golubiewski, N.E., Kennedy, C.A., McPherson, E.G., Nowak, D.J., Pouyat, R.V. and Lankao, P.R. 2006. Urban ecosystems and the North American carbon cycle. Glob. Change Biol. 12: 2092 - 2101.
- [3] Weng, Q.H. and Yang, S.H. 2004. Managing the adverse thermal effects of urban development in a densely populated Chinese city. J. Environ. Manage. 70: 145 - 156.
- [4] IPCC (2013) Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Working Group 1 contribution to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.

- [5] Oren, R. and Pataki, D.E. 2001. Transpiration in response to variation in microclimate and soil moisture in southeastern deciduous forests. Oecologia 127: 549 - 559.
- [6] Puangchit, L., Sriladda, C., Kjelgren, R. and Someechai, M. 2014. Water relations of three tree species growing in the streetside forest of Bangkok, Thailand during the monsoonal dry season. (*unpublished*).
- [7] Kjelgren, R., Puangchit, L., Sriladda, C. and Someechai, M. 2008. Water use of four street tree species in Bangkok, Thailand. Proc. Vth IS on Irrigation of Hort. Crops, Eds.: I. Goodwin and M.G. O'Connell, Acta Hort. 792: 405 - 409.
- [8] Thaiutsa, B., Puangchit, L., Kjelgren, R. and Arunpraparut, W. 2008. Urban green space, street tree and heritage large tree assessment in Bangkok, Thailand. Urban For. Urban Gree. 7: 219 - 229.
- [9] Granier, A. 1985. Une nouvelle méthode pour la mesure du flux de séve brute dans le tronc des arbres. Ann. For. Sci. 42: 193 - 200.
- [10] Monteith, J.L. and Unsworth, M.H. 1990.Principles of Environmental Physics. Butterworth-Heinemann, 287 pp.
- [11] Schneider, C.A., Rasband, W.S. and Eliceiri, K.W. 2012. NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image analysis. Nat. Methods 9: 671 675.
- [12] Pataki, D.E., Oren, R. and Phillips, N. 1998. Responses of sap flux and stomatal conductance of *Pinus taeda* L. trees to stepwise reductions in leaf area. J. Exp. Bot. 49:871 - 878.
- [13] Horna, V., Schuldt, B., Brix, S. and Leuschner, C. 2011. Environment and tree size controlling stem sap flux in a perhumid tropical forest of Central Sulawesi, Indonesia. Ann. For. Sci. 68: 1027 - 1038.
- [14] McNaughton, S.J. 1983. Compensatory plant growth as a response to herbivory. *Oikos* 40: 329 - 336.

- [15] Quentin, A.G., O'Grady, A.P., Beadle, C.L., Mohammed, C., Pinkard, E.A. 2012. Interactive effects of water supply and defoliation on photosynthesis, plant water status and growth of *Eucalyptus globulus* Labill. Tree Physiology 32: 958 – 967.
- [16] Reich, P.B., Walters, M.B., Krause, S.C., Vanderdlien, D.W., Raffa, K.F. and Tabone, T. 1993. Growth, nutrition, and gas exchange of *Pinus resinosa* following artificial defoliation. Trees 7: 67 - 77.
- [17] Meinzer, F.C. and Granz, D.A. 1991. Coordination of stomatal, hydraulic, and canopy boundary layer properties: do stomata balance conductance by measuring transpiration? Physiol. Plantarum 83: 324 - 329.
- [18] Kramer, P.J. and Boyer, J.S. 1995. Water relations of plants and soils. San Diego: Academic.
- [19] Meinzer, F.C. and Granz, D.A. 1990. Stomatal and hydraulic conductance in growing sugarcane: stomatal adjustment to water transport capacity. Plant Cell Environ. 13, 383 - 388.
- [20] Meinzer, F.C., Granz, D.A. and Smit, B. 1991. Root signals mediate co-ordination of stomatal and hydraulic conductance in growing sugarcane. Aust. J. Plant Physiol. 18: 329 - 338.
- [21] Teskey, R.O., Hinckley, T.M. and Grier, C.C. 1983. Effect of interruption of flow path on stomatal conductance of *Abies amabilis*. J. Exp. Bot. 34: 1251 - 1259.
- [22] Reich, P.B. and Hinckley, T.M. 1989. Influence of pre-dawn water potential and soil-to-leaf hydraulic conductance on maximum daily leaf diffusive conductance in two oak species. Funct. Ecol. 3: 719 - 726.
- [23] Hart, M., Hogg, E.H. and Lieffers, V.J. 2000. Enhanced water relations of residual foliage following defoliation in *Populus tremuloides*. Can. J. Botany 78: 583 - 590.